Talk for the 7th Security Seals Symposium, February 28-March 2, 2006, Santa Barbara, CA

LAUR 06-0516

Los Alamos

Limitations & Vulnerabilities of RFID and Contact Memory Devices

Jon Warner, Ph.D. and Roger Johnston, Ph.D., CPP

Vulnerability Assessment Team Los Alamos National Laboratory

505-665-9987

jwarner@lanl.gov

http://pearl1.lanl.gov/seals/default.htm















CMB: problematic for security

- · Easy to lift.
- Easy to counterfeit. All needed information, software, & parts are readily available.



 Easy to tamper with the reader. No access to the tag itself is needed.

Our first attempt at counterfeiting: Starting with zero knowledge, a working counterfeit was developed in 2 hours. (The first hour was for reading about how the device worked.) The counterfeit costs less & worked better than the original!

Los Alamos









*April, 1999 Recharger Magazine







Some RFID applications

- Animal ID
- Fleet tracking
- Parcel & Post
- Library scanners
- Inventory control
- Baggage handling
- Personnel tracking
- Car inventory tracking
- Food production control
- Supply chain management
- Manufacturing line tracking

Los Alamos

- Pallet tagging
- Child tracking
- Automobile Immobilization
- Parking lot access control
- Automatic toll booths
- Payment Systems
- Gasoline stations
- Passports
- Banknotes
- Access control
- Tamper-Indicating Seals
- Pharmaceutical anticounterfeiting (track & trace)

RFID: Not good for security

- Easy to Lift.
- Easy to counterfeit. All needed information, software, & parts are readily available.
- Easy to spoof or modify the reader. No access to the tag itself is required.

Starting with zero knowledge, it took 2 weeks, and < \$20 in parts to demonstrate 5 different successful defeats

• Los Alamos





















