

Proofs for “Integrating Dependent and Linear Types”

Neel Krishnaswami, Pierre Pradic, and Nick Benton

July 8, 2014

1 Overview

The basic approach of this paper is to build a realizability model of dependent LNL in the style of Harper [4]. Essentially, we give an untyped operational semantics for the language, and then construct a PER for the syntactic types, and a function mapping each semantic type to a PER giving the equality relation for that type. For linear types, we give a map from semantic types to a map from monoid elements to PERs. This generalizes the pattern of L^3 [1] from unary to binary relations.

Below, the first occurrence is the statement of the theorem, and the second is the proof. (The proofs all begin on page 19.)

List of Theorems

1	Lemma	3
2	Lemma (T_k is a type system operator)	6
3	Lemma (Expansion)	6
4	Lemma (Universe Cumulativity)	6
1	Theorem (Fundamental Property)	9
5	Lemma (Context Shrinking)	9
6	Lemma (Linear Context Shrinking)	9
7	Lemma (Substitution Shrinking)	9
8	Lemma (Free Variables of Linear Contexts)	9
9	Lemma (Linear Heap Preservation)	9
10	Lemma (Linear Evaluation Frame Property)	9
2	Lemma (T_k is a type system operator)	19
3	Lemma (Expansion)	27
4	Lemma (Universe Cumulativity)	27
5	Lemma (Context Shrinking)	27
6	Lemma (Linear Context Shrinking)	27
7	Lemma (Substitution Shrinking)	28
8	Lemma (Free Variables of Linear Contexts)	28
9	Lemma (Linear Heap Preservation)	29
10	Lemma (Linear Evaluation Frame Property)	29
1	Theorem (Fundamental Property)	31

2 Untyped Syntax

See figures.

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
e, t, X, A & ::= & \Pi x : X. Y \mid A \multimap B \mid \lambda x : C. e \mid e e' \mid \hat{\lambda} x. e \\
& | & 1 \mid I \mid () \mid \text{let } () = e \text{ in } e' \\
& | & \Sigma x : X. Y \mid A \otimes B \mid (e, e') \mid \pi_1 e \mid \pi_2 e \mid \text{let } (x, y) = e \text{ in } e' \\
& | & G e \mid G^{-1} e \\
& | & F x : X. A \mid F(e, t) \mid \text{let } F(x, a) = t \text{ in } t' \\
& | & \top \mid A \& B \\
& | & \forall x : X. Y \mid \exists x : X. Y \\
& | & e =_X e' \mid \text{refl} \\
& | & N \mid 0 \mid s(e) \mid \text{iter}(e, 0 \rightarrow e_0, s(x), y \rightarrow e_1) \\
& | & U_i \mid L_i \\
& | & x \mid \text{fix } f x = e \\
& | & [A] \mid \text{let } [x] = e \text{ in } e \mid * \\
& | & e \mapsto X \mid \text{Loc} \mid \text{new}_X e \mid \text{free}(e, t) \mid l \\
& | & T A \mid \text{val } e \mid \text{let } \text{val } x = e \text{ in } e' \\
\\
v & ::= & \lambda x : A. e \mid () \mid (e, e) \mid \text{refl} \mid G e \mid l \mid * \mid 0 \mid s(v) \\
& | & \Pi x : X. Y \mid A \multimap B \mid \top \mid A \& B \\
& | & 1 \mid I \mid \Sigma x : X. Y \mid A \otimes B \mid F x : X. B \\
& | & e =_X e' \mid e \mapsto X \mid \text{Loc} \mid U_i \mid L_i \\
\\
u & ::= & \lambda x. e \mid () \mid (e, e) \mid F(e, e) \mid \hat{\lambda} x. e \mid (e, e') \\
& | & * \mid \text{val } e \mid \text{let } \text{val } x = e \text{ in } e \mid \text{new}_X e \\
& | & \text{let } (x, a) = \text{get}(e, e') \text{ in } e'' \mid e :=_{e''} e' \\
\\
\sigma & ::= & \cdot \mid \sigma, l : v
\end{array}$$

Figure 1: Terms e, t, X, A , values v , linear values u , stores σ

3 Operational Semantics

See figures.

4 CPPOs and Fixed Points

A *pointed partial order* is a triple (X, \leq, \perp) such that X is a set, \leq is a partial order on X , and \perp is the least element of X . A subset $D \subseteq X$ is a *directed set* when every pair of elements $x, y \in D$ has an upper bound in D (i.e., there is a $z \in D$ such that $x \leq z$ and $y \leq z$). A pointed partial order is *complete* (i.e., forms a CPPO) when every directed set D has a supremum $\bigcup D$ in X .

The following lemma is in Harper '92, and is Theorem 8.22 in Davies and Priestley.

Lemma 1. (*Fixed Points on CPPOs*) If X is a CPPO, and $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a monotone function on X , then f has a least fixed point.

Proof. Construct the ordinal-indexed sequence x_α , where:

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &= \perp \\ x_{\beta+1} &= f(x_\beta) \\ x_\lambda &= \bigcup_{\beta < \lambda} x_\beta \end{aligned}$$

Because f is monotone, we can show by transfinite induction that every initial segment is directed, which ensures the needed suprema exist and the sequence is well-defined.

Now, since we know there must be a stage λ such that $x_\lambda = x_{\lambda+1}$. If there were not, then we could construct a bijection between the ordinals and the strictly increasing chain of elements of the sequence x . However, the elements of the sequence x are all drawn of X . Since X is a set, it follows that the elements of x must themselves form a set. Since the ordinals do not form a set (they are a proper class), this leads to a contradiction. Hence, there must be a stage λ such that $x_\lambda = x_{\lambda+1}$. \square

5 Partial Equivalence Relations and Semantic Type Systems

A *partial equivalence relation* (PER) is a symmetric, transitive relation on closed, terminating expressions. We further require that PERs be *closed under evaluation*. Given a PER R , we require that for all e, e', v, v' such that $e \Downarrow v$ and $e' \Downarrow v'$, we have that $(e, e') \in R$ if and only if $(v, v') \in R$. Given a PER P , we write P^* to close it up under evaluation.

A *partial evaluation relation on configurations* (CPER) is a symmetric, transitive relation on terminating machine configurations $\langle \sigma; e \rangle$. We further require that they be *closed under evaluation*. Given a CPER M , we require that for all $\langle \sigma_1; e_1 \rangle$ such that $\langle \sigma_1; e_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; u_1 \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma_2; e_2 \rangle$ such that $\langle \sigma_2; e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_2; u_2 \rangle$, we have $(\langle \sigma_1; e_1 \rangle, \langle \sigma_2; e_2 \rangle) \in M$ if and only if $(\langle \sigma'_1; u_1 \rangle, \langle \sigma'_2; u_2 \rangle) \in M$.

Note that since evaluation (both ordinary and linear) is deterministic, an evaluation-closed PER is determined by its sub-PER on values (or value configurations).

A *semantic linear/non-linear type system* is a four-tuple $(I \in \text{PER}, L \in \text{PER}, \phi : I \rightarrow \text{PER}, \psi : L \rightarrow \text{CPER})$ such that ϕ respects I and ψ respects L . We say that I are the *semantic intuitionistic types*, L are the *semantic linear types*, and ϕ and ψ are the *type interpretation functions*.

The set of type systems forms a CPPO. The least element is the type system $(\emptyset, \emptyset, !_{\text{PER}}, !_{\text{CPER}})$ with an empty set of intuitionistic and linear types. The ordering $(I, L, \phi, \psi) \leq (I', L', \phi', \psi')$ is given by set inclusion on $I \subseteq I'$ and $L \subseteq L'$, when there is agreement between ϕ and ϕ' on the common part of their domains, and likewise for ψ and ψ' (which we write $\phi \sqsubseteq \phi'$ and $\psi \sqsubseteq \psi'$). Given a directed set, the join is given by taking unions pointwise (treating the functions ϕ and ψ as graphs).

We define the following constructions on PERs in Figure ??.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\boxed{e \Downarrow v} \\
\\
\frac{}{v \Downarrow v} \quad \frac{e_1 \Downarrow \lambda x : A. e \quad [e_2/x]e \Downarrow v}{e_1 e_2 \Downarrow v} \\
\\
\frac{e \Downarrow (e_1, e_2) \quad e_1 \Downarrow v}{\pi_1 e \Downarrow v} \quad \frac{e \Downarrow (e_1, e_2) \quad e_2 \Downarrow v}{\pi_2 e \Downarrow v} \\
\\
\frac{e \Downarrow v}{s(e) \Downarrow s(v)} \quad \frac{e \Downarrow 0 \quad e_0 \Downarrow v}{iter(e, 0 \rightarrow e_0, s(x), y \rightarrow e_1) \Downarrow v} \\
\\
\frac{e \Downarrow s(n) \quad iter(v, 0 \rightarrow e_0, s(x), y \rightarrow e_1) \Downarrow v \quad [n/x, v/y]e_1 \Downarrow v'}{iter(e, 0 \rightarrow e_0, s(x), y \rightarrow e_1) \Downarrow v'} \\
\\
\frac{e \Downarrow fix f x = e_0 \quad [(fix f x = e_0)/f, e'/x]e_0 \Downarrow v}{e e' \Downarrow v} \\
\\
\boxed{\langle \sigma; e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; u \rangle} \\
\\
\frac{\langle \sigma; u \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma; u \rangle}{\langle \sigma; u \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma; u \rangle} \text{ LVAL} \quad \frac{\langle \sigma; e_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; \lambda x. e' \rangle \quad \langle \sigma'; [e_2/x]e'_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u'' \rangle}{\langle \sigma; e_1 e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u'' \rangle} \text{ LAPPL} \\
\\
\frac{\langle \sigma; e_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; \hat{\lambda}x. e \rangle \quad \langle \sigma'; [e_2/x]e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u'' \rangle}{\langle \sigma; e_1 e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u'' \rangle} \text{ LPAPP} \quad \frac{\langle \sigma; e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; (e_1, e_2) \rangle \quad \langle \sigma'; e_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u'' \rangle}{\langle \sigma; \pi_1 e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u'' \rangle} \text{ LFST} \\
\\
\frac{\langle \sigma; e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; (e_1, e_2) \rangle \quad \langle \sigma'; e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u'' \rangle}{\langle \sigma; \pi_2 e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u'' \rangle} \text{ LSND} \quad \frac{\langle \sigma; e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; () \rangle \quad \langle \sigma'; e' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u \rangle}{\langle \sigma; let () = e in e' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u \rangle} \text{ LUNIT} \\
\\
\frac{\langle \sigma; e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; (e_1, e_2) \rangle \quad \langle \sigma'; [e_1/a, e_2/b]e' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u \rangle}{\langle \sigma; let (a, b) = e in e' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u \rangle} \text{ LPAIR} \\
\\
\frac{\langle \sigma; e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; F(e_1, e_2) \rangle \quad \langle \sigma'; [e_1/x, e_2/a]e' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u \rangle}{\langle \sigma; let F(x, a) = e in e' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u \rangle} \text{ LF} \quad \frac{e \Downarrow G e' \quad \langle \sigma; e' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; u \rangle}{\langle \sigma; G^{-1} e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; u \rangle} \text{ LRUNG} \\
\\
\frac{\langle \sigma; e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; u' \rangle}{\langle \sigma; let [] = e_0 in e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; u' \rangle} \text{ LIRRDROP} \quad \frac{\langle \sigma; [*/*a, /*b]e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; u' \rangle}{\langle \sigma; let [a, b] = e_0 in e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; u' \rangle} \text{ LIRRSPLIT} \\
\\
\frac{e \Downarrow l \quad \langle \sigma; e' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', l : v; * \rangle \quad \langle \sigma', l : v; [v/x, /*c]e'' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u \rangle}{\langle \sigma; let (x, c) = get(e, e') in e'' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u \rangle} \text{ LDREF} \\
\\
\boxed{\langle \sigma; e \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'; val v \rangle} \\
\\
\frac{\langle \sigma; val e \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma; val e \rangle}{\langle \sigma; val e \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma; val e \rangle} \quad \frac{\langle \sigma; e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; u \rangle \quad e \neq val u_0 \quad \langle \sigma; u \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma''; val u' \rangle}{\langle \sigma; e \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma''; val u' \rangle} \\
\\
\frac{\langle \sigma; e \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma_1; val e_1 \rangle \quad \langle \sigma_1; [e_1/x]e' \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'; val v \rangle}{\langle \sigma; let val x = e in e' \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'; val v \rangle} \quad \frac{e \Downarrow v \quad l \notin \text{dom}(\sigma)}{\langle \sigma; new_X e \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma, l : v; val F(l, *) \rangle} \\
\\
\frac{e \Downarrow l \quad e' \Downarrow v \quad \langle \sigma; e'' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', l : v'; * \rangle}{\langle \sigma, l : v'; e :=_{e''} e' \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma', l : v; val * \rangle} \text{ LASSIGN} \quad \frac{e \Downarrow l \quad \langle \sigma; t \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', l : v; * \rangle}{\langle \sigma; free(e, t) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'; val () \rangle} \text{ LDELETE}
\end{array}$$

Figure 2: Operational Semantics

$$\begin{aligned}
Loc &= \{(l, l) \mid l \in Loc\} \\
\hat{l} &= \{(((), ())\} \\
Id(a, b, E) &= \{(\text{refl}, \text{refl}) \mid (a, b) \in E\} \\
\Pi(E, \Phi) &= \{(v, v') \mid \forall (a, a') \in E. (va, v'a') \in \Phi(a)\} \\
\Sigma(E, \Phi) &= \{((a, b), (a', b')) \mid (a, a') \in E \wedge (b, b') \in \Phi(a)\} \\
G(C) &= \{(G e, G e') \mid (\langle \cdot; e \rangle, \langle \cdot; e' \rangle) \in C\} \\
\hat{\vee}(E, \Phi) &= \{(v, v') \mid \forall (e, e') \in E. (v, v') \in \Phi(e)\} \\
\hat{\exists}(E, \Phi) &= \{(v, v') \mid \exists (e, e') \in E. (v, v') \in \Phi(e)\}^\dagger \\
\hat{N} &= \{(\mathbf{s}^k(0), \mathbf{s}^k(0)) \mid k \text{ is a natural number}\} \\
\hat{\top}_I &= \{(v, v') \mid v \in Val \wedge v' \in Val\}
\end{aligned}$$

Figure 3: Intuitionistic PER constructions

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\top} &= \{(\langle \sigma; () \rangle, \langle \sigma'; () \rangle) \mid \sigma, \sigma' \in \text{Store}\} \\
A \& B &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c|c} (\langle \sigma; (a, b) \rangle, \\ \langle \sigma'; (a', b') \rangle) & (\langle \sigma; a \rangle, \langle \sigma'; a' \rangle) \in A \wedge \\ & (\langle \sigma; b \rangle, \langle \sigma'; b' \rangle) \in B \end{array} \right\} \\
\hat{l} &= \{(\langle \cdot; () \rangle, \langle \cdot; () \rangle)\} \\
(C \hat{\otimes} D) &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c|c} (\langle \sigma; (c, d) \rangle, \\ \langle \sigma'; (c', d') \rangle) & \begin{array}{l} \exists \sigma_C, \sigma_D, \sigma_{C'}, \sigma_{D'} . \\ \sigma = \sigma_C \cdot \sigma_D \wedge \\ \sigma' = \sigma'_C \cdot \sigma'_D \wedge \\ (\langle \sigma_C; c \rangle, \langle \sigma'_C; c' \rangle) \in C \wedge \\ (\langle \sigma_D; d \rangle, \langle \sigma'_D; d' \rangle) \in D \end{array} \\ \forall \sigma_0 \# \sigma, \sigma'_0 \# \sigma', c, c'. \\ \text{if } (\langle \sigma_0; c \rangle, \langle \sigma'_0; c' \rangle) \in C \\ \text{then } \left(\begin{array}{c} \langle \sigma \cdot \sigma_0; uc \rangle, \\ \langle \sigma' \cdot \sigma'_0; u'c' \rangle \end{array} \right) \in D \end{array} \right\} \\
(C \hat{\multimap} D) &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c|c} (\langle \sigma; u \rangle, \\ \langle \sigma'; u' \rangle) & \begin{array}{l} \forall \sigma_0 \# \sigma, \sigma'_0 \# \sigma', c, c'. \\ \text{if } (\langle \sigma_0; c \rangle, \langle \sigma'_0; c' \rangle) \in C \\ \text{then } \left(\begin{array}{c} \langle \sigma \cdot \sigma_0; uc \rangle, \\ \langle \sigma' \cdot \sigma'_0; u'c' \rangle \end{array} \right) \in D \end{array} \end{array} \right\} \\
F(E, \Psi) &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c|c} (\langle \sigma; F(a, b) \rangle, \\ \langle \sigma'; F(a', b') \rangle) & \begin{array}{l} E(a, a') \wedge \\ (\langle \sigma; b \rangle, \langle \sigma'; b' \rangle) \in \Psi(a) \end{array} \end{array} \right\} \\
\Pi_L(E, \Psi) &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c|c} (\langle \sigma; u \rangle, \\ \langle \sigma'; u' \rangle) & \begin{array}{l} \forall (e, e') \in E. \\ (\langle \sigma; u e \rangle, \langle \sigma'; u' e' \rangle) \in \Psi(e) \end{array} \end{array} \right\} \\
\hat{\vee}_L(E, \Psi) &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c|c} (\langle \sigma; u \rangle, \\ \langle \sigma'; u' \rangle) & \begin{array}{l} \forall (e, e') \in E. \\ (\langle \sigma; u e \rangle, \langle \sigma'; u' e' \rangle) \in \Psi(e) \end{array} \end{array} \right\}^\dagger \\
\hat{\exists}_L(E, \Psi) &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c|c} (\langle \sigma; u \rangle, \\ \langle \sigma'; u' \rangle) & \begin{array}{l} \exists (e, e') \in E. \\ (\langle \sigma; u e \rangle, \langle \sigma'; u' e' \rangle) \in \Psi(e) \end{array} \end{array} \right\} \\
\hat{\top}(A) &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c|c} (\langle \sigma_1; e_1 \rangle, \\ \langle \sigma_2; e_2 \rangle) & \begin{array}{l} \forall \sigma_f \# \sigma_1, \sigma_g \# \sigma_2. \exists \sigma'_1, \sigma'_2, u_1, u_2. \\ \langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_f; e_1 \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \text{val } u_1 \rangle \wedge \\ \langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma_g; e_2 \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \text{val } u_2 \rangle \wedge \\ (\langle \sigma'_1; u_1 \rangle, \langle \sigma'_2; u_2 \rangle) \in A \end{array} \end{array} \right\} \\
Ptr(e, E) &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c|c} (\langle \sigma_1; * \rangle, \langle \sigma_2; * \rangle) & \begin{array}{l} \sigma_1 = [l : v_1] \wedge \sigma_2 = [l : v_2] \wedge \\ (e, l) \in Loc \wedge (v_1, v_2) \in E \end{array} \end{array} \right\} \\
Irr(A) &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c|c} (\langle \sigma; * \rangle, \langle \sigma'; * \rangle) & \begin{array}{l} \exists a, a'. (\langle \sigma; a \rangle, \langle \sigma'; a' \rangle) \in A \end{array} \end{array} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

Figure 4: Linear PER Constructions

$\phi'(\text{Loc})$	$= \text{Loc}$
$\phi'(\mathbb{N})$	$= \hat{\mathbb{N}}$
$\phi'(\top_I)$	$= \hat{\top}_I$
$\phi'(e_1 =_X e_2)$	$= \text{Id}(e_1, e_2, \phi(X))$
$\phi'(\Pi x : X. Y[x])$	$= \Pi(\phi(X), \lambda v. \phi(Y[v]))$
$\phi'(\Sigma x : X. Y[x])$	$= \Sigma(\phi(X), \lambda v. \phi(Y[v]))$
$\phi'(\forall x : X. Y[x])$	$= \hat{\forall}(\phi(X), \lambda v. \phi(Y[v]))$
$\phi'(\exists x : X. Y[x])$	$= \hat{\exists}(\phi(X), \lambda v. \phi(Y[v]))$
$\phi'(\mathbf{G}\ A)$	$= \mathbf{G}(\psi(A))$
$\phi'(U_i)$	$= \text{let } (\hat{U}, \hat{\phi}, \hat{L}, \hat{\psi}) = \text{fix}(T_i) \text{ in } \hat{U}$
$\phi'(L_i)$	$= \text{let } (\hat{U}, \hat{\phi}, \hat{L}, \hat{\psi}) = \text{fix}(T_i) \text{ in } \hat{L}$
$\psi'(I)$	$= \hat{I}$
$\psi'(A \otimes B)$	$= \psi(A) \hat{\otimes} \psi(B)$
$\psi'(\top)$	$= \hat{\top}$
$\psi'(A \& B)$	$= \psi(A) \hat{\&} \psi(B)$
$\psi'(A \multimap B)$	$= \psi(A) \hat{\multimap} \psi(B)$
$\psi'(\mathbf{F}x : X. A[x])$	$= \mathbf{F}(\phi(X), \lambda v. \psi(A[v]))$
$\psi'(\Pi x : X. A[x])$	$= \Pi_L(\phi(X), \lambda v. \psi(A[v]))$
$\psi'(\forall x : X. A[x])$	$= \hat{\forall}_L(\phi(X), \lambda v. \psi(A[v]))$
$\psi'(\exists x : X. A[x])$	$= \hat{\exists}_L(\phi(X), \lambda v. \psi(A[v]))$
$\psi'(\mathbf{T}A)$	$= \mathbf{T}(\psi(A))$
$\psi'(e \mapsto X)$	$= \text{Ptr}(e, \phi(X))$

Figure 5: Definition of T_k , interpretation part

We can now define an operator T_k on type systems:

$$T_k(I, L, \phi, \psi) = (I'^*, L'^*, \phi', \psi')$$

where I' and L' are defined in Figure 6 and ϕ' and ψ' are defined in Figure 5.

Lemma 2 (T_k is a type system operator). *We have that T_k is a monotone function on type systems.*

Lemma 3 (Expansion). *If $i \leq k$ and τ is a type system then $T_i(\tau) \leq T_k(\tau)$.*

Lemma 4 (Universe Cumulativity). *If $i \leq k$ then $T_i \leq T_k$.*

The interpretation of the i -th universe is the least fixed point of T_i .

The T_k are monotone operators, and so they have least fixed points. Furthermore, our definition of T_k refers to the fixed point itself, but only for smaller stages $i < k$.

Define T_i as the least fixed point of T_i . Notice $T_i \sqsubseteq T_{i+1}$. We shall thus consider the following type system in the sequel:

$$T_\omega := \bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} T_i$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I' = & \{(Loc, Loc)\} \cup \\
& \{(N, N)\} \cup \\
& \{(\top_I, \top_I)\} \cup \\
& \{(e_1 =_X e_2, t_1 =_Y t_2) \mid I(X, Y) \wedge \Phi(X)(e_1, t_1) \wedge \Phi(X)(e_2, t_2)\} \cup \\
& \left\{ \begin{array}{l|l} (\Pi x : X. Y[x], & I(X, X') \wedge \\ \Pi x : X'. Y'[x]) & \forall(v, v') \in \Phi(X). I(Y[v], Y'[v']) \end{array} \right\} \cup \\
& \left\{ \begin{array}{l|l} (\Sigma x : X. Y[x], & I(X, X') \wedge \\ \Sigma x : X'. Y'[x]) & \forall(v, v') \in \Phi(X). I(Y[v], Y'[v']) \end{array} \right\} \cup \\
& \left\{ \begin{array}{l|l} (\forall x : X. Y[x], & I(X, X') \wedge \\ \forall x : X'. Y'[x]) & \forall(v, v') \in \Phi(X). I(Y[v], Y'[v']) \end{array} \right\} \cup \\
& \left\{ \begin{array}{l|l} (\exists x : X. Y[x], & I(X, X') \wedge \\ \exists x : X'. Y'[x]) & \forall(v, v') \in \Phi(X). I(Y[v], Y'[v']) \end{array} \right\} \cup \\
& \{(GA, GA') \mid L(A, A')\} \cup \\
& \{(U_i, U_i) \mid i < k\} \cup \\
& \{(L_i, L_i) \mid i < k\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
L' = & \{(I, I)\} \cup \\
& \{(A \otimes B, A' \otimes B') \mid L(A, A') \wedge L(B, B')\} \cup \\
& \{(A \multimap B, A' \multimap B') \mid L(A, A') \wedge L(B, B')\} \cup \\
& \left\{ \begin{array}{l|l} (Fx : X. A[x], & I(X, X') \wedge \\ Fx : X'. A'[x]) & \forall(v, v') \in \Phi(X). L(A[v], A'[v']) \end{array} \right\} \cup \\
& \left\{ \begin{array}{l|l} (\Pi x : X. A[x], & I(X, X') \wedge \\ \Pi x : X'. A'[x]) & \forall(v, v') \in \Phi(X). L(A[v], A'[v']) \end{array} \right\} \cup \\
& \left\{ \begin{array}{l|l} (\forall x : X. A[x], & I(X, X') \wedge \\ \forall x : X'. A'[x]) & \forall(v, v') \in \Phi(X). L(A[v], A'[v']) \end{array} \right\} \cup \\
& \left\{ \begin{array}{l|l} (\exists x : X. A[x], & I(X, X') \wedge \\ \exists x : X'. A'[x]) & \forall(v, v') \in \Phi(X). L(A[v], A'[v']) \end{array} \right\} \cup \\
& \{(\top, \top)\} \cup \\
& \{(A \& B, A' \& B') \mid L(A, A') \wedge L(B, B')\} \cup \\
& \{(\top A, \top A') \mid (A, A') \in L\} \cup \\
& \{(e \mapsto X, e' \mapsto X') \mid (e, e') \in Loc \wedge (X, X') \in U\}
\end{aligned}$$

Figure 6: Definition of type part of T_k

6 Environments

6.1 Semantic Environments

6.1.1 Intuitionistic

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket &= \{\langle \rangle\} \\ \llbracket \Gamma, x : X \rrbracket &= \{(\gamma, (e_1, e_2)/x) \mid \gamma \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \wedge (\gamma_1(X), \gamma_2(X)) \in U_i \wedge (e_1, e_2) \in \phi_i(\gamma(X))\} \end{aligned}$$

6.1.2 Linear

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket &= \{((\epsilon; \langle \rangle), (\epsilon; \langle \rangle))\} \\ \llbracket \Delta_1, \Delta_2 \rrbracket &= \left\{ (\langle \sigma; \delta_1, \delta_2 \rangle, \langle \sigma'; \delta'_1, \delta'_2 \rangle) \mid \begin{array}{l} \exists \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma'_1, \sigma'_2. \\ \sigma = \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 \wedge \sigma' = \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma'_2 \wedge \\ (\langle \sigma_1; \delta_1 \rangle, \langle \sigma'_1; \delta'_1 \rangle) \in \llbracket \Delta_1 \rrbracket \wedge \\ (\langle \sigma_2; \delta_2 \rangle, \langle \sigma'_2; \delta'_2 \rangle) \in \llbracket \Delta_2 \rrbracket \end{array} \right\} \\ \llbracket a : A \rrbracket &= \{((\langle \sigma; e/a \rangle, \langle \sigma'; e'/a \rangle) \mid (A, A) \in L_i \wedge (\langle \sigma; e \rangle, \langle \sigma'; e' \rangle) \in \psi(A)\} \end{aligned}$$

7 Typing Rules

The judgements are:

- $\Gamma \text{ ok}$
- $\Gamma \vdash \Delta \text{ ok}$
- $\Gamma \vdash X \text{ type}$
- $\Gamma \vdash A \text{ linear}$
- $\Gamma \vdash X \equiv Y \text{ type}$
- $\Gamma \vdash A \equiv B \text{ linear}$
- $\Gamma \vdash e : X$
- $\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : A$
- $\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : X$
- $\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : A$

We maintain the following implicit premises in all of the rules:

- Every rule of the form $\Gamma \vdash e : X$ has $\Gamma \vdash X \text{ type}$ as a premise.
- Every rule of the form $\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : X$ has $\Gamma \vdash e : X$, and $\Gamma \vdash e' : X$ and $\Gamma \vdash X \text{ type}$ as premises.
- Every rule of the form $\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : A$ has $\Gamma \vdash A \text{ linear}$ as a premise.
- Every rule of the form $\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : A$ has $\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : A$, and $\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e' : A$ and $\Gamma \vdash A \text{ linear}$ as premises.

In the figures, we suppress these premises for readability.

8 Fundamental Property

Theorem 1 (Fundamental Property).

Assuming that $\Gamma \text{ ok}$ and $\gamma \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$ and $\Gamma \vdash \Delta \text{ ok}$ and $(\sigma, \delta) \in \llbracket \gamma_1(\Delta) \rrbracket$, we have that:

1. If $\Gamma \vdash X \text{ type}$ then $\gamma(X) \in U(\gamma_1(X))$.
2. If $\Gamma \vdash X \equiv Y \text{ type}$ then $(\gamma_1(X), \gamma_2(Y)) \in U(\gamma_1(X))$.
3. If $\Gamma \vdash e : X$ then $\gamma(e) \in \Phi(\gamma_1(X))$.
4. If $\Gamma \vdash e_1 \equiv e_2 : X$ then $(\gamma_1(e_1), \gamma_2(e_2)) \in \Phi(\gamma_1(X))$.
5. If $\Gamma \vdash A \text{ linear}$ then $\gamma(A) \in L(\gamma_1(X))$.
6. If $\Gamma \vdash A \equiv B \text{ linear}$ then $(\gamma_1(A), \gamma_2(B)) \in L(\gamma_1(X))$.
7. If $\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : A$ then $((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta_1(e))), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2(e)))) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(X))$.
8. If $\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e_1 \equiv e_2 : A$ then $((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta_1(e_1))), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2(e_2)))) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(X))$.
9. If $\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \div A$ then there exists t and t' such that for every $\gamma \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$ and every $(\sigma, \delta) \in \llbracket \gamma_1(\Delta) \rrbracket$, $((\sigma_1, \delta_1(\gamma_1(t))), (\sigma_2, \delta_2(\gamma_2(t')))) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(A))$.

9 Technical Lemmas

Lemma 5 (Context Shrinking).

If $\Gamma, \Gamma' \text{ ok}$ then $\Gamma \text{ ok}$.

Lemma 6 (Linear Context Shrinking).

If $\Gamma \vdash \Delta, \Delta' \text{ ok}$ then $\Gamma \vdash \Delta \text{ ok}$ and $\Gamma \vdash \Delta' \text{ ok}$.

Lemma 7 (Substitution Shrinking).

If $\gamma \in \llbracket \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 \rrbracket$ then there are γ_0, γ_1 such that $\gamma = \gamma_0, \gamma_1$ and $\gamma_0 \in \llbracket \Gamma_0 \rrbracket$.

Lemma 8 (Free Variables of Linear Contexts).

If $\Gamma \vdash \Delta \text{ ok}$ then $\text{FV}(\Delta) \subseteq \text{dom}(\Gamma)$.

Lemma 9 (Linear Heap Preservation).

If $\langle \sigma; e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; u \rangle$ then $\sigma = \sigma'$.

Lemma 10 (Linear Evaluation Frame Property).

If $\langle \sigma; e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; u \rangle$ and $\sigma_f \# \sigma$ then $\sigma' \# \sigma_f$ and $\langle \sigma \cdot \sigma_f; e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma' \cdot \sigma_f; u \rangle$.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\boxed{\Gamma \text{ ok}} \quad \boxed{\Gamma \vdash \Delta \text{ ok}} \\
\frac{}{\cdot \text{ ok}} \text{ CTXNIL} \quad \frac{\Gamma \text{ ok} \quad \Gamma \vdash X \text{ type}}{\Gamma, x : X \text{ ok}} \text{ CTXCONS} \\
\\
\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \cdot \text{ ok}} \text{ LCTXNIL} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta \text{ ok} \quad \Gamma \vdash A \text{ linear}}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, a : A \text{ ok}} \text{ LCTXCONS} \\
\\
\boxed{\Gamma \vdash X \text{ type}} \quad \boxed{\Gamma \vdash A \text{ linear}} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash X : U_i}{\Gamma \vdash X \text{ type}} \text{ TP} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash A \text{ linear}} \text{ LTP} \\
\\
\boxed{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv Y \text{ type}} \quad \boxed{\Gamma \vdash A \equiv B \text{ linear}} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv Y : U_i}{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv Y \text{ type}} \text{ TPEQ} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \equiv B : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash A \equiv B \text{ linear}} \text{ LTPEQ}
\end{array}$$

Figure 7: Structural judgements

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{\Gamma, x : X, \Gamma' \vdash x : X} \text{ IHYP} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : Y \quad \Gamma \vdash X \equiv Y \text{ type}}{\Gamma \vdash e : X} \text{ ITPEQ} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash () : 1} \text{ IUNITI} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : X \quad \Gamma \vdash e' : [e/x]Y}{\Gamma \vdash (e, e') : \Sigma x : X. Y} \text{ IPAIRI} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \Sigma x : X. Y}{\Gamma \vdash \pi_1 e : X} \text{ IPAIRE1} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \Sigma x : X. Y}{\Gamma \vdash \pi_2 e : [\pi_1 e/x]Y} \text{ IPAIRE2} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Pi x : X. Y \text{ type} \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash e : Y}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. e : \Pi x : X. Y} \text{ IFUNI} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \Pi x : X. Y \quad \Gamma \vdash e' : X}{\Gamma \vdash e e' : [e'/x]Y} \text{ IFUNE} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : X}{\Gamma \vdash \text{refl} : e =_X e'} \text{ IEQL} \quad \frac{\Gamma; \cdot \vdash e : A}{\Gamma \vdash G e : GA} \text{ IGI} \\
\\
\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash 0 : \mathbb{N}} \text{ INIZERO} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \mathbb{N}}{\Gamma \vdash s(e) : \mathbb{N}} \text{ INISUCC} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow U \quad \Gamma \vdash e : \mathbb{N} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_0 : C \ 0 \quad \Gamma, x, y : C \ x \vdash e_1 : C(s(x))}{\Gamma \vdash \text{iter}(e, 0 \rightarrow e_0, s(x), y \rightarrow e_1) : C \ e} \text{ INE}
\end{array}$$

Figure 8: Intuitionistic Typing

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash U_i : U_{i+1}} \text{IU} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash L_i : U_{i+1}} \text{IL} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash X : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y : U_i}{\Gamma \vdash \Pi x : X. Y : U_i} \text{IPi} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash X : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y : U_i}{\Gamma \vdash \Sigma x : X. Y : U_i} \text{ISIGMA} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash 1 : U_i} \text{IUNIT} \\
\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash N : U_i} \text{INAT} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash GA : U_i} \text{IG} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash X : U_i \quad \Gamma \vdash e : X \quad \Gamma \vdash e' : X}{\Gamma \vdash e =_X e' : U_i} \text{IEQ} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash I : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash A : L_i} \text{IONE} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : L_i \quad \Gamma \vdash B : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash A \otimes B : L_i} \text{ITENSOR} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : L_i \quad \Gamma \vdash B : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash A \multimap B : L_i} \text{IOLLI} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash X : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash A : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash \Pi x : X. A : L_i} \text{ILPi} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash X : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash A : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash Fx : X. A : L_i} \text{IF} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash T : L_i} \text{ITOP} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : L_i \quad \Gamma \vdash B : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash A \& B : L_i} \text{IWITH}
\end{array}$$

Figure 9: Type Well-formedness

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash Loc : U_i} \text{ILoc} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : Loc \quad \Gamma \vdash X : U_i}{\Gamma \vdash e \mapsto X : L_i} \text{IPTR} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash TA : L_i} \text{IT} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash [A] : L_i} \text{IIRR} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash X : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y : U_i}{\Gamma \vdash \forall x : X. Y : U_i} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash X : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash \forall x : X. Y : L_i} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash X : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y : U_i}{\Gamma \vdash \exists x : X. Y : U_i} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash X : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash \exists x : X. Y : L_i} \\
\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash T_I : U_i} \text{IANY}
\end{array}$$

Figure 10: Well-formedness of extensions

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{\Gamma; a : A \vdash a : A} \text{LHYP} \\
\\
\frac{}{\Gamma; \cdot \vdash () : I} \text{LONEI} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : A \quad \Gamma; \Delta' \vdash e' : B}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash (e, e') : A \otimes B} \text{LTENSORI} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma; \Delta, a : A \vdash e : B}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \lambda a. e : A \multimap B} \text{LFUNI} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma, x : X; \Delta \vdash e : A}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \hat{\lambda}x. e : \Pi x : X. A} \text{LPII} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : B \quad \Gamma \vdash A \equiv B \text{ linear}}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : A} \text{LEQ} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : I \quad \Gamma; \Delta' \vdash e' : C}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{let } () = e \text{ in } e' : C} \text{LONEE} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : A \otimes B \quad \Gamma; \Delta', a : A, b : B \vdash e' : C}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{let } (a, b) = e \text{ in } e' : C} \text{LTENSORE} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : A \multimap B \quad \Gamma; \Delta' \vdash e' : A}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash e e' : B} \text{LFUNE} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : \Pi x : X. A \quad \Gamma \vdash e' : X}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e e' : [e'/x]A} \text{LPIE} \\
\\
\frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash () : \top} \text{LTOPI} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e_1 : A_1 \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash e_2 : A_2}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash (e_1, e_2) : A_1 \& A_2} \text{LWITHI} \quad \frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : A \& B}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \pi_1 e : A} \text{LWITHEFST} \quad \frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : A \& B}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \pi_2 e : B} \text{LWITHESNDI} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : X \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t : [e/x]A}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash F(e, t) : Fx : X. A} \text{LFI} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash C \text{ linear} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : Fx : X. A \quad \Gamma, x : X; \Delta', a : A \vdash e' : C}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{let } F(x, a) = e \text{ in } e' : C} \text{LFE} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : GA}{\Gamma; \cdot \vdash G^{-1} e : A} \text{LGE}
\end{array}$$

Figure 11: Linear Typing

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\Gamma, x : X \vdash e : Y \quad x \notin \text{FV}(e)}{\Gamma \vdash e : \forall x : X. Y} & \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \forall x : X. Y \quad \Gamma \vdash e' : X}{\Gamma \vdash e : [e'/x]Y} & \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma, x : X, y : Y \vdash e : Z \quad x \notin \text{FV}(e)}{\Gamma, y : \exists x : X. Y \vdash e : Z} & \frac{\Gamma, x : X \vdash Y \text{ type} \quad \Gamma \vdash e' : X \quad \Gamma \vdash e : [e'/x]Y}{\Gamma \vdash e : \exists x : X. Y} & \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma, x : X; \Delta \vdash e : A \quad x \notin \text{FV}(e)}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : \forall x : X. A} & \frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : \forall x : X. A \quad \Gamma \vdash e' : X}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : [e'/x]A} & \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma, x : X; \Delta, a : A \vdash e : C \quad x \notin \text{FV}(e)}{\Gamma; \Delta, a : \exists x : X. A \vdash e : C} & \frac{\Gamma, x : X \vdash Y \text{ linear} \quad \Gamma \vdash e' : X \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : [e'/x]Y}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : \exists x : X. Y} & \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma, f : \top_I, x : X(0) \vdash e : Y(0) \quad \Gamma, n : \mathbb{N}, f : \Pi x : X[n]. Y[n] \text{ type} \quad \Gamma, n : \mathbb{N}, f : \Pi x : X[n]. Y[n], x : X[s(n)] \vdash e : Y[s(n)] \quad n \notin \text{FV}(\text{fix } f x = e)}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fix } f x = e : \forall n : \mathbb{N}. \Pi x : X[n]. Y[n]}
\end{array}$$

Figure 12: Intersection and Union Types

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \text{Loc} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t : [e \mapsto X] \quad \Gamma, x : X; \Delta', a : [e \mapsto X] \vdash t' : C}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{let } (x, a) = \text{get}(e, t) \text{ in } t' : C} \text{ LGET} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : A}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{val } e : \text{TA}} \text{ LTI} \quad \frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : \text{TA} \quad \Gamma; \Delta', a : A \vdash e' : \text{TC}}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{let val } a = e \text{ in } e' : \text{TC}} \text{ LTLET} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : X}{\Gamma; \cdot \vdash \text{new}_X e : \text{T}(\text{Fx} : \text{Loc. } [x \mapsto X])} \text{ LNEW} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \text{Loc} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t : [e \mapsto X]}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{free}(e, t) : \text{TI}} \text{ LFREE} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \text{Loc} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t : [e \mapsto X] \quad \Gamma \vdash e' : Y}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e :=_t e' : \text{T}[e \mapsto Y]} \text{ LSET} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \div A}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash * : [A]} \text{ LIIRR} \quad \frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : A}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \div A} \quad \frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : [A] \quad \Gamma; \Delta', x : A \vdash e' \div C}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{let } [x] = e \text{ in } e' \div C} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : [] \quad \Gamma; \Delta' \vdash e' : C}{\circ \quad \Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{let } [] = e \text{ in } e' : C} \text{ LIIRRUNIT} \quad \frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : [A \otimes B] \quad \Gamma; \Delta', a : [A], b : [B] \vdash e' : C}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{let } [a, b] = e \text{ in } e' : C} \text{ LIIRRPART}
\end{array}$$

Figure 13: Typing of Imperative Programs

$$\begin{array}{c}
\boxed{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : X} \quad \boxed{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t \equiv t' : A} \\
\hline
\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. e) e' \equiv [e'/x]e : Z} \text{ IFUNBETA} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv \lambda x. e x : \Pi x : X. Y} \text{ IFUNETA} \\
\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \pi_1(e, e') \equiv e : Z} \text{ IPAIRBETAFST} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \pi_2(e, e') \equiv e' : Z} \text{ IPAIRBETASND} \\
\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv (\pi_1 e, \pi_2 e) : \Sigma x : X. Y} \text{ IPAIRETA} \\
\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : 1} \text{ IUNITETA} \\
\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash G(G^{-1} e) \equiv e : G A} \text{ IGETA} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma; \cdot \vdash G^{-1}(G t) \equiv t : A} \text{ IGBETA} \\
\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{iter}(0, 0 \rightarrow e_0, s(x), y \rightarrow e_1) \equiv e_0 : X} \text{ INZBETA} \\
\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{iter}(s(e), 0 \rightarrow e_0, s(x), y \rightarrow e_1) \equiv [e/x, \text{iter}(e, 0 \rightarrow e_0, s(x), y \rightarrow e_1)/y]e_1 : X} \text{ INSBETA} \\
\frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash (\lambda x. e) e' \equiv [e'/x]e : C} \text{ LFUNBETA} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv \lambda x. e x : A \multimap B} \text{ LFUNETA} \\
\frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash (\hat{\lambda} x. e) e' \equiv [e'/x]e : C} \text{ LPiBETA} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv \hat{\lambda} x. e x : \Pi x : X. A} \text{ LPiETA} \\
\frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : \top} \text{ LTOPETA} \\
\frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \pi_1(e, e') \equiv e : A} \text{ LWITHBETAFST} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \pi_1(e, e') \equiv e' : B} \text{ LWITHBETASND} \\
\frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv (\pi_1 e, \pi_2 e) : A \& B} \text{ LWITHETA} \\
\frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{let } () = () \text{ in } e \equiv e : C} \text{ LONEBETA} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{let } () = t \text{ in } [(())/x]t' \equiv [t/x]t' : C} \text{ LONEETA} \\
\frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{let } (a, b) = (t_1, t_2) \text{ in } t' \equiv [t_1/a, t_2/b]t' : C} \text{ LTENSORBETA} \\
\frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{let } (a, b) = t \text{ in } [(a, b)/x]t' \equiv [t/x]t' : C} \text{ LTENSORETA} \\
\frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{let } F(x, a) = F(e, t) \text{ in } t' \equiv [e/x, t/a]t' : C} \text{ LFBETA} \\
\frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{let } F(x, a) = t \text{ in } [F(x, a)/y]t' \equiv [t/y]t' : C} \text{ LFETA}
\end{array}$$

Figure 14: $\beta\eta$ -Equality

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Gamma, x : X \vdash e \equiv e' : Y}{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : \forall x : X. Y} \text{ IALLETA} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : [t/x]Y \quad \Gamma \vdash t : X}{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : \exists x : X. Y} \text{ IEXBETA} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : \forall x : X. Y \quad \Gamma \vdash t : X}{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : [t/x]Y} \text{ IALLBETA} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{let val } x = \text{val } t \text{ in } t' \equiv [t/x]t' : T C}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{let val } y = (\text{let val } x = t_1 \text{ in } t_2) \text{ in } t_3 \equiv \text{let val } x = t_1 \text{ in let val } y = t_2 \text{ in } t_3 : T C} \text{ LTBETA} \qquad \frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{let val } x = t \text{ in val } x \equiv t : T C}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{let val } y = (\text{let val } x = t_1 \text{ in } t_2) \text{ in } t_3 \equiv \text{let val } x = t_1 \text{ in let val } y = t_2 \text{ in } t_3 : T C} \text{ LTETA} \\
\\
\frac{x \notin \text{FV}(t_2)}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{let val } y = (\text{let val } x = t_1 \text{ in } t_2) \text{ in } t_3 \equiv \text{let val } x = t_1 \text{ in let val } y = t_2 \text{ in } t_3 : T C} \text{ LTASSOC} \\
\\
\frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : [A]} \text{ LIREQ} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma, x : X; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : A}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : \forall x : X. Y} \text{ LALLETA} \qquad \frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : \forall x : X. A \quad \Gamma \vdash t : X}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : [t/x]A} \text{ LALLBETA} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : [t/x]A \quad \Gamma \vdash t : X}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : \exists x : X. A} \text{ LEXBETA} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x : X; \Delta, a : A \vdash e \equiv e' : C \quad x \notin \text{FV}(e, e', C)}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, a : \exists x : X. A \equiv e : e' C} \text{ LEXETA} \\
\\
\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{fix } f x = e) e' \equiv [(\text{fix } f x = e)/f, e'/x]e : Z} \text{ IFIXBETA}
\end{array}$$

Figure 15: Imperative Equality

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : e =_X e'}{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : X} \text{IREFLEX} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : X}{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e : X} \text{IREFLEX} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : X \quad \Gamma \vdash e' \equiv e'' : X}{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e'' : X} \text{ITRANS} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \equiv A' : L_i \quad \Gamma \vdash B \equiv B' : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash A \multimap B \equiv A' \multimap B' : L_i} \text{ILOLLICONG} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \equiv A' : L_i \quad \Gamma \vdash B \equiv B' : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash A \& B \equiv A' \& B' : L_i} \text{IWITHCONG} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \equiv A' : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash \top A \equiv \top A' : L_i} \text{ITCONG} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv X' : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y \equiv Y' : U_i}{\Gamma \vdash \Pi x : X. Y \equiv \Pi x : X'. Y' : U_i} \text{IPICONG} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv X' : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y \equiv Y' : U_i}{\Gamma \vdash \forall x : X. Y \equiv \forall x : X'. Y' : U_i} \text{IALLCONG} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv X' : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash A \equiv A' : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash \forall x : X. A \equiv \forall x : X'. A' : L_i} \text{LALLCONG} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv X' : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash A \equiv A' : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash Fx : X. A \equiv Fx : X'. A' : U_i} \text{IFCONG} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : Loc \quad \Gamma \vdash X \equiv X' : U_i}{\Gamma \vdash e \mapsto X \equiv e' \mapsto X' : U_i} \text{IPTRCONG} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv X' : U_i \quad \Gamma \vdash e_1 \equiv e_2 : X \quad \Gamma \vdash e'_1 \equiv e'_2 : X'}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 =_X e_2 \equiv e'_1 =_{X'} e'_2 : U_i} \text{IEQCONG} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : e =_X e \quad \Gamma \vdash q : e =_X e}{\Gamma \vdash p \equiv q : e =_X e} \text{K} \\
\frac{\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash t : A}{\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash t \equiv t : A} \text{LREFLEX} \\
\frac{\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash t \equiv t' : A \quad \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash t' \equiv t'' : A}{\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash t \equiv t'' : A} \text{LTRANS} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \equiv A' : L_i \quad \Gamma \vdash B \equiv B' : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash A \otimes B \equiv A' \otimes B' : L_i} \text{ITENSORCONG} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv X' : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y \equiv Y' : U_i}{\Gamma \vdash \Sigma x : X. Y \equiv \Sigma x : X'. Y' : U_i} \text{ISIGMACONG} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv X' : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y \equiv Y' : U_i}{\Gamma \vdash \exists x : X. Y \equiv \exists x : X'. Y' : U_i} \text{IEXCONG} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv X' : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash A \equiv A' : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash \exists x : X. A \equiv \exists x : A'. Y' : L_i} \text{LEXCONG} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv X' : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash A \equiv A' : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash \Pi x : X. A \equiv \Pi x : X'. A' : U_i} \text{ILPICONG}
\end{array}$$

Figure 16: Congruence rules, part 1

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Gamma, x : X \vdash e \equiv e' : Y}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : X. e \equiv \lambda x : X. e' : \Pi x : X. Y} \text{ IFUNCONG} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \equiv e'_1 : \Pi x : X. Y \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 \equiv e'_2 : X}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 \equiv e'_1 e'_2 : Y[e_2/x]} \text{ IAPPONG} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \equiv e'_1 : X \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 \equiv e'_2 : Y[e_1/x]}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) \equiv (e'_1, e'_2) : \Sigma x : X. Y} \text{ IPAIRCONG} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : \Sigma x : X. Y}{\Gamma \vdash \pi_1 e \equiv \pi_1 e' : X} \text{ IFSTCONG} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : \Sigma x : X. Y}{\Gamma \vdash \pi_2 e \equiv \pi_2 e' : Y[\pi_1 e/x]} \text{ ISNDCONG} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma, x : X \vdash e \equiv e' : Y \quad x \notin \text{FV}(e, e')}{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : \forall x : X. Y} \text{ IALLCONGTM} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : [e''/x]Y \quad \Gamma \vdash e'' : X \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y \text{ type}}{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : \exists x : X. Y} \text{ IEXCONGTM} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : \mathbb{N}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{s}(e) \equiv \mathbf{s}(e') : \mathbb{N}} \text{ INS CONG} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : \mathbb{N} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_0 \equiv e'_0 : C z \quad \Gamma, x : \mathbb{N}, y : C x \vdash e_1 \equiv e'_1 : C(\mathbf{s}(x)) \quad \Gamma \vdash C \text{ type } \mathbb{N} \rightarrow U_i}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{iter}(e, 0 \rightarrow e_0, \mathbf{s}(x), y \rightarrow e_1) \equiv \mathbf{iter}(e', 0 \rightarrow e'_0, \mathbf{s}(x), y \rightarrow e'_1) : C e} \text{ INTERCONG} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma; \Delta' \vdash t_1 \equiv t'_1 : I \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_2 \equiv t'_2 : B}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \mathbf{let} () = t_1 \mathbf{in} t_2 \equiv \mathbf{let} () = t'_1 \mathbf{in} t'_2 : B} \text{ LUNITECONG} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 \equiv t'_1 : A \quad \Gamma; \Delta' \vdash t_2 \equiv t'_2 : A}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash (t_1, t_2) \equiv (t'_1, t'_2) : A \otimes B} \text{ LTENSORCONG} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 \equiv t'_1 : A \otimes B \quad \Gamma; \Delta', x : A, y : B \vdash t_2 \equiv t'_2 : C}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \mathbf{let} (x, y) = t_1 \mathbf{in} t_2 \equiv \mathbf{let} (x, y) = t'_1 \mathbf{in} t'_2 : C} \text{ LTENSORECONG} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma; \Delta, x : A \vdash t \equiv t' : B}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \lambda x : A. t \equiv \lambda x : A. t' : A \multimap B} \text{ LFUNCONG} \quad \frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 \equiv t'_1 : A \multimap B \quad \Gamma; \Delta' \vdash t_2 \equiv t'_2 : A}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash t_1 t_2 \equiv t'_1 t'_2 : B} \text{ LAPPCONG} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e_1 \equiv e'_1 : A \quad \Gamma; \Delta' \vdash e_2 \equiv e'_2 : B}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash (e_1, e_2) \equiv (e'_1, e'_2) : A \& B} \text{ LPAIRCONG} \quad \frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : A \& B}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \pi_1 e \equiv \pi_1 e' : A} \text{ LFSTCONG} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : A \& B}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \pi_2 e \equiv \pi_2 e' : B} \text{ LSNDCONG} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma, x : X \vdash \Delta \equiv e : e' A \quad x \notin \text{FV}(e, e')}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta \equiv e : e' \forall x : X. A} \text{ LALLCONGTM} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : [e''/x]A \quad \Gamma \vdash e'' : X \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y \text{ type}}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : \exists x : X. A} \text{ LEXCONGTM} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma, x : X; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : A}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \hat{\lambda} x. e \equiv \hat{\lambda} x. e' : \Pi x : X. A} \text{ LPiFUNCONG} \quad \frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e_1 \equiv e'_1 : \Pi x : X. A \quad \Gamma \vdash \Delta \equiv e_2 : e'_2 X}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash e_1 e_2 \equiv e'_1 e'_2 : [e_1/x]A} \text{ LPiAPPONG} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : X \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t[e/\tilde{x}] \equiv t'[e/x] : A[e/x]}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash F(e, t) \equiv F(e', t') : Fx : X. A} \text{ LFICONG} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 \equiv t'_1 : Fx : X. A \quad \Gamma, x : X; \Delta', a : A \vdash t_2 \equiv t'_2 : B}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \mathbf{let} F(x, a) = t_1 \mathbf{in} t_2 \equiv \mathbf{let} F(x, a) = t'_1 \mathbf{in} t'_2 : B} \text{ LFECONG}
\end{array}$$

Figure 17: Congruence rules, part 2

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : A}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{val } e \equiv \text{val } e' : T A} \text{ LVALCONG} \quad \frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e_1 \equiv e'_1 : T A \quad \Gamma; \Delta', a : A \vdash e_2 \equiv e'_2 : T C}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{let val } a = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \equiv \text{let val } a = e'_1 \text{ in } e'_2 : T C} \text{ LLLETCONG} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : X}{\Gamma; \cdot \vdash \text{new}_X e \equiv \text{new}_X e' : T(Fx : \text{Loc. } x \mapsto e)} \text{ LNEWCONG} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : \text{Loc} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t \equiv t' : e \mapsto e_0}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{free}(e, t) \equiv \text{free}(e', t') : T I} \text{ LFREECONG} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : \text{Loc} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 \equiv t'_1 : e \mapsto X \quad \Gamma, x : X; \Delta', a : e \mapsto X \vdash t_2 \equiv t'_2 : C}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{let } (x, a) = \text{get}(e, t_1) \text{ in } t_2 \equiv \text{let } (x, a) = \text{get}(e', t'_1) \text{ in } t'_2 : C} \text{ LGETCONG} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \equiv e'_1 : \text{Loc} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 \equiv t'_1 : e \mapsto X \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 \equiv e'_2 : Y}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e_1 :=_t e_2 \equiv e'_1 :=_{t'} e'_2 : T(e \mapsto Y)} \text{ LASSIGNCONG}
\end{array}$$

Figure 18: Congruence rules, part 3

10 Proofs

Lemma 2 (T_k is a type system operator). *We have that T_k is a monotone function on type systems.*

Proof. We proceed by strong induction on k :

1. First, we check that $T_0(I, L, \phi, \psi)$ is a type system.

To check this, we check that ϕ' and ψ' respect equivalence on I' and L' . Since PERs are determined by their values, we need only consider the value cases of $(X, X') \in I'$ and $(A, A') \in L'$.

- Case $(\text{Loc}, \text{Loc}) \in I'$:
Immediate.
- Case $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}) \in I'$:
Immediate.
- Case $(\top_L, \top_L) \in I'$:
Immediate.
- Case $(1, 1) \in I'$:
Immediate.
- Case $(\Pi x : X. Y, \Pi x : X'. Y') \in I'$:
We know that $(X, X') \in I$.
We know that $\forall(v, v') \in \phi(X). ([v/x]Y, [v'/x]Y') \in I$.

Since ϕ respects I , we know that $\phi(X) = \phi(X')$.

Assume that we have $(v, v) \in \phi(X) = \phi(X')$.

Then we know that $([v/x]Y, [v/x]Y') \in I$.

Since ϕ respects I , we know that $\phi([v/x]Y) = \phi([v/x]Y')$.

Therefore $\lambda v \in \phi(X). \phi([v/x]Y) = \lambda v \in \phi(X'). \phi([v/x]Y')$.

Therefore $\Pi(\phi(X), \lambda v. \phi([v/x]Y)) = \Pi(\phi(X'), \lambda v. \phi([v/x]Y'))$.

Therefore $\phi'(\Pi x : X. Y) = \phi'(\Pi x : X'. Y')$.

Therefore ϕ' respects I' .

- Case $(\Sigma x : X. Y, \Sigma x : X'. Y') \in I'$:
Similar to $\Pi x : X. Y$ case.
- Case $(\forall x : X. Y, \forall x : X'. Y') \in I'$:
Similar to $\Pi x : X. Y$ case.
- Case $(\exists x : X. Y, \exists x : X'. Y') \in I'$:
Similar to $\Pi x : X. Y$ case.
- Case $(G A, G A') \in I'$:
We know that $(A, A') \in L$.
Since $(A, A') \in L$, we know that $\psi(A)$ and $\psi(A')$ are CPER's.
Hence $G(\psi(A))$ and $G(\psi(A'))$ are PER's.

We know that ψ respects L and that $(A, A') \in L$.

Therefore $\psi(A) = \psi(A')$.

Therefore $G(\psi(A)) = G(\psi(A'))$.

By definition of ϕ' , $\phi'(G A) = \phi'(G A')$.

So ϕ' respects L' .

- Case $(e_1 =_X e_2, e'_1 =_{X'} e'_2) \in I'$:

We know $(X, X') \in I$.

We know $(e_1, e'_1) \in \phi(X)$.

We know $(e_2, e'_2) \in \phi(X)$.

We want to show $\phi'(e_1 =_X e_2) = \phi'(e'_1 =_{X'} e'_2)$.

By definition, it suffices to show $\text{Id}(e_1, e_2, \phi(X)) = \text{Id}(e'_1, e'_2, \phi(X'))$.

Since ϕ respects I , we know $\phi(X) = \phi(X')$.

So it suffices to show $\text{Id}(e_1, e_2, \phi(X)) = \text{Id}(e'_1, e'_2, \phi(X))$.

We know $\text{Id}(e_1, e_2, \phi(X)) = \{(\text{refl}, \text{refl}) \mid (e_1, e_2) \in \phi(X)\}$.

We know $\text{Id}(e'_1, e'_2, \phi(X')) = \{(\text{refl}, \text{refl}) \mid (e'_1, e'_2) \in \phi(X')\}$.

So we want to show that $(e_1, e_2) \in \phi(X)$ iff $(e'_1, e'_2) \in \phi(X)$.

Assume $(e_1, e_2) \in \phi(X)$.

We know $(e'_1, e_1) \in \phi(X)$.

We know $(e_2, e'_2) \in \phi(X)$.

By transitivity of $\phi(X)$, $(e'_1, e'_2) \in \phi(X)$.

Therefore $(e_1, e_2) \in \phi(X)$ implies $(e'_1, e'_2) \in \phi(X)$.

Similarly, $(e'_1, e'_2) \in \phi(X)$ implies $(e_1, e_2) \in \phi(X)$.

Therefore $(e_1, e_2) \in \phi(X)$ iff $(e'_1, e'_2) \in \phi(X)$.

Therefore $\text{Id}(e_1, e_2, \phi(X)) = \text{Id}(e'_1, e'_2, \phi(X))$.

Therefore $\text{Id}(e_1, e_2, \phi(X)) = \text{Id}(e'_1, e'_2, \phi(X'))$.

Therefore $\phi'(e_1 =_X e_2) = \phi'(e'_1 =_{X'} e'_2)$.

- Case $(U_i, U_i) \in I$ where $i < k$:

Since $i < k$, by induction we can assume that T_i is a monotone function on type systems.

Hence the fixed point $\text{fix}(T_i)$ exists, and T_k is well-defined at this case.

Then it is immediate that $\phi'(U_i) = \phi'(U_i)$.

- Case $(I, I) \in L'$:

Similar to previous case.

- Case $(A \otimes B, A' \otimes B') \in L'$:

We know that $(A, A') \in L$ and $(B, B') \in L'$.

Since ψ respects L , $\psi(A) = \psi(A')$ and $\psi(B) = \psi(B')$.

Therefore $\psi(A) \hat{\otimes} \psi(B) = \psi(A') \hat{\otimes} \psi(B')$.

Therefore $\phi'(A \otimes B) = \phi'(A' \otimes B')$.

- Case $(A \multimap B, A' \multimap B') \in L'$:

We know that $(A, A') \in L$ and $(B, B') \in L'$.

Since ψ respects L , $\psi(A) = \psi(A')$ and $\psi(B) = \psi(B')$.

Therefore $\psi(A) \multimap \psi(B) = \psi(A') \multimap \psi(B')$.

Therefore $\phi'(A \multimap B) = \phi'(A' \multimap B')$.

- Case $(Fx : X. A, Fx : X'. A') \in L'$:

We know that $(X, X') \in I$.

We know that for all $(v, v') \in \phi(X)$, we have $([v/x]A, [v'/x]A') \in L$.

Assume $(v, v') \in \phi(X)$.

Then $([v/x]A, [v'/x]A') \in L$.

Since ψ respects L , we know $\psi([v/x]A) = \psi([v/x]A')$.

By extensionality, $\lambda v \in \phi(X). \psi([v/x]A) = \lambda v \in \phi(X'). \psi([v/x]A')$.

Hence $F(\phi(X), \lambda v \in \phi(X). \psi([v/x]A)) = F(\phi(X'), \lambda v \in \phi(X'). \psi([v/x]A'))$.

By definition, $\psi'(\mathsf{Fx} : X. A) = \psi'(\mathsf{Fx} : X'. A')$.

- Case $(T, T) \in L'$:

Similar to (I, I) case.

- Case $(A \& B, A' \& B') \in L'$:

We know that $(A, A') \in L$ and $(B, B') \in L'$.

Since ψ respects L , $\psi(A) = \psi(A')$ and $\psi(B) = \psi(B')$.

Therefore $\psi(A) \& \psi(B) = \psi(A') \& \psi(B')$.

Therefore $\phi'(A \& B) = \phi'(A' \& B')$.

- Case $(\Pi x : X. A, \Pi x : X'. A') \in L'$:

We know that $(X, X') \in I$.

We know that for all $(v, v') \in \phi(X)$, we have $([v/x]A, [v'/x]A') \in L$.

Assume $(v, v') \in \phi(X)$.

Then $([v/x]A, [v'/x]A') \in L$.

Since ψ respects L , we know $\psi([v/x]A) = \psi([v/x]A')$.

By extensionality, $\lambda v \in \phi(X). \psi([v/x]A) = \lambda v \in \phi(X'). \psi([v/x]A')$.

Hence $\Pi_L(\phi(X), \lambda v \in \phi(X). \psi([v/x]A)) = \Pi_L(\phi(X'), \lambda v \in \phi(X'). \psi([v/x]A'))$.

By definition, $\psi'(\Pi x : X. A) = \psi'(\Pi x : X'. A')$.

- Case $(\forall x : X. A, \forall x : X'. A') \in L'$:

Similar to $\Pi x : X. A$ case.

- Case $(\exists x : X. A, \exists x : X'. A') \in L'$:

Similar to $\Pi x : X. A$ case.

- Case $(T A, T A') \in L'$:

We know $(A, A') \in L$.

Since ψ respects L , $\psi(A) = \psi(A')$.

Therefore $\hat{T}(\psi(A)) = \hat{T}(\psi(A'))$.

By definition, $\psi'(T A) = \psi'(T A')$.

- Case $(e \mapsto X, e' \mapsto X') \in L'$:

We know that $(e, e') \in \text{Loc}$.

We know that $(X, X') \in I$.

We want to show that $\psi'(e \mapsto X) = \psi'(e' \mapsto X')$.

This is equivalent to showing $\text{Ptr}(e, \phi(X)) = \text{Ptr}(e', \phi(X))$.

It suffices to show that $(\langle \sigma; \bullet \rangle, \langle \sigma'; \bullet \rangle) \in \text{Ptr}(e, \phi(X))$ iff $(\langle \sigma; \bullet \rangle, \langle \sigma'; \bullet \rangle) \in \text{Ptr}(e', \phi(X'))$.

\Rightarrow : Assume $(\langle \sigma; \bullet \rangle, \langle \sigma'; \bullet \rangle) \in \text{Ptr}(e, \phi(X))$.

Therefore $\sigma = [l : v]$ and $\sigma' = [l : v']$

where $(e, l) \in \text{Loc}$ and $(v, v') \in \phi(X)$.

By symmetry, $(e', e) \in \text{Loc}$, and by transitivity $(e', l) \in \text{Loc}$.

We know that since ϕ respects I , $\phi(X) = \phi(X')$.

Therefore $(v, v') \in \phi(X')$.

Therefore $(\langle \sigma; \bullet \rangle, \langle \sigma'; \bullet \rangle) \in \text{Ptr}(e', \phi(X'))$.

\Leftarrow : The other direction is similar.

2. Next, we will show that if $(I_1, L_1, \phi_1, \psi_1) \leq (I_2, L_2, \phi_2, \psi_2)$ then $T_k(I_1, L_1, \phi_1, \psi_1) \leq T_k(I_2, L_2, \phi_2, \psi_2)$.

Let $(I'_1, L'_1, \phi'_1, \psi'_1) = T_k(I_1, L_1, \phi_1, \psi_1)$ and $(I'_2, L'_2, \phi'_2, \psi'_2) = T_k(I_2, L_2, \phi_2, \psi_2)$. We have four cases to show:

(a) $I'_1 \subseteq I'_2$: To show this, we want to show that if $(X, X') \in I'_1$, then $(X, X') \in I'_2$. Since PER's are closed under evaluation, it suffices to consider the value forms of (X, X') :

- $(X, X') = (\text{Loc}, \text{Loc})$:

By definition of T_k , $(\text{Loc}, \text{Loc}) \in I'_2$.

- $(X, X') = (1, 1)$:

Similar to previous case.

- $(X, X') = (\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N})$:

Similar to previous case.

- $(X, X') = (\top_L, \top_L)$:

Similar to previous case.

- $(X, X') = (e =_\gamma t, e' =_{\gamma'} t')$:

By definition of T_k , we know that $(Y, Y') \in I_1$ and $(e, e') \in \phi_1(Y)$ and $(t, t') \in \phi_1(Y)$.

Since $I_1 \subseteq I_2$, we know $(Y, Y') \in I_2$.

By the definition of the preorder, $\phi_2(Y) = \phi_1(Y)$.

Therefore $(e, e') \in \phi_2(Y)$ and $(t, t') \in \phi_2(Y)$.

Hence $(X, X') \in I'_2$.

- $(X, X') = (\Pi y : Y. Z[y], \Pi y : Y'. Z'[y])$:

By definition of T_k , we know $(Y, Y') \in I_1$.

By definition of T_k , we know $\forall(v, v') \in \phi_1(Y). (Z[v], Z'[v']) \in I_1$.

Since $I_1 \subseteq I_2$, we know $(Y, Y') \in I_2$.

Assume $(v, v') \in \phi_2(Y)$.

Since $(Y, Y') \in I_1$, it follows that $\phi_1(Y) = \phi_2(Y)$.

Hence $(v, v') \in \phi_1(Y)$.

Therefore $(Z[v], Z'[v']) \in I_1$.

Since $I_1 \subseteq I_2$, we know $(Z[v], Z'[v']) \in I_2$.

Therefore $\forall(v, v') \in \phi_2(Y). (Z[v], Z'[v']) \in I_2$.

Therefore $(X, X') \in I'_2$.

- Case $(X, X') = (\Sigma y : Y. Z, \Sigma y : Y'. Z')$:

Similar to the pi case.

- Case $(X, X') = (\forall y : Y. Z, \forall y : Y'. Z')$:

Similar to the pi case.

- Case $(X, X') = (\exists y : Y. Z, \exists y : Y'. Z')$:
Similar to the pi case.
- Case $(X, X') = (G A, G A')$:
By definition of T_k , we know $(A, A') \in L_1$.
Since $L_1 \subseteq L_2$, we know $(A, A') \in L_2$.
Hence $(G A, G A') \in I'_2$.
- $(X, X') = (U_i, U_i)$:
By the definition of T_k , $i < k$.
Hence $(U_i, U_i) \in I'_2$.
- $(X, X') = (L_i, L_i)$:
Similar to the previous case.

(b) $L'_1 \subseteq L'_2$: To show this, we want to show that if $(C, C') \in L'_1$, then $(C, C') \in L'_2$. Since PER's are closed under evaluation, it suffices to consider the value forms of (X, X') :

- Case $(C, C') = (I, I)$:
By definition of T_k , $(I, I) \in L'_2$.
- Case $(C, C') = (A \otimes B, A' \otimes B')$:
By definition of T_k , we know $(A, A') \in L_1$.
By definition of T_k , we know $(B, B') \in L_1$.
Since $L_1 \subseteq L_2$, we know $(A, A') \in L_2$.
Since $L_1 \subseteq L_2$, we know $(B, B') \in L_2$.
By definition of T_k , we have $(A \otimes B, A' \otimes B') \in L'_2$.
- Case $(C, C') = (A \multimap B, A' \multimap B')$:
Similar to the previous case.
- Case $(C, C') = (A \& B, A' \& B')$:
Similar to the previous case.
- Case $(C, C') = (\top, \top)$:
By definition of T_k , $(\top, \top) \in L'_2$.
- Case $(C, C') = (T A, T A')$:
By definition of T_k , we know $(A, A') \in L_1$.
Since $L_1 \subseteq L_2$, we know $(A, A') \in L_2$.
By definition of T_k , we have $(T A, T A') \in L'_2$.
- Case $(C, C') = (F x : X. A[x], F x : X'. A'[x])$:
By definition of T_k , we know $(X, X') \in I_1$.
By definition of T_k , we know $\forall(v, v') \in \phi_1(X). (A[v], A'[v']) \in L_1$.
Since $I_1 \subseteq I_2$, we know $(X, X') \in I_2$.

Assume $(v, v') \in \phi_2(X)$.
Since $(X, X') \in I_1$, by properties of extension, $\phi_2(X) = \phi_1(X)$.
Hence $(v, v') \in \phi_1(X)$.
Hence $(A[v], A'[v']) \in L_1$.

Since $L_1 \subseteq L_2$, we have $(A[v], A'[v']) \in L_2$.
 Therefore $\forall(v, v') \in \phi_2(X). (A[v], A'[v']) \in L_2$.

Therefore $(\mathsf{Fx} : X. A[x], \mathsf{Fx} : X'. A'[x]) \in L'_2$.

- Case $(C, C') = (\Pi x : X. A[x], \Pi x : X'. A'[x])$:
 Similar to previous case.
- Case $(C, C') = (\forall x : X. A[x], \forall x : X'. A'[x])$:
 Similar to previous case.
- Case $(C, C') = (\exists x : X. A[x], \exists x : X'. A'[x])$:
 Similar to previous case.
- Case $(C, C') = (e \mapsto X, e' \mapsto X')$:
 By definition of T_k , we know $(e, e') \in \text{Loc}$.
 By definition of T_k , we know $(X, X') \in I_1$.
 Since $I_1 \subseteq I_2$, we have $(X, X') \in I_2$.
 Therefore $(C, C') \in L'_2$.

(c) Next, we want to show that if $(X, X') \in I'_1$, then $\phi'_1(X) = \phi'_2(X)$. Since PERs are determined by values, we proceed by cases on the value part of $(X, X') \in I'_1$.

- Case $(X, X') = (\text{Loc}, \text{Loc})$:
 By definition of T_k , we see that $\phi'_1(\text{Loc}) = \phi'_2(\text{Loc}) = \text{Loc}$.
- Case $(X, X') = (1, 1)$:
 Similar to previous case.
- Case $(X, X') = (\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N})$:
 Similar to previous case.
- Case $(X, X') = (\top_I, \top_I)$:
 Similar to previous case.
- Case $(X, X') = (GA, GA')$:
 By definition of T_k , we know that $(A, A') \in L_1$.
 Since $L_1 \subseteq L_2$, we know that $(A, A') \in L_2$.
 Since $\psi_1 \sqsubseteq \psi_2$, we know $\psi_1(A) = \psi_2(A)$.
 Therefore $G(\psi_1(A)) = G(\psi_2(A))$.
 Therefore $\phi'_1(GA) = \phi'_2(GA)$.
- Case $(X, X') = (\Pi y : Y. Z[y], \Pi y : Y'. Z'[y'])$:
 By definition of T_k , we know that $(Y, Y') \in I_1$.
 By definition of T_k , we know that $\forall(v, v') \in \phi_1(Y). (Y[v], Y'[v']) \in I_1$.

Since $I_1 \subseteq I_2$, we know $(Y, Y') \in I_2$.
 Since $\phi_1 \sqsubseteq \phi_2$, we know $\phi_1(Y) = \phi_2(Y)$.
 Assume $(v, v) \in \phi_2(Y)$.
 Then we know $(v, v) \in \phi_1(Y)$.
 Hence $(Z[v], Z'[v]) \in I_1$.

Since $I_1 \subseteq I_2$, we know $(Z[v], Z'[v]) \in I_2$, too.

Since $\phi_1 \sqsubseteq \phi_2$, we know $\phi_1(Z[v]) = \phi_2(Z[v])$.

Therefore for all $(v, v) \in \phi_2(Y)$, we have $\phi_1(Z[v]) = \phi_2(Z[v])$.

By extensionality, $\lambda v. \phi_1(Z[v]) = \lambda v. \phi_2(Z[v])$.

Therefore $\Pi(\phi_1(Y), \lambda v. \phi_1(Z[v])) = \Pi(\phi_2(Y), \lambda v. \phi_2(Z[v]))$.

By definition of T_k , we have $\phi'_1(\Pi y : Y. Z[y]) = \phi'_2(\Pi y : Y'. Z'[y'])$.

- Case $(X, X') = (\Sigma y : Y. Z[y], \Sigma y : Y'. Z'[y'])$:
Similar to the previous case.

- Case $(X, X') = (\forall y : Y. Z[y], \forall y : Y'. Z'[y'])$:
Similar to the previous case.

- Case $(X, X') = (\exists y : Y. Z[y], \exists y : Y'. Z'[y'])$:
Similar to the previous case.

- Case $(X, X') = (e =_Y t, e' =_{Y'} t')$:
By definition of T_k , we know $(Y, Y') \in I_1$.
Since $I_1 \subseteq I_2$, we get $(Y, Y') \in I_2$.
Since $\phi_1 \sqsubseteq \phi_2$, $\phi_1(Y) = \phi_2(Y)$.
Therefore $\text{Id}(\phi_1(Y), e, t) = \text{Id}(\phi_2(Y), e, t)$.
Therefore $\phi'_1(e =_Y t) = \phi'_2(e =_Y t)$.

- $(X, X') = (U_i, U_i)$:
By definition of T_k , $\phi'_1(U_i) = \phi'_2(U_i) = \text{let } (U, -, -, -) = \text{fix}(T_i) \text{ in } U$.

- $(X, X') = (L_i, L_i)$:
Similar to previous case.

(d) Finally, we must show that if $(C, C') \in L'_1$, then $\psi'_1(C) = \psi'_2(C)$. Since PERs are determined by value configurations, we proceed by cases on the value part of $(C, C') \in L'_1$.

- Case $(C, C') = (I, I)$:
By definition of T_k , $\psi'_1(I) = \psi'_2(I) = \hat{I}$.
- Case $(C, C') = (A \otimes B, A' \otimes B')$:
By definition of T_k , we know $(A, A') \in L_1$.
Since $L_1 \subseteq L_2$, we get $(A, A') \in L_2$.
Since $\psi_1 \sqsubseteq \psi_2$, we get $\psi_1(A) = \psi_2(A)$.

By definition of T_k , we know $(B, B') \in L_1$.

Since $L_1 \subseteq L_2$, we get $(B, B') \in L_2$.

Since $\psi_1 \sqsubseteq \psi_2$, we get $\psi_1(B) = \psi_2(B)$.

Therefore $\psi_1(A) \hat{\otimes} \psi_1(B) = \psi_2(A) \hat{\otimes} \psi_2(B)$.

By definition of T_k , we have $\psi'_1(A \otimes B) = \psi'_2(A \otimes B)$.

- Case $(C, C') = (A \multimap B, A' \multimap B')$:

Similar to the previous case.

- Case $(C, C') = (A \& B, A' \& B')$:
Similar to the previous case.
- Case $(C, C') = (\top, \top)$:
By definition of T_k , $\psi'_1(\top) = \psi'_2(\top) = \hat{\top}$.
- Case $(C, C') = (\mathsf{F}x : X. A[x], \mathsf{F}x : X'. A'[x])$:
By definition of T_k , we know $(X, X') \in I_1$.
By definition of T_k , we know $\forall(v, v') \in \phi_1(X). (A[v], A'[v']) \in L_1$.

Since $I_1 \subseteq I_2$, we get $(X, X') \in I_2$.

Since $\phi_1 \sqsubseteq \phi_2$, we have $\phi_1(X) = \phi_2(X)$.

Assume $(v, v') \in \phi_2(X)$.

Then $(v, v') \in \phi_1(X)$.

Therefore $(A[v], A'[v']) \in L_1$.

Since $L_1 \subseteq L_2$, we get $(A[v], A'[v']) \in L_2$.

Since $\psi_1 \sqsubseteq \psi_2$, we have $\psi_1(A[v]) = \psi_2(A[v])$.

Therefore $\forall(v, v') \in \phi_2(X). \psi_1(A[v]) = \psi_2(A[v])$.

By extensionality, $\lambda v \in \phi_1(X). \psi_1(A[v]) = \lambda v \in \phi_2(X). \psi_2(A[v])$.

Therefore $\mathsf{F}(\phi_1(X), \lambda v \in \phi_1(X). \psi_1(A[v])) = \mathsf{F}(\phi_2(X), \lambda v \in \phi_2(X). \psi_2(A[v]))$.

By definition of T_k , we have $\psi'_1(\mathsf{F}x : X. A[x]) = \psi'_2(\mathsf{F}x : X. A[x])$.

- Case $(C, C') = (\Pi x : X. A[x], \Pi x : X'. A'[x])$:
Similar to previous case.

- Case $(C, C') = (\forall x : X. A[x], \forall x : X'. A'[x])$:
Similar to previous case.

- Case $(C, C') = (\exists x : X. A[x], \exists x : X'. A'[x])$:
Similar to previous case.

- Case $(C, C') = (\top A, \top A')$:

By definition of T_k , we know $(A, A') \in L_1$.

Since $L_1 \subseteq L_2$, we get $(A, A') \in L_2$.

Since $\psi_1 \sqsubseteq \psi_2$, we get $\psi_1(A) = \psi_2(A)$.

Therefore $\hat{\top}(\psi_1(A)) = \hat{\top}(\psi_2(A))$.

By definition of T_k , we have $\psi'_1(\top A) = \psi'_2(\top A)$.

- Case $(C, C') = (e \mapsto X, e' \mapsto X')$:

By definition of T_k , we know $(X, X') \in I_1$.

Since $I_1 \subseteq I_2$, we have $(X, X') \in I_2$.

Hence $\phi_1(X) = \phi_2(X)$.

Hence $\mathit{Ptr}(e, \phi_1(X)) = \mathit{Ptr}(e, \phi_2(X))$.

By definition of T_k , we have $\phi'_1(e \mapsto X) = \phi'_2(e \mapsto X)$.

□

Lemma 3 (Expansion). *If $i \leq k$ and τ is a type system then $T_i(\tau) \leq T_k(\tau)$.*

Proof. Immediate, since the definition of T_k only adds those universes U_j such that $i \leq j < k$. □

Lemma 4 (Universe Cumulativity). *If $i \leq k$ then $\mathcal{T}_i \leq \mathcal{T}_k$.*

Proof. First, note that by monotonicity, $T_i(\tau) \leq T_i(\tau')$ for any $\tau \leq \tau'$.

Then by expansion, we know that $T_i(\tau') \leq T_k(\tau')$.

Hence by transitivity, $T_i(\tau) \leq T_k(\tau')$.

Next, consider the ordinal-indexed sequences:

- $s_0 = \emptyset$
- $s_{\beta+1} = T_i(s_\beta)$
- $s_\lambda = \bigsqcup_{\beta < \lambda} s_\beta$

- $t_0 = \emptyset$
- $t_{\beta+1} = T_k(t_\beta)$
- $t_\lambda = \bigsqcup_{\beta < \lambda} t_\beta$

Now observe that for every ordinal α , $s_\alpha \leq t_\alpha$.

Since both of these sequences reach fixed points, it follows that $\mathcal{T}_i \leq \mathcal{T}_k$. □

Lemma 5 (Context Shrinking).

If $\Gamma, \Gamma' \text{ ok}$ then $\Gamma \text{ ok}$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on the structure of Γ' :

- Case $\Gamma' = \cdot$:
We have $\Gamma, \Gamma' \text{ ok}$.
Then $\Gamma, \Gamma' = \Gamma$, and so we already have $\Gamma \text{ ok}$.

- Case $\Gamma' = \Gamma'', x : X$:
We have $\Gamma, \Gamma'', x : X \text{ ok}$.
By inversion, we get $\Gamma, \Gamma'' \text{ ok}$.
By induction, we get $\Gamma \text{ ok}$.

□

Lemma 6 (Linear Context Shrinking).

If $\Gamma \vdash \Delta, \Delta' \text{ ok}$ then $\Gamma \vdash \Delta \text{ ok}$ and $\Gamma \vdash \Delta' \text{ ok}$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on the structure of Δ' :

- Case $\Delta' = \cdot$:
We have $\Gamma \vdash \Delta, \Delta' \text{ ok}$.
■ Then $\Delta, \Delta' = \Delta$, and so we already have $\Gamma \vdash \Delta \text{ ok}$.
By LCTXNIL, we have $\Gamma \vdash \cdot \text{ ok}$.
■ Therefore $\Gamma \vdash \Delta' \text{ ok}$.

- Case $\Delta' = \Delta'', a : A$:

We have $\Gamma \vdash \Delta, \Delta'', a : A$ ok.

By inversion, we get $\Gamma \vdash A$ linear.

By inversion, we get $\Gamma \vdash \Delta, \Delta''$ ok.

☞ By induction, we get $\Gamma \vdash \Delta$ ok.

By induction, we get $\Gamma \vdash \Delta''$ ok.

By LCTXCONS, we get $\Gamma \vdash \Delta'', a : A$ ok.

☞ Therefore $\Gamma \vdash \Delta'$ ok.

□

Lemma 7 (Substitution Shrinking).

If $\gamma \in \llbracket \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 \rrbracket$ then there are γ_0, γ_1 such that $\gamma = \gamma_0, \gamma_1$ and $\gamma_0 \in \llbracket \Gamma_0 \rrbracket$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on Γ_1 :

- Case $\Gamma_1 = \cdot$:

Then $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 = \Gamma_0$.

So $\gamma \in \llbracket \Gamma_0 \rrbracket$.

Take $\gamma_0 = \gamma$.

Take $\gamma_1 = \langle \rangle$.

☞ So $\gamma_0 \in \llbracket \Gamma_0 \rrbracket$.

☞ Note $\gamma = \gamma, \langle \rangle = \gamma_0, \gamma_1$.

- Case $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma'_1, x : X$:

We have $\gamma \in \llbracket \Gamma_0, \Gamma'_1, x : X \rrbracket$.

By definition of $\llbracket - \rrbracket$, we know $\gamma = (\gamma, (e, e')/x)$.

By definition of $\llbracket - \rrbracket$, we know $\gamma'(X) \in I$.

By definition of $\llbracket - \rrbracket$, we know $(e, e') \in \phi(\gamma'_1(X))$.

By definition of $\llbracket - \rrbracket$, we know $\gamma' \in \llbracket \Gamma_0, \Gamma'_1 \rrbracket$.

By induction, we have γ_0, γ'_1 such that $\gamma' = \gamma_0, \gamma'_1$.

☞ By induction, we have $\gamma_0 \in \llbracket \Gamma_0 \rrbracket$.

Take $\gamma_1 = \gamma'_1, (e, e')/x$.

☞ Note that $\gamma = (\gamma', (e, e')/x) = (\gamma_0, \gamma'_1, (e, e')/x) = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1)$

□

Lemma 8 (Free Variables of Linear Contexts).

If $\Gamma \vdash \Delta$ ok then $FV(\Delta) \subseteq \text{dom}(\Gamma)$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on Δ :

- Case $\Delta = \cdot$:

Then $FV(\Delta) = \emptyset$.

Immediately, $FV(\Delta) \subseteq \text{dom}(\Gamma)$.

- Case $\Delta = \Delta', a : A$:

By inversion on $\Gamma \vdash \Delta$ ok, we get $\Gamma \vdash \Delta'$ ok.

By inversion on $\Gamma \vdash \Delta$ ok, we get $\Gamma \vdash A$ linear.

By induction, $FV(\Delta') \subseteq \text{dom}(\Gamma)$.

By properties of typing, $FV(A) \subseteq \text{dom}(\Gamma)$.

Hence $FV(\Delta') \cup FV(A) \subseteq \text{dom}(\Gamma)$.

Hence $\text{FV}(\Delta', a : A) \subseteq \text{dom}(\Gamma)$.

By equality, $\text{FV}(\Delta) \subseteq \text{dom}(\Gamma)$.

□

Lemma 9 (Linear Heap Preservation).

If $\langle \sigma; e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; u \rangle$ then $\sigma = \sigma'$.

Proof. Routine induction on derivations. The only interesting case is dereference:

$$e \Downarrow l \quad \langle \sigma; e' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', l : v; * \rangle \quad \langle \sigma', l : v; [v/x, */c]e'' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u \rangle$$

- **Case LDREF:** $\langle \sigma; \text{let } (x, c) = \text{get}(e, e') \text{ in } e'' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u \rangle$

By induction, we know that $\sigma = (\sigma', l : v)$.

By induction, we know that $(\sigma', l : v) = \sigma''$.

By transitivity, $\sigma = \sigma''$.

□

Lemma 10 (Linear Evaluation Frame Property).

If $\langle \sigma; e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; u \rangle$ and $\sigma_f \# \sigma$ then $\sigma' \# \sigma_f$ and $\langle \sigma \cdot \sigma_f; e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma' \cdot \sigma_f; u \rangle$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on the derivation of $\langle \sigma; e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; u \rangle$.

- **Case LVAL:** $\overline{\langle \sigma; u \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma; u \rangle}$

☞ By assumption, $\sigma \# \sigma_f$.

Since $\sigma \# \sigma_f$, we know $\sigma \cdot \sigma_f$ is defined.

☞ Hence by rule LVAL, $\langle \sigma \cdot \sigma'; u \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma \cdot \sigma'; u \rangle$.

$$\langle \sigma; e_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; \lambda x. e'_1 \rangle \quad \langle \sigma'; [e_2/x]e'_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u'' \rangle$$

- **Case LAPP:** $\overline{\langle \sigma; e_1 e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u'' \rangle}$

By assumption, we have $\sigma \# \sigma_f$.

By inversion, we have $\langle \sigma; e_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; \lambda x. e'_1 \rangle$.

By inversion, we have $\langle \sigma'; [e_2/x]e'_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u'' \rangle$.

By induction, we get $\langle \sigma \cdot \sigma_f; e_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma' \cdot \sigma_f; \lambda x. e'_1 \rangle$. (a)

We also get $\sigma' \# \sigma_f$.

By induction, we get $\langle \sigma' \cdot \sigma_f; [e_2/x]e'_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'' \cdot \sigma_f; u'' \rangle$. (b)

☞ We also get $\sigma'' \# \sigma_f$.

☞ By rule LAPP on (a) and (b), we get $\langle \sigma \cdot \sigma_f; e_1 e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'' \cdot \sigma_f; u'' \rangle$.

$$\langle \sigma; e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; () \rangle \quad \langle \sigma'; e' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u \rangle$$

- **Case LUNIT:** $\overline{\langle \sigma; \text{let } () = e \text{ in } e' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u \rangle}$

By assumption, we have $\sigma \# \sigma_f$.

By inversion, we have $\langle \sigma; e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; () \rangle$.

By inversion, we have $\langle \sigma'; e' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u \rangle$.

By induction, we get $\langle \sigma \cdot \sigma_f; e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma' \cdot \sigma_f; () \rangle$. (a)

We also get $\sigma' \# \sigma_f$.

By induction, we get $\langle \sigma' \cdot \sigma_f; e' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'' \cdot \sigma_f; u \rangle$. (b)

☞ We also get $\sigma'' \# \sigma_f$.

☞ By rule LUNIT on (a) and (b), we get $\langle \sigma \cdot \sigma_f; \text{let } () = e \text{ in } e' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'' \cdot \sigma_f; u \rangle$.

$$\frac{\langle \sigma; e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; (e_1, e_2) \rangle \quad \langle \sigma'; [e_1/a, e_2/b]e' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u \rangle}{\langle \sigma; \text{let } (a, b) = e \text{ in } e' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u \rangle}$$

- **Case LPAIR:**

By assumption, we have $\sigma \# \sigma_f$.

By inversion, we have $\langle \sigma; e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; (e_1, e_2) \rangle$.

By inversion, we have $\langle \sigma'; [e_1/a, e_2/b]e' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u \rangle$.

By induction, we get $\langle \sigma \cdot \sigma_f; e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma' \cdot \sigma_f; (e_1, e_2) \rangle$. (a)

We also get $\sigma' \# \sigma_f$.

By induction, we get $\langle \sigma' \cdot \sigma_f; [e_1/a, e_2/b]e' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'' \cdot \sigma_f; u \rangle$. (b)

☞ We also get $\sigma'' \# \sigma_f$.

☞ By rule LPAIR on (a) and (b), we get $\langle \sigma \cdot \sigma_f; \text{let } (a, b) = e \text{ in } [e_1/a, e_2/b]e' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'' \cdot \sigma_f; u \rangle$.

$$\frac{\langle \sigma; e_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; \lambda x. e \rangle \quad \langle \sigma'; [e_2/x]e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u'' \rangle}{\langle \sigma; e_1 e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u'' \rangle}$$

- **Case LPAPP:**

By assumption, we have $\sigma \# \sigma_f$.

By inversion, $\langle \sigma; e_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; \lambda x. e \rangle$.

By inversion, $\langle \sigma'; [e_2/x]e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u'' \rangle$.

(a) By induction, $\langle \sigma \cdot \sigma_f; e_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma' \cdot \sigma_f; \lambda x. e \rangle$.

We also get $\sigma' \# \sigma_f$.

By (b) induction, $\langle \sigma' \cdot \sigma_f; [e_2/x]e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'' \cdot \sigma_f; u'' \rangle$.

☞ We also get $\sigma'' \# \sigma_f$.

☞ By rule LPAPP on (a) and (b), we get $\langle \sigma \cdot \sigma_f; e_1 e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'' \cdot \sigma_f; u'' \rangle$.

$$\frac{\langle \sigma; e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; (e_1, e_2) \rangle \quad \langle \sigma'; e_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u'' \rangle}{\langle \sigma; \pi_1 e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u'' \rangle}$$

- **Case LFST:**

By assumption, we have $\sigma \# \sigma_f$.

By inversion, $\langle \sigma; e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; (e_1, e_2) \rangle$.

By inversion, $\langle \sigma'; e_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u'' \rangle$.

By induction, $\langle \sigma \cdot \sigma_f; e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma' \cdot \sigma_f; (e_1, e_2) \rangle$.

We also have $\sigma' \# \sigma_f$.

By induction, $\langle \sigma' \cdot \sigma_f; e_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'' \cdot \sigma_f; u'' \rangle$.

☞ We also have $\sigma'' \# \sigma_f$.

☞ By rule LFST on (a) and (b), we get $\langle \sigma \cdot \sigma_f; \pi_1 e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'' \cdot \sigma_f; u'' \rangle$.

$$\frac{\langle \sigma; e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; (e_1, e_2) \rangle \quad \langle \sigma'; e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u'' \rangle}{\langle \sigma; \pi_2 e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u'' \rangle}$$

- **Case LSND:**

Similar to previous case.

$$\frac{\langle \sigma; e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; F(e_1, e_2) \rangle \quad \langle \sigma'; [e_1/x, e_2/a]e' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u \rangle}{\langle \sigma; \text{let } F(x, a) = e \text{ in } e' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u \rangle}$$

- **Case LF:**

By assumption, we have $\sigma \# \sigma_f$.

By inversion, we have $\langle \sigma; e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; F(e_1, e_2) \rangle$.

By inversion, we have $\langle \sigma'; [e_1/x, e_2/b]e' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u \rangle$.

By induction, we get $\langle \sigma \cdot \sigma_f; e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma' \cdot \sigma_f; F(e_1, e_2) \rangle$. (a)

We also get $\sigma' \# \sigma_f$.

By induction, we get $\langle \sigma' \cdot \sigma_f; [e_1/a, e_2/b]e' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'' \cdot \sigma_f; u \rangle$. (b)

☞ We also get $\sigma'' \# \sigma_f$.

☞ By rule LF on (a) and (b), we get $\langle \sigma \cdot \sigma_f; \text{let } (a, b) = e \text{ in } [e_1/a, e_2/b]e' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'' \cdot \sigma_f; u \rangle$.

$$e \Downarrow G e' \quad \langle \sigma; e' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; u \rangle$$

- **Case LRUNG:** $\frac{e \Downarrow G e' \quad \langle \sigma; e' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; u \rangle}{\langle \sigma; G^{-1} e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; u \rangle}$

By assumption, we have $\sigma \# \sigma_f$.

(a) By inversion, we get $e \Downarrow G e'$.

By inversion, we get $\langle \sigma; e' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; u \rangle$.

(b) By induction, we get $\langle \sigma \cdot \sigma_f; e' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma' \cdot \sigma_f; u \rangle$.

☞ We also get $\sigma' \# \sigma_f$.

By rule LRUNG on (a) and (b), $\langle \sigma \cdot \sigma_f; G^{-1} e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma' \cdot \sigma_f; u \rangle$.

$$e \Downarrow l \quad \langle \sigma; e' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', l : v; \bullet \rangle \quad \langle \sigma', l : v; [v/x, \bullet/c]e'' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u \rangle$$

- **Case LDEREF:** $\frac{e \Downarrow l \quad \langle \sigma; e' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', l : v; \bullet \rangle \quad \langle \sigma', l : v; [v/x, \bullet/c]e'' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u \rangle}{\langle \sigma; \text{let } (x, c) = \text{get}(e, e') \text{ in } e'' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''; u \rangle}$

By assumption, we have $\sigma \# \sigma_f$.

(a) By inversion, $e \Downarrow l$.

By inversion, $\langle \sigma; e' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', l : v; \bullet \rangle$.

By inversion, $\langle \sigma; [v/x, \bullet/c]e'' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', l : v; u \rangle$.

(b) By induction, $\langle \sigma, l : v, \sigma_f; [v/x, \bullet/c]e'' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma' \cdot \sigma_f; u \rangle$.

We also get $\sigma', l : v \# \sigma_f$.

(c) By induction, $\langle (\sigma', l : v) \cdot \sigma_f; [v/x, \bullet/c]e'' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'' \cdot \sigma_f; u \rangle$.

☞ We also get $\sigma'' \# \sigma_f$.

By rule LDEREF on (a), (b) and (c), we get

$$\langle \sigma \cdot \sigma_f; \text{let } (x, c) = \text{get}(e, e') \text{ in } e'' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'' \cdot \sigma_f; u \rangle$$

□

Theorem 1 (Fundamental Property).

Assuming that $\Gamma \text{ ok}$ and $\gamma \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$ and $\Gamma \vdash \Delta \text{ ok}$ and $(\sigma, \delta) \in \llbracket \gamma_1(\Delta) \rrbracket$, we have that:

1. If $\Gamma \vdash X \text{ type}$ then $\gamma(X) \in U(\gamma_1(X))$.
2. If $\Gamma \vdash X \equiv Y \text{ type}$ then $(\gamma_1(X), \gamma_2(Y)) \in U(\gamma_1(X))$.
3. If $\Gamma \vdash e : X$ then $\gamma(e) \in \Phi(\gamma_1(X))$.
4. If $\Gamma \vdash e_1 \equiv e_2 : X$ then $(\gamma_1(e_1), \gamma_2(e_2)) \in \Phi(\gamma_1(X))$.
5. If $\Gamma \vdash A \text{ linear}$ then $\gamma(A) \in L(\gamma_1(X))$.
6. If $\Gamma \vdash A \equiv B \text{ linear}$ then $(\gamma_1(A), \gamma_2(B)) \in L(\gamma_1(X))$.
7. If $\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : A$ then $((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta_1(e))), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2(e)))) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(X))$.
8. If $\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e_1 \equiv e_2 : A$ then $((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta_1(e_1))), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2(e_2)))) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(X))$.
9. If $\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \div A$ then there exists t and t' such that for every $\gamma \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$ and every $(\sigma, \delta) \in \llbracket \gamma_1(\Delta) \rrbracket$, $((\sigma_1, \delta_1(\gamma_1(t))), (\sigma_2, \delta_2(\gamma_2(t')))) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(A))$.

Proof. Assume that Γ ok and $\gamma \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$.

This proof has 9 main cases, all mutually inductive:

1. If $\Gamma \vdash X$ type then $\gamma(X) \in U_k(\gamma_1(X))$ for some k .

We case analyze the derivation of $\Gamma \vdash X$ type.

- **Case TP:**
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash X : U_i}{\Gamma \vdash X \text{ type}}$$

By induction, we know that $(\gamma_1(X), \gamma_2(X)) \in \phi(U_i)$.
Thus $(\gamma_1(X), \gamma_2(X)) \in I$ at T_i .

2. If $\Gamma \vdash X \equiv Y$ type then $(\gamma_1(X), \gamma_2(Y)) \in U(\gamma_1(X))$.

We case analyze the derivation of $\Gamma \vdash X \equiv Y$ type.

- **Case TPEQ:**
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv Y : U_i}{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv Y \text{ type}}$$

By induction, we know that $(\gamma_1(X), \gamma_2(X)) \in \phi(U_i)$.
Thus $(\gamma_1(X), \gamma_2(X))$ is in the I of type system T_i .

3. If $\Gamma \vdash e : X$ then $\gamma(e) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$.

We case analyze the derivation of $\Gamma \vdash e : X$:

- **Case IU:**
$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash U_i : U_{i+1}}$$

Notice that $\gamma(U_i, U_i) = (U_i, U_i) \in \phi(U_{i+1})$ in T_{i+2} since it is in I in T_{i+1} .

- **Case IL:**
$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash L_i : U_{i+1}}$$

The same remark applies if one substitutes U_i by L_i .

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash X : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y : U_i}{\Gamma \vdash X : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y : U_i}$$

- **Case IPI:**
$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \Pi x : X. Y : U_i}$$

By induction and $\Gamma, x : X$ ok, we have

- $(\gamma_1(X), \gamma_2(X)) \in \phi(U_i)$
- $\forall (e_1, e_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X)), ((\gamma_1, e_1/x)(Y), (\gamma_2, e_2/x)(Y)) \in \phi(U_i)$

which is exactly the requirement needed for $\Pi x : X. Y$ to be in I in $T_i(T_i) = T_i$ and thus in $\phi(U_i)$ in T_ω .

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash X : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y : U_i}{\Gamma \vdash X : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y : U_i}$$

- **Case ISIGMA:**
$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \Sigma x : X. Y : U_i}$$

The argument is the same as in the case IPI.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash X : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash A : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash X : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash A : L_i}$$

- **Case ILPI:**
$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \Pi x : X. A : L_i}$$

The argument is similar to the IF case.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash X : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y : U_i}{\Gamma \vdash X : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y : U_i}$$

- **Case :**
$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \forall x : X. Y : U_i}$$

The argument is similar to the IF case.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash X : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash X : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y : L_i}$$

- **Case :**
$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \forall x : X. Y : L_i}$$

The argument is similar to the IF case.

$$\bullet \text{ Case : } \frac{\Gamma \vdash X : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y : U_i}{\Gamma \vdash \exists x : X. Y : U_i}$$

The argument is similar to the IF case.

$$\bullet \text{ Case : } \frac{\Gamma \vdash X : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash \exists x : X. Y : L_i}$$

The argument is similar to the IF case.

$$\bullet \text{ Case IWITH: } \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : L_i \quad \Gamma \vdash B : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash A \& B : L_i}$$

Same argument as the ITENSOR case.

$$\bullet \text{ Case IUNIT: } \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash 1 : U_i}$$

Clearly, $\gamma(1, 1) = (1, 1) \in I$ at T_i .

$$\bullet \text{ Case ILOC: } \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash Loc : U_i}$$

Same argument as IUNIT.

$$\bullet \text{ Case INAT: } \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash N : U_i}$$

Same argument as IUNIT.

$$\bullet \text{ Case IG: } \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash G A : U_i}$$

By induction, $(\gamma_1(A), \gamma_2(A)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(L_i))$, thus in I at T_i .

Since it is a fixpoint of T_i , we have also $(G\gamma_1(A), G\gamma_2(A)) \in \phi(U_i)$.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash X : U_i \quad \Gamma \vdash e : X \quad \Gamma \vdash e' : X}{\Gamma \vdash e =_X e' : U_i}$$

$$\bullet \text{ Case IEQ: } \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash e =_X e' : U_i}$$

Similar to IPTR.

$$\bullet \text{ Case IONE: } \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash ! : L_i}$$

Same argument as IUNIT.

$$\bullet \text{ Case ITENSOR: } \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : L_i \quad \Gamma \vdash B : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash A \otimes B : L_i}$$

Same argument as IG.

$$\bullet \text{ Case ILOLLI: } \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \multimap B : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash A \multimap B : L_i}$$

Same argument as IG.

$$\bullet \text{ Case IF: } \frac{\Gamma \vdash X : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash A : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash Fx : X. A : L_i}$$

By induction and $\Gamma, x : X$ ok,

$$(\gamma_1(X), \gamma_2(X)) \in U$$

$$\forall(e_1, e_2) \in U, ((\gamma_1, e_1/x)(A), (\gamma_2, e_2/x)(A)) \in L$$

Thus by definition, $(\gamma_1(Fx : X. A), \gamma_2(Fx : X. A)) \in L$ since we're in a fixpoint of T_i . Thus, we have the expected result.

$$\bullet \text{ Case IPTR: } \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : Loc \quad \Gamma \vdash X : U_i \quad \Gamma \vdash e' : X}{\Gamma \vdash e \mapsto X : L_i}$$

By induction

$$(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e)) \in \phi(Loc)$$

$$(\gamma_1(X), \gamma_2(X)) \in \phi(U_i)$$

Thus by definition, $(\gamma_1(e \mapsto X), \gamma_2(e \mapsto X)) \in L$ since T_i is a fixpoint of T_i . Thus, We have the expected result.

- **Case IT:** $\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash T A : L_i}$

Same argument as IG.

- **Case IHYP:** $\frac{\Gamma, x : X, \Gamma' \vdash x : X}{\Gamma, x : X, \Gamma'}$

By hypothesis, $\gamma \in \llbracket \Gamma, x : X, \Gamma' \rrbracket$.

We can therefore get a restriction γ' of γ belonging to $\llbracket \Gamma, x : X \rrbracket$ such that γ and γ' agree on $\Gamma, x : X$. Therefore, since all free variables in X appear in Γ , we have $\gamma(X) = \gamma'(X)$ and $\gamma(x) = \gamma'(x)$. By definition of $\Gamma, x : X$ ok, we have $(\gamma'_1(x), \gamma'_2(x)) \in \phi(\gamma'_1(X))$.

- **Case IUNITI:** $\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash () : 1}$

$$(\gamma_1(()), \gamma_2(())) = (((),)) \in \phi(1)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : Y \quad \Gamma \vdash X \equiv Y \text{ type}}{\Gamma \vdash e : X}$$

- **Case ITPEQ:** $\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash e : X}$

By induction, we have:

- $(\gamma_1(X), \gamma_2(Y)) \in U$
- $(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(Y))$
- $(\gamma_1(X), \gamma_2(X)) \in U$ since X is a type

Thus we have $\phi(\gamma_1(X)) = \phi(\gamma_2(X)) = \phi(\gamma_1(Y))$. Then $(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : X \quad \Gamma \vdash e' : [e/x]Y}{\Gamma \vdash (e, e') : \Sigma x : X. Y}$$

- **Case IPAIRI:** $\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash (e, e') : \Sigma x : X. Y}$

By induction, we have:

- $(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$
- $((\gamma_1, \gamma_1(e)/x)(e'), (\gamma_2, \gamma_2(e)/x)(e')) \in \phi((\gamma_1, \gamma_1(e)/x)(Y))$

Which gives us the result.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \Sigma x : X. Y}{\Gamma \vdash \pi_1 e : X}$$

- **Case IPAIRE1:** $\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \pi_1 e : X}$

By induction, we know that $(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(\Sigma x : X. Y)) = \phi(\Sigma x : \gamma_1(X). \gamma_1(Y))$. Thus we have some $((e'_1, e''_1), (e'_2, e''_2))$ such that

$$\gamma_1(e) \Downarrow (e'_1, e''_1)$$

$$\gamma_2(e) \Downarrow (e'_2, e''_2)$$

$$(e'_1, e'_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$$

It means in particular that

$$\pi_1(\gamma_1(e)) \Downarrow e'_1$$

$$\pi_1(\gamma_2(e)) \Downarrow e'_2$$

Since our PERs are closed under evalutation, $(\gamma_1(\pi_1 e), \gamma_2(\pi_2 e)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$.

- **Case IPaire2:** $\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \Sigma x : X. Y}{\Gamma \vdash \pi_2 e : [\pi_1 e/x]Y}$
By induction, we know that

$$(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e)) \in \phi(\Sigma x : \gamma_1(X). \gamma_1(Y))$$

Thus we have some $((e'_1, e''_1), (e'_2, e''_2))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1(e) &\Downarrow (e'_1, e''_1) \\ \gamma_2(e) &\Downarrow (e'_2, e''_2) \\ (e'_1, e'_2) &\in \phi(\gamma_1(X)) \\ (e''_1, e''_2) &\in \phi((\gamma_1, e'_1/x)(Y)) \end{aligned}$$

It means in particular that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(\gamma_1(e)) &\Downarrow e'_1 \wedge \pi_2(\gamma_1(e)) \Downarrow e''_1 \\ \pi_1(\gamma_2(e)) &\Downarrow e'_2 \wedge \pi_2(\gamma_2(e)) \Downarrow e''_2 \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\pi_1(\gamma_1(e_1)), e'_1) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$, $\phi([e'_1/x]\gamma_1(Y)) = \phi([\pi_1(\gamma_1(e))/x]\gamma_1(Y))$.

Then, by closure under evaluation, the PER structure and the previous equality, we have $(\pi_2\gamma_1(e), \pi_2\gamma_2(e)) \in \phi(\gamma_1([\pi_1 e/x](Y)))$.

- **Case INIZERO:** $\overline{\Gamma \vdash 0 : \mathbb{N}}$

Note that $\gamma(\mathbb{N}) = (\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N})$ and $\gamma(0) = (0, 0)$.

We know that $\phi(\mathbb{N}) = \hat{\mathbb{N}}$.

By definition of $\hat{\mathbb{N}}$, we have $(0, 0) \in \hat{\mathbb{N}}$.

- **Case INISUCC:** $\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \mathbb{N}}{\Gamma \vdash s(e) : \mathbb{N}}$

Note that $\gamma(\mathbb{N}) = (\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N})$, and $\phi(\mathbb{N}) = \hat{\mathbb{N}}$.

By induction $(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e)) \in \hat{\mathbb{N}}$.

Hence $\gamma_1(e) \Downarrow v$ and $\gamma_2(e) \Downarrow v'$ such that $(v, v') \in \hat{\mathbb{N}}$.

Hence $v = v' = s^k(0)$ for some k .

By evaluation rules, $s(e) \Downarrow s^{k+1}(0)$ and $s(e') \Downarrow s^{k+1}(0)$.

By definition $(s^{k+1}(0), s^{k+1}(0)) \in \hat{\mathbb{N}}$.

- **Case INE:** $\frac{\Gamma \vdash C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow U \quad \Gamma \vdash e : \mathbb{N} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_0 : C \ 0 \quad \Gamma, x, y : C \ x \vdash e_1 : C(s(x))}{\Gamma \vdash \text{iter}(e, 0 \rightarrow e_0, s(x), y \rightarrow e_1) : C \ e}$

By induction, $(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e)) \in \phi(\gamma(\mathbb{N})) = \hat{\mathbb{N}}$.

Hence $\gamma_1(e) \Downarrow v_1$ and $\gamma_2(e) \Downarrow v_2$ such that $(v_1, v_2) \in \phi(\mathbb{N}) = \hat{\mathbb{N}}$.

Hence $v_1 = v_2 = s^k(0)$.

We proceed by nested induction on k :

- Case $k = 0$:

Then $v_1 = v_2 = 0$.

By induction, $(\gamma_1(e_0), \gamma_2(e_0)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(C \ 0))$.

Hence there are v'_i such that $\gamma_i(e_0) \Downarrow v'_i$ such that $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(C \ 0))$.

We want to show that $\phi(\gamma_1(C \ z)) = \phi(\gamma_1(C \ e))$.

By induction, we know $(\gamma_1(C), \gamma_2(C)) \in \phi(\mathbb{N} \rightarrow U)$.

Hence $(\gamma_1(C), \gamma_1(C)) \in \phi(\mathbb{N} \rightarrow U)$.

We know $(\gamma_1(e), 0) \in \hat{\mathbb{N}}$.

Hence $(\gamma_1(C) \gamma_1(e), \gamma_1(C) 0) \in I$.

By properties of substitution $(\gamma_1(C e), \gamma_1(C 0)) \in I$.

Since ϕ respects I , we know $\phi(\gamma_1(C e)) = \phi\gamma_1(C 0)$.

By reduction relation, $\text{iter}(\gamma_i(e), 0 \rightarrow \gamma_i(e_0), s(x), y \rightarrow \gamma_i(e_1)) \Downarrow v'_i$.

Hence $\gamma(\text{iter}(e_0, 0 \rightarrow x, s(y), e_1 \rightarrow)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(C e))$.

- Case $k = j + 1$:

Then $v_1 = v_2 = s^{j+1}(0)$.

By nested induction, $\gamma(\text{iter}(s^j(0), 0 \rightarrow e_0, s(x), y \rightarrow e_1)) \in \phi(\gamma(C s^j(0)))$.

Note that $(s^j(0), s^j(0)) \in \hat{N}$.

Hence $(\gamma, (s^j(0), s^j(0))/x, \gamma(\text{iter}(s^j(0), 0 \rightarrow e_0, s(x), y \rightarrow e_1))/y) \in [\Gamma, x : X, y : C x]$.

By induction, $(\gamma, (s^j(0), s^j(0))/x, \gamma(\text{iter}(s^j(0), 0 \rightarrow e_0, s(x), y \rightarrow e_1))/y)e_1 \in \phi((\gamma, (s^j(0), s^j(0))/x, \gamma(\text{iter}(s^j(0), 0 \rightarrow e_0, s(x), y \rightarrow e_1))/y)(C(s(x))))$.

Simplifying, $[(s^j(0), s^j(0))/x, \gamma(\text{iter}(s^j(0), 0 \rightarrow e_0, s(x), y \rightarrow e_1))/y]e_1 \in \phi(\gamma_1(C s^{j+1}(0)))$.

By a similar argument to the previous case, $\phi(\gamma_1(C s^{j+1}(0))) = \phi(\gamma_1(C e))$.

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, x : X \vdash e : Y}$$

- **Case IFUNI:** $\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. e : \Pi x : X. Y}$

By induction and $\Gamma, x : X \text{ ok}$, we have $\forall(e'_1, e'_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X)), ((\gamma_1, e'_1/x)(e), (\gamma_2, e'_2/x)(e)) \in \phi([e'_1/x]\gamma_1(Y))$, which directly implies that

$$\gamma_1(\lambda x. e) = \lambda x. \gamma_1(e) \in \phi(\Pi x : \gamma_1(X). \gamma_1(Y)) = \phi(\gamma_1(\Pi x : X. Y))$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \Pi x : X. Y \quad \Gamma \vdash e' : X}{\Gamma \vdash e e' : [e'/x]Y}$$

- **Case IFUNE:** $\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash e e' : [e'/x]Y}$

By induction, we have:

- $(\gamma_1(e'), \gamma_2(e')) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$
- $(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e)) \in \phi(\Pi x : \gamma_1(X). \gamma_1(Y))$

This second hypothesis tells us that

$$\forall(e''_1, e''_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X)), (\gamma_1(e) e''_1, \gamma_2(e) e''_2) \in \phi([e''_1/x]\gamma_1(Y))$$

In particular, $(\gamma_1(e e'), \gamma_2(e e')) \in \phi([\gamma_1(e')/x]\gamma_1(Y))$.

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : X}$$

- **Case IEQI:** $\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{refl} : e =_X e'}$

Notice that $(\gamma_1, \gamma_1) \in [\Gamma]$.

By induction, $(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_1(e')) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$ at some T_i .

But then it means that $(\text{refl}, \text{refl}) \in \phi(\gamma_1(e) =_{\gamma_1(X)} \gamma_1(e'))$ at $T_i(T_i) = T_i$, which is what we want.

$$\frac{}{\Gamma; \cdot \vdash t : A}$$

- **Case IGI:** $\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash G t : G A}$

By induction, $\gamma(t) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A))e$, which is what we need.

$$\frac{\Gamma, n : N \vdash \Pi x : X[n]. Y[n] \text{ type} \quad \Gamma, f : T_I, x : X(0) \vdash e : Y(0) \quad \Gamma, n : N, f : \Pi x : X[n]. Y[n], x : X[s(n)] \vdash e : Y[s(n)]}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fix } f x = e : \forall n : N. \Pi x : X[n]. Y[n]}$$

- **Case :**

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fix } f x = e : \forall n : N. \Pi x : X[n]. Y[n]}$$

Assume $\gamma \in [\Gamma]$.

We want to show that $\gamma(\text{fix } f x = e) \in \phi(\gamma_1(\forall n : N. \Pi x : X[n]. Y[n]))$.

So it suffices to show that $\gamma(\text{fix } f x = e) \in \phi(\forall n : N. \gamma(\Pi x : X[n]. Y[n]))$.

To show this, assume $(e_0, e'_0) \in \phi(N)$.

Hence $e_0 \Downarrow s^k(0)$ and $e'_0 \Downarrow s^k(0)$ and $(s^k(0), s^k(0)) \in \phi(N)$.

Hence we want to show that $\gamma(\text{fix } f x = e) \in \phi((\gamma_1, e_0/n)(\Pi x : X[n]. Y))$. We proceed by nested induction on k , to show that $\gamma(\text{fix } f x = e) \in \phi((\gamma_1, (s^k(0), s^k(0))/n)(\Pi x : X[n]. Y))$.

- Case $k = 0$: We want to show $\gamma(\text{fix } f x = e) \in \phi((\gamma_1, 0/n)(\Pi x : X[n]. Y[n]))$.
By properties of substitution, it suffices to show $\gamma(\text{fix } f x = e) \in \phi(\gamma_1(\Pi x : X[0]. Y[0]))$.
So for all $(t_1, t_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X[0]))$, we want to show that $(\gamma_1(\text{fix } f x = e) t_1, \gamma_2(\text{fix } f x = e) t_2) \in \phi((\gamma_1, t_1/x)Y[0])$.

Note that $(\gamma, (t_1, t_2)/x) \in \llbracket \Gamma, x : X[0] \rrbracket$.

Note that $\gamma(\text{fix } f x = e) \in \phi(\top_I)$.

Hence $(\gamma, \gamma(\text{fix } f x = e)/f, (t_1, t_2)/x) \in \llbracket \Gamma, f : \top_I, x : X[0] \rrbracket$.

By induction, $(\gamma, \gamma(\text{fix } f x = e)/f, (t_1, t_2)/x)e \in \phi((\gamma_1, t_1/x)Y[0])$.

So $(\gamma_1, \gamma_1(\text{fix } f x = e)/f, t_1/x)e \Downarrow v_1$

and $(\gamma_2, \gamma_2(\text{fix } f x = e)/f, t_2/x)e \Downarrow v_2$

such that $(v_1, v_2) \in \phi((\gamma_1, t_1/x)Y[0])$.

By properties of substitution, $(\gamma_i(\text{fix } f x = e)/f, t_i/x)(\gamma_i(e)) \Downarrow v_i$.

By evaluation rules, $\text{eval}(\text{fix } f x = \gamma_i(e)) t_i v_i$.

Hence $\gamma(\text{fix } f x = e) \in \phi((\gamma_1, 0/n)(\Pi x : X[n]. Y[n]))$.

- Case $k = j + 1$: By induction, we know $\gamma(\text{fix } f x = e) \in \phi((\gamma_1, s^{j+1}(0)/n)(\Pi x : X[n]. Y[n]))$.
We want to show that $\gamma(\text{fix } f x = e) \in \phi((\gamma_1, s^{j+1}(0)/n)(\Pi x : X[n]. Y[n]))$.
So for all $(t_1, t_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X[s^{j+1}(0)]))$, we want to show that $(\gamma_1(\text{fix } f x = e) t_1, \gamma_2(\text{fix } f x = e) t_2) \in \phi((\gamma_1, t_1/x)Y[s^{j+1}(0)])$.
Note that $(\gamma, (t_1, t_2)/x) \in \llbracket \Gamma, x : X[s^{j+1}(0)] \rrbracket$.
Hence $(\gamma, \gamma(\text{fix } f x = e)/f, (t_1, t_2)/x) \in \llbracket \Gamma, f : \Pi x : X[n]. Y[n], x : X[s^{j+1}(0)] \rrbracket$.
By induction (and $n \notin \text{FV}(\text{fix } f x = e)$), we have $(\gamma, \gamma(\text{fix } f x = e)/f, (t_1, t_2)/x)e \in \phi((\gamma_1, t_1/x)Y[s^{j+1}(0)])$.
So $(\gamma_1, \gamma_1(\text{fix } f x = e)/f, t_1/x)e \Downarrow v_1$
and $(\gamma_2, \gamma_2(\text{fix } f x = e)/f, t_2/x)e \Downarrow v_2$
such that $(v_1, v_2) \in \phi((\gamma_1, t_1/x)Y[s^{j+1}(0)])$.
By properties of substitution, $(\gamma_i(\text{fix } f x = e)/f, t_i/x)(\gamma_i(e)) \Downarrow v_i$.
By evaluation rules, $\text{eval}(\text{fix } f x = \gamma_i(e)) t_i v_i$.
Hence $\gamma(\text{fix } f x = e) \in \phi((\gamma_1, s^{j+1}(0)/n)(\Pi x : X[n]. Y[n]))$.

Since $(e_0, s^k(0)) \in \phi(\mathbb{N})$, by induction $(\gamma_1, (e_0, s^k(0))/n)(\Pi x : X[n]. Y[n]) \in I$.

Then by PER properties and the fact ϕ respects PERs,

we have $\phi((\gamma_1, s^{j+1}(0)/n)(\Pi x : X[n]. Y[n])) = \phi((\gamma_1, e_0/n)(\Pi x : X[n]. Y[n]))$.

Hence $\gamma(\text{fix } f x = e) \in \phi((\gamma_1, e_0/n)(\Pi x : X[n]. Y[n]))$.

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : X \vdash e : Y \quad x \notin \text{FV}(e)}{\Gamma \vdash e : \forall x : X. Y}$$

- **Case :** $\Gamma \vdash e : \forall x : X. Y$

By induction and $\Gamma, x : X$ ok, we have $\forall(e'_1, e'_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X)), ((\gamma_1, e'_1/x)(e), (\gamma_2, e'_2/x)(e)) \in \phi([e'_1/x]\gamma_1(Y))$, so since x is free in e , $\forall(e'_1, e'_2) \in \psi(\gamma_1(X)), (\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e)) \in \phi([e'_1/x]\gamma_1(Y))$. which directly implies that

$$\gamma_1(e) \in \phi(\forall x : \gamma_1(X). \gamma_1(Y)) = \phi(\gamma_1(\forall x : X. Y))$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \forall x : X. Y \quad \Gamma \vdash e' : X}{\Gamma \vdash e : [e'/x]Y}$$

- **Case :** $\Gamma \vdash e : [e'/x]Y$

By induction, $(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(\forall x : X. Y))$, which means there exists $(e''_1, e''_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(\forall x : X. Y))$ such that for every $(e'''_1, e'''_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$, we have

$$\gamma_1(e) \Downarrow e''_1 \wedge \gamma_2(e) \Downarrow e''_2$$

$$(e''_1, e''_2) \in \phi([e'''_1/x]\gamma_1(Y))$$

Thus by compatibility with reduction, it means

$$(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e)) \in \phi([e'''_1/x]\gamma_1(Y))$$

Now we can take $(e'_1, e''_2) := (\gamma_1(e'), \gamma_2(e'))$ and conclude.

- **Case :** $\frac{\Gamma, x : X, y : Y \vdash e : Z \quad x \notin FV(e)}{\Gamma, y : \exists x : X. Y \vdash e : Z}$
 Let $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$ and $(e'_1, e'_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(\exists x : X. Y))$.
 That last fact tells us there exists some $(e''_1, e''_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$ such that $(e'_1, e'_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1([e''_1/x]Y))$ modulo a bit of reasoning with reductions.
 Thus, by noticing that $((\gamma_1, e''_1/x, e'_1/y), (\gamma_2, e''_2/x, e'_1/y)) \in \llbracket \Gamma, x : X, y : Y \rrbracket$, by induction (and $x \notin FV(e)$) we have

$$(\gamma_1([e'_1/y]e), \gamma_2([e'_2/y]e)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(Z))$$

- **Case :** $\frac{\Gamma, x : X \vdash Y \text{ type} \quad \Gamma \vdash e' : X \quad \Gamma \vdash e : [e'/x]Y}{\Gamma \vdash e : \exists x : X. Y}$

By induction, we have:

- $(\gamma_1(e'), \gamma_2(e')) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$
- $((\gamma_1, \gamma_1(e')/x)(e), (\gamma_2, \gamma_2(e')/x)(e)) \in \phi((\gamma_1, \gamma_1(e')/x)(Y))$

Which gives us the result once we notice $x \notin FV(e)$ thanks to typing.

4. If $\Gamma \vdash e_1 \equiv e_2 : X$ then $(\gamma_1(e_1), \gamma_2(e_2)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$.

We case analyze the derivation of $\Gamma \vdash e_1 \equiv e_2 : X$:

- **Case IFUNBETA:** $\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. e) e' \equiv [e'/x]e : Z}$

By induction:

- $(\gamma_1([e'/x]e), \gamma_2([e'/x]e)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(Z))$
- $((\gamma_1((\lambda x. e) e'), \gamma_2((\lambda x. e) e')) \in \phi(\gamma_1(Z))$

This means that we have (e''_1, e''_2) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1([e'/x]e) &\Downarrow e'_1 \\ \gamma_2([e'/x]e) &\Downarrow e''_2 \end{aligned}$$

We then build

$$\frac{\lambda x. \gamma_1(e) \Downarrow \lambda x : A. \gamma_1(e) \quad [\gamma_1(e')/x]\gamma_1(e) \Downarrow e''_1}{\gamma_1(\lambda x. e e') \Downarrow e''_1}$$

Since our PER $\sim := \phi(\gamma_1(Z))$ is closed under evaluation, we have

$$\gamma_1((\lambda x. e) e') \sim e''_1 \sim e''_2 \sim \gamma_2([e'/x]e)$$

- **Case IFUNETA:** $\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv \lambda x. e x : \prod x : X. Y}$

By induction

$$(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e)) \in \phi(\prod x : \gamma_1(X). \gamma_1(Y))$$

$$(\gamma_1(\lambda x. e), \gamma_2(\lambda x. e)) \in \phi(\prod x : \gamma_1(X). \gamma_1(Y))$$

Let $(e'_1, e'_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$.

We know that there is $(v_1, v_2) \in \phi((\gamma_1, e'_1)/x)(Y)$ such that

$$\gamma_1(e) e'_1 \Downarrow v_1$$

$$\gamma_2(e) e'_2 \Downarrow v_2$$

We can use this to build a derivation

$$\frac{\lambda x. \gamma_2(e) x \Downarrow \lambda x : A. \gamma_2(e) x \quad \gamma_2(e) e'_2 \Downarrow v_2}{\lambda x. \gamma_2(e) x e'_2 \Downarrow v_2}$$

Then we have $(\gamma_1(e) e'_1, \gamma_2(\lambda x. e) e'_2) \in \phi((\gamma_1, e'_1/x)(Y))$ which is what we need.

- **Case IPAIRBETAFST:** $\overline{\Gamma \vdash \pi_1(e, e') \equiv e : Z}$ By induction $(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(\Sigma x : X. Y))$, so

$$\gamma_1(e) \Downarrow v_1 \wedge \gamma_2(e) \Downarrow v_2$$

$$(v_1, v_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(Z))$$

Thus

$$\frac{\gamma_1((e, e')) \Downarrow \gamma_1((e, e')) \quad \gamma_1(e) \Downarrow v_1}{\gamma_1(\pi_1(e, e')) \Downarrow v_1}$$

so $(\gamma_1(\pi_1(e, e')), \gamma_2(e)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(Z))$.

- **Case IPAIRBETASND:** $\overline{\Gamma \vdash \pi_2(e, e') \equiv e' : Z}$

By induction $(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(\Sigma x : X. Y))$, so

$$(\gamma_1(e'), \gamma_2(e')) \in \phi(\gamma_1(Z))$$

$$\gamma_1(e') \Downarrow v'_1 \wedge \gamma_2(e') \Downarrow v'_2$$

Thus

$$(v'_1, v'_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(Z))$$

$$\frac{\gamma_1((e, e')) \Downarrow \gamma_1((e, e')) \quad \gamma_1(e') \Downarrow v'_1}{\gamma_1(\pi_2(e, e')) \Downarrow v'_1}$$

so $(\gamma_1(\pi_2(e, e')), \gamma_2(e)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(Z))$.

- **Case IPAIRESA:** $\overline{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv (\pi_1 e, \pi_2 e) : \Sigma x : X. Y}$

By induction $(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(\Sigma x : X. Y))$, so there exists e'_1, e'_2, e''_1, e''_2 such that

$$\gamma_1(e) \Downarrow (e'_1, e''_1)$$

$$\gamma_2(e) \Downarrow (e'_2, e''_2)$$

$$(e'_1, e'_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$$

$$(e''_1, e''_2) \in \phi((\gamma_1, e'_1/x)(Y))$$

It suffices to show that $((e'_1, e''_1), \gamma_2((\pi_1 e, \pi_2 e))) \in \phi(\gamma_1(\Sigma x : X. Y))$

We can build the following derivations

$$\frac{\gamma_2(e) \Downarrow (e'_2, e''_2) \quad e'_2 \Downarrow e'_2}{\pi_1 \gamma_2(e) \Downarrow e'_2}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_2(e) \Downarrow (e'_2, e''_2) \quad e''_2 \Downarrow e''_2}{\pi_2 \gamma_2(e) \Downarrow e''_2}$$

Thus we have

$$(e'_1, \pi_1 \gamma_2(e)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$$

$$(e''_1, \pi_2 \gamma_2(e)) \in \phi((\gamma_1, e'_1/x)(Y))$$

Which brings us the conclusion by the definition of Σ .

- **Case IUNITETA:** $\overline{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : 1}$

By induction $(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e)) \in \phi(1)$, so

$$\gamma_1(e) \Downarrow () \wedge \gamma_2(e') \Downarrow ()$$

and $(((), ()) \in \phi(1)$.

- **Case IGBETA:** $\overline{\Gamma \vdash G(G^{-1}e) \equiv e : G A}$

By induction, $(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(A))$. Thus there is (t_1, t_2) such that

$$\gamma_1(e) \Downarrow G t_1$$

$$\gamma_2(e) \Downarrow G t_2$$

$$((\epsilon, t_1), (\epsilon, t_2)) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A))$$

Hence there is $((\sigma_1, u_1), (\sigma_2, u_2)) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \epsilon; t_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma_1; u_1 \rangle \wedge \langle \epsilon; t_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma_2; u_2 \rangle \\ & \frac{\gamma_1(e) \Downarrow G e'_1 \quad \langle \epsilon; t_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma_1; u_1 \rangle}{\langle \epsilon; G^{-1} \gamma_1(e) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma_1; u_1 \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$((\epsilon, G^{-1} \gamma_1(e)), (\epsilon, t_2)) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A))$$

So from the definition of G ,

$$(G(G^{-1} \gamma_1(e)), G t_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(A))$$

and we can conclude by recalling $(\gamma_2(e), G t_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(A))$.

$$\Gamma, x : X \vdash e \equiv e' : Y$$

- **Case IALLETA:** $\overline{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : \forall x : X. Y}$

Since $x \notin FV(e, e')$, the result follows directly from the induction hypothesis which tells us $\forall (e'', e''') \in \phi(\gamma_1(X)), (\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e')) \in \phi(\gamma_1([e''/x] \forall x : X. Y))$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : \forall x : X. Y \quad \Gamma \vdash t : X}{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : [t/x]Y}$$

- **Case IALLBETA:** $\overline{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : [t/x]Y}$

By induction $(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e')) \in \phi(\gamma_1(\forall x : X. Y))$ and $(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$.

Thus we have values $(v_1, v_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(\forall x : X. Y))$ such that $\gamma_1(e) \Downarrow v_1, \gamma_2(e') \Downarrow v_2$ and $(v_1, v_2) \in \phi([\gamma_1(t)/x]\gamma_1(Y))$.

Hence $(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e')) \in \phi([\gamma_1(t)/x]\gamma_1(Y))$.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : [t/x]Y \quad \Gamma \vdash t : X}{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : \exists x : X. Y}$$

- **Case IExBETA:** $\overline{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : \exists x : X. Y}$

By induction

$$(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e')) \in \phi(\gamma_1([t/x]Y))$$

$$(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$$

which gives us our result by taking $\gamma_1(t)$ as our witness.

$$\bullet \text{ Case IExETA: } \frac{\Gamma, x : X, y : Y \vdash e \equiv e' : Z \quad x \notin \text{FV}(e, e', Z)}{\Gamma, y : \exists x : X. Y \vdash e \equiv e' : Z}$$

Let $\gamma \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$ and $(t_1, t_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(\exists x : X. Y))$.

By this second hypothesis, there exists $(t', t') \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$ such that $(t_1, t_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1([t'/x]Y))$. Thus $((\gamma_1, t'/x, t_1/y), (\gamma_2, t'/x, t_2/y)) \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$. By induction, since $x \notin \text{FV}(e, e', Z)$,

$$([t_1/y]\gamma_1(e), [t_2/y]\gamma_2(e)) \in \phi([t_1/y]\gamma_1(Z))$$

which is what we wanted.

$$\bullet \text{ Case IFIXBETA: } \frac{\Gamma \vdash (\text{fix } f x = e) e' \equiv [(\text{fix } f x = e)/f, e'/x]e : Z}{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : Z}$$

Let $\gamma \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$.

By induction, $\gamma((\text{fix } f x = e) e') \in \phi(\gamma_1(Z))$.

By induction, $\gamma([(\text{fix } f x = e)/f, e'/x]e) \in \phi(\gamma_1(Z))$.

So $(\text{fix } f x = \gamma(e)) \gamma(e') \in \phi(\gamma_1(Z))$.

So, $[(\gamma(\text{fix } f x = e))/f, \gamma(e')/x]\gamma(e) \in \phi(\gamma_1(Z))$.

Hence $[(\gamma_i(\text{fix } f x = e))/f, \gamma_i(e')/x]\gamma_i(e) \Downarrow v_i$ such that $(v_1, v_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(Z))$.

By evaluation rules, $(\text{fix } f x = \gamma_i(e)) \gamma_i(e') \Downarrow v_i$.

Hence $((\text{fix } f x = \gamma_1(e)) \gamma_1(e'), [(\gamma_2(\text{fix } f x = e))/f, \gamma_2(e')/x]\gamma_2(e)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(Z))$.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : e =_X e'}{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : X}$$

$$\bullet \text{ Case IREFLECT: } \frac{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : X}{\Gamma \vdash e =_X e'}$$

The statement of the induction hypothesis and the conclusion are the same thing.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : e =_X e \quad \Gamma \vdash q : e =_X e}{\Gamma \vdash p \equiv q : e =_X e}$$

$$\bullet \text{ Case K: } \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash p \equiv q : e =_X e}$$

By induction

$$(\gamma_1(p), \gamma_1(p)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(e =_X e))$$

$$(\gamma_1(q), \gamma_2(q)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(e =_X e))$$

Thus, we have

$$\gamma_1(p) \Downarrow \text{refl} \wedge \gamma_2(q) \Downarrow \text{refl}$$

$$(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_1(e)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$$

Thus by compatibility of evalutation with PERs, we have $(\gamma_1(p), \gamma_2(q)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(e =_X e))$.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : X}{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e : X}$$

$$\bullet \text{ Case IREFLEX: } \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e : X}$$

The statement of the induction hypothesis and the conclusion are the same thing.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : X \quad \Gamma \vdash e' \equiv e'' : X}{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e'' : X}$$

$$\bullet \text{ Case ITRANS: } \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e'' : X}$$

Let $\gamma \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$. We also know $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$ to be reflexive, thus by induction:

$$-(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_1(e')) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$$

$$-(\gamma_1(e'), \gamma_2(e'')) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$$

Since PERs are transitive, $(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e'')) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \equiv A' : L_i \quad \Gamma \vdash B \equiv B' : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash A \multimap B \equiv A' \multimap B' : L_i}$$

$$\bullet \text{ Case ILOLLICONG: } \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash A \multimap B \equiv A' \multimap B' : L_i}$$

By induction, we have

$$(\gamma_1(A), \gamma_2(A')) \in \phi(L_i)$$

$$(\gamma_1(B), \gamma_2(B')) \in \phi(L_i)$$

But we know that the L-component of $T_i(\phi(L_i))$ is $\phi(L_i)$. Thus, by the definition of T_i we have our result

$$(\gamma_1(A \multimap B), \gamma_2(A' \multimap B')) \in \phi(L_i)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \equiv A' : L_i \quad \Gamma \vdash B \equiv B' : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash A \otimes B \equiv A' \otimes B' : L_i}$$

- **Case ITENSORCONG:** $\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \equiv A' : L_i \quad \Gamma \vdash B \equiv B' : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash A \otimes B \equiv A' \otimes B' : L_i}$

Similar to ILOLLICONG.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \equiv A' : L_i \quad \Gamma \vdash B \equiv B' : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash A \& B \equiv A' \& B' : L_i}$$

- **Case IWITHCONG:** $\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \& B \equiv A' \& B' : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash A \& B \equiv A' \& B' : L_i}$

Similar to ILOLLICONG.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \equiv A' : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash T A \equiv T A' : L_i}$$

- **Case ITCONG:** $\frac{\Gamma \vdash T A \equiv T A' : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash T A \equiv T A' : L_i}$

Similar to ILOLLICONG.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv X' : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y \equiv Y' : U_i}{\Gamma \vdash \Pi x : X. Y \equiv \Pi x : X'. Y' : U_i}$$

- **Case IPICONG:** $\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Pi x : X. Y \equiv \Pi x : X'. Y' : U_i}{\Gamma \vdash \Pi x : X. Y \equiv \Pi x : X'. Y' : U_i}$

Let $(e_1, e_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$.

By definition, $(\gamma, (e, e')/x) \in \llbracket \Gamma, x : X \rrbracket$ By induction, we have

$$(\gamma_1(X), \gamma_2(X')) \in \phi(U_i)$$

$$((\gamma_1, e_1/x)(B), (\gamma_2, e_2/x)(B')) \in \phi(U_i)$$

We know that the U-component of T_i is $\phi(U)$.

Thus, by universal quantification of (e_1, e_2) and the stability under T_i ,

$$(\gamma_1(\Pi x : X. Y), \gamma_2(\Pi x : X'. Y')) \in \phi(U_i)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv X' : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X; \Delta \vdash A \equiv A' : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash \Pi x : X. A \equiv \Pi x : X'. A' : L_i}$$

- **Case ILPiCONG:** $\frac{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv X' : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X; \Delta \vdash A \equiv A' : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash \Pi x : X. A \equiv \Pi x : X'. A' : L_i}$

Similar to IPICONG.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv X' : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y \equiv Y' : U_i}{\Gamma \vdash \Sigma x : X. Y \equiv \Sigma x : X'. Y' : U_i}$$

- **Case ISIGMACONG:** $\frac{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv X' : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y \equiv Y' : U_i}{\Gamma \vdash \Sigma x : X. Y \equiv \Sigma x : X'. Y' : U_i}$

Similar to IPICONG.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv X' : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y \equiv Y' : U_i}{\Gamma \vdash \forall x : X. Y \equiv \forall x : X'. Y' : U_i}$$

- **Case IALLCONG:** $\frac{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv X' : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y \equiv Y' : U_i}{\Gamma \vdash \forall x : X. Y \equiv \forall x : X'. Y' : U_i}$

Similar to IPICONG.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv X' : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y \equiv Y' : U_i}{\Gamma \vdash \exists x : X. Y \equiv \exists x : X'. Y' : U_i}$$

- **Case IExCONG:** $\frac{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv X' : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y \equiv Y' : U_i}{\Gamma \vdash \exists x : X. Y \equiv \exists x : X'. Y' : U_i}$

Similar to IPICONG.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv X' : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y \equiv Y' : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash \forall x : X. Y \equiv \forall x : X'. Y' : L_i}$$

- **Case LALLCONG:** $\frac{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv X' : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y \equiv Y' : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash \forall x : X. Y \equiv \forall x : X'. Y' : L_i}$

Similar to IPICONG.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv X' : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y \equiv Y' : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash \exists x : X. Y \equiv \exists x : X'. Y' : L_i}$$

- **Case LExCONG:** $\frac{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv X' : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash Y \equiv Y' : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash \exists x : X. Y \equiv \exists x : X'. Y' : L_i}$

Similar to IPICONG.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv X' : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash A \equiv A' : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash F x : X. A \equiv F x : X'. A' : U_i}$$

- **Case IFCONG:** $\frac{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv X' : U_i \quad \Gamma, x : X \vdash A \equiv A' : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash F x : X. A \equiv F x : X'. A' : U_i}$

Similar to IPICONG.

- **Case IPTRCONG:** $\frac{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv X' : U_i \quad \Gamma \vdash e_1 \equiv e'_1 : Loc}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \mapsto X \equiv e'_1 \mapsto X' : U_i}$
By induction
 $(\gamma_1(X), \gamma_2(X')) \in \phi(U_i)$
 $(\gamma_1(e_1), \gamma_2(e'_1)) \in \phi(Loc)$

We know that the U -component of \mathcal{T}_i is $\phi(U)$.
Thus we can conclude thanks to the stability under \mathcal{T}_i of \mathcal{T}_i .

- **Case IEQCONG:** $\frac{\Gamma \vdash X \equiv X' : U_i \quad \Gamma \vdash e_1 \equiv e_2 : X \quad \Gamma \vdash e'_1 \equiv e'_2 : X'}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 =_X e_2 \equiv e'_1 =_{X'} e'_2 : U_i}$
Similar to IPTRCONG.

- **Case IFUNCONG:** $\frac{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : X. e \equiv \lambda x : X. e' : \Pi x : X. Y}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 =_x e_2 \equiv e'_1 =_{x'} e'_2 : U_i}$
Notice that for all $e'' \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$, $(\gamma, e''/x) \in \llbracket \Gamma, x : X \rrbracket$.
Thus by induction

$$\forall e'' \in \phi(\gamma_1(X)), ([e''/x]\gamma_1(e), [e''/x]\gamma_2(e)) \in \phi([e''/x]\gamma_1(Y))$$

which gives us the result we want by definition of the Π operator in the semantics.

- **Case IAPPCONG:** $\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \equiv e'_1 : \Pi x : X. Y \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 \equiv e'_2 : X}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 \equiv e'_1 e'_2 : Y[e_2/x]}$
By induction
 $(\gamma_1(e_1), \gamma_2(e'_1)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(\Pi x : X. Y))$
 $(\gamma_1(e_2), \gamma_2(e'_2)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$

Thus there exists (u_1, u_2) such that

$$\gamma_1(e_1) \Downarrow \lambda x. u_1 \wedge \gamma_2(e'_1) \Downarrow \lambda x. u_2$$

$$([\gamma_1(e_2)/x]u_1, [\gamma_2(e'_2)/x]u_2) \in \phi([\gamma_1(e_2)/x]\gamma_1(Y))$$

There exists (v_1, v_2) such that

$$[\gamma_1(e_2)/x]u_1 \Downarrow v_1 \wedge [\gamma_2(e'_2)/x]u_2 \Downarrow v_2$$

$$(v_1, v_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1([e_2/x]Y))$$

So we can build

$$\frac{\gamma_1(e_1) \Downarrow \lambda x : X. u_1 \quad [e_2/x]u_1 \Downarrow v_1}{e_1 e_2 \Downarrow v_1} \qquad \frac{\gamma_2(e'_1) \Downarrow \lambda x : X. u_2 \quad [e'_2/x]u_2 \Downarrow v_2}{e'_1 e'_2 \Downarrow v_2}$$

And conclude.

- **Case IPAIRCONG:** $\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \equiv e'_1 : X \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 \equiv e'_2 : Y[e_1/x]}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) \equiv (e'_1, e'_2) : \Sigma x : X. Y}$
Similar to IFUNCONG.

- **Case IFSTCONG:** $\frac{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : \Sigma x : X. Y}{\Gamma \vdash \pi_1 e \equiv \pi_1 e' : X}$
Similar to IAPPCONG.

- **Case ISNDCONG:** $\frac{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : \Sigma x : X. Y}{\Gamma \vdash \pi_2 e \equiv \pi_2 e' : Y[\pi_1 e/x]}$

Similar to IAPPCONG.

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : X \vdash e \equiv e' : Y \quad x \notin FV(e, e')}{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : \forall x : X. Y}$$

- **Case :** $\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : \forall x : X. Y}$

Let $\gamma \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$. Then, for every $(t, t') \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$, $((\gamma_1, t/x), (\gamma_2, t'/x)) \in \llbracket \Gamma, x : X \rrbracket$, thus we get the expected result thanks to the induction hypothesis.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : [e''/x]Y}{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : \exists x : X. Y}$$

- **Case :** $\frac{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : \exists x : X. Y}{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : \exists x : X. Y}$

We get the expected result directly from the induction hypothesis.

5. If $\Gamma \vdash A$ linear then $\gamma(A) \in L(\gamma_1(X))$.

We case analyze the derivation of $\Gamma \vdash A$ linear:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash A \text{ linear}}$$

- **Case LTP:** $\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \text{ linear}}{\Gamma \vdash A : L_i}$

By induction, $\gamma(A) \in \phi(L_i)$ at some T_i , so $\gamma(A) \in L$ in $T_{i+1}(T_{i+1}) = T_i$.

6. If $\Gamma \vdash A \equiv B$ linear then $(\gamma_1(A), \gamma_2(B)) \in L(\gamma_1(X))$.

We case analyze the derivation of $\Gamma \vdash A \equiv B$ linear:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \equiv B : L_i}{\Gamma \vdash A \equiv B \text{ linear}}$$

- **Case LTPEQ:** $\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \equiv B \text{ linear}}{\Gamma \vdash A \equiv B : L_i}$

By induction, $\gamma(A, B) \in \phi(L_i)$ at some T_i , so $\gamma(A, B) \in L$ in $T_{i+1}(T_{i+1}) = T_i$.

7. If $\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : A$ then $\gamma(\delta(e), \sigma) \in \psi(\gamma_1(X))$.

We case analyze the derivation of $\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : A$:

- **Case LHYP:** $\frac{\Gamma; a : A \vdash a : A}{\Gamma; a : A \vdash a : A}$

Let $\gamma \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$ and $((\sigma_1, \delta_1), (\sigma_2, \delta_2)) \in \llbracket \gamma_1(\Delta) \rrbracket$.

Then we have by definition $((\sigma_1, \delta_1(a)), (\sigma_2, \delta_2(a))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A))$, which is what we require.

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : B \quad \Gamma \vdash A \equiv B \text{ linear}}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : A}$$

- **Case LEQ:** $\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : A}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : A}$

By induction, we have:

- $(\gamma_1(A), \gamma_2(B)) \in L$
- $(\delta_1(e)) \in \psi(\gamma_1(Y))$
- $(\gamma_1(A), \gamma_2(A)) \in I$ since A is a linear type

Thus we have $\psi(\gamma_1(A)) = \psi(\gamma_2(A)) = \psi(\gamma_1(B))$. Then $((\sigma_1, \delta_1(\gamma_1(e))), (\sigma_1, \delta_1(\gamma_1(e)))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A))$.

- **Case LONEI:** $\frac{\Gamma; \cdot \vdash () : I}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : I}$

Straightforward.

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : I \quad \Gamma; \Delta' \vdash e' : C}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{let } () = e \text{ in } e' : C}$$

- **Case LONEE:** $\frac{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{let } () = e \text{ in } e' : C}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{let } () = e \text{ in } e' : C}$

Begin by separating $((\sigma_1, \delta_1), (\sigma_2, \delta_2)) \in \llbracket \Delta, \Delta' \rrbracket$ into $((\sigma_1, \delta_1), (\sigma_2, \delta_2)) \in \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket$ and $((\sigma'_1, \delta'_1), (\sigma'_2, \delta'_2)) \in \llbracket \Delta' \rrbracket$ (we will do that implicitly from now on).

By our first induction hypothesis $((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta_1(e))), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2(e)))) \in \psi(I)$, so

$$\langle \sigma_1; \delta_1(\gamma_1(e)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \epsilon; () \rangle$$

$$\langle \sigma_2; \delta_2(\gamma_2(e)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \epsilon; () \rangle$$

By our second induction hypothesis, $((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta'_1(e))), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta'_2(e)))) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(C))$, so

$$\langle \sigma'_1; \delta'_1(\gamma_1(e')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_1; v_1 \rangle$$

$$\langle \sigma'_2; \delta'_2(\gamma_2(e')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_2; v_2 \rangle$$

$$((\sigma''_1, v_1), (\sigma''_2, v_2)) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(C))$$

Thus, we have $(\sigma_i \cdot \sigma'_i, (\delta_i, \delta'_i)(\text{let } () = e \text{ in } e')) = (\sigma_i \cdot \sigma'_i, \text{let } () = \delta_i(\gamma_i(e)) \text{ in } \delta'_i(\gamma_i(e'))) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(C))$ which evaluates to (σ''_i, v_i) for $i = 1, 2$.

Therefore the conclusion follows by closure under evaluation of CPERs.

$$\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : A \quad \Gamma; \Delta' \vdash e' : B$$

- **Case LTENSORI:** $\frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash (e, e') : A \otimes B}$

By induction

- $((\sigma_1, \delta_1(\gamma_1(e))), (\sigma_2, \delta_2(\gamma_2(e)))) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(A))$
- $((\sigma'_1, \delta'_1(\gamma_1(e'))), (\sigma'_2, \delta'_2(\gamma_2(e')))) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(B))$

Thus the conclusion follows immediately from the definition of $\hat{\otimes}$.

$$\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : A \otimes B \quad \Gamma; \Delta', a : A, b : B \vdash e' : C$$

- **Case LTENSORE:** $\frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{let } (a, b) = e \text{ in } e' : C}$

Our first induction hypothesis yields $((\sigma_1, \delta_1(e)), (\sigma_2, \delta_2(e))) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(A \otimes B))$. Thus

$$\langle \sigma_1; \delta_1(\gamma_1(e)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'''_1; (e''_1, e'''_1) \rangle$$

$$\langle \sigma_2; \delta_2(\gamma_2(e)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma'''_2; (e''_2, e'''_2) \rangle$$

$$((\sigma''_1, e''_1), (\sigma''_2, e''_2)) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(A))$$

$$((\sigma'''_1, e'''_1), (\sigma'''_2, e'''_2)) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(B))$$

From ou second induction hypothesis, we get

$$((\sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'''_1, (\delta'_1, e''_1/a, e'''_1/b)(e')), (\sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma'''_2, (\delta'_2, e''_2/a, e'''_2/b)(e'))) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(C))$$

by checking that

$$((\sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'''_1, (\delta'_1, e''_1/a, e'''_1/b)), (\sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma'''_2, (\delta'_2, e''_2/a, e'''_2/b))) \in \llbracket \Delta', a : A, b : B \rrbracket$$

with the obvious decomposition.

We can then evaluate these and deduce that $(\sigma_i \cdot \sigma'_i, \gamma_i(\text{let } (a, b) = \delta_i(e) \text{ in } \delta'_i(e'))) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(C))$ yields the same evaluation for $i = 1, 2$ to conclude.

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta, a : A \vdash e : B}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \lambda a. e : A \multimap B}$$

- **Case LFUNI:** $\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \lambda a. e : A \multimap B}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \lambda a. e : A \multimap B}$

Let $((\sigma'_1, t_1), (\sigma'_2, t_2)) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(A))$ with $\sigma_1 \# \sigma'_1$ and $\sigma_2 \# \sigma'_2$.

We then have

$$((\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1, (\delta_1, t_1/a)), (\sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2, (\delta_2, t_2/a))) \in \llbracket \gamma_1(\Delta, a : A) \rrbracket$$

Then, by induction

$$((\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1, \gamma_1((\delta_1([t_1/a]e_1))), (\sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2, \gamma_2((\delta_2([t_2/a]e_2)))) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(B))$$

Which is what we wanted.

$$\bullet \text{ Case LFUNE: } \frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : A \multimap B \quad \Gamma; \Delta' \vdash e' : A}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash e e' : B}$$

By induction

$$((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(e)), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(e))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A \multimap B))$$

$$((\sigma'_1, \gamma_1(e')), (\sigma'_2, \gamma_2(e'))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A))$$

We thus have some $((\sigma''_1, e''_1), (\sigma''_2, e''_2))$ such that

$$\langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1(e) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_1; \lambda x. e''_1 \rangle \wedge \langle \sigma_2; \gamma_2(e) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_2; \lambda x. e''_2 \rangle$$

$$((\sigma''_1, \lambda x. e''_1), (\sigma''_2, \lambda x. e''_2)) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A \multimap B)) \text{ and thus}$$

$$((\sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'_1, [\gamma_1(e')/x]e''_1), (\sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma'_2, [\gamma_2(e')/x]e''_2)) \in \psi(\gamma_1(B))$$

From which we have $((\sigma'''_1, e'''_1), (\sigma'''_2, e'''_2)) \in \psi(\gamma_1(B))$ such that

$$\langle \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; [\gamma_1(e')/x]e''_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_1; e'''_1 \rangle \wedge \langle \sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma'_2; [\gamma_2(e')/x]e''_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_2; e'''_2 \rangle$$

We can then build the following derivations

$$\frac{\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; \gamma_1(e) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; \lambda x. e''_1 \rangle \quad \langle \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; [\gamma_1(e')/x]e''_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_1; e'''_1 \rangle}{\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; \gamma_1(e e') \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_1; e'''_1 \rangle}$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2; \gamma_2(e) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma'_2; \lambda x. e''_2 \rangle \quad \langle \sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma'_2; [\gamma_2(e')/x]e''_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_2; e'''_2 \rangle}{\langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2; \gamma_2(e e') \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_2; e'''_2 \rangle}$$

And conclude.

$$\bullet \text{ Case LPII: } \frac{\Gamma, x : X; \Delta \vdash e : A}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \hat{\lambda}x. e : \Pi x : X. A}$$

Let $(t_1, t_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$.

We have $((\gamma_1, t_1/x), (\gamma_2, t_2/x)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$.

Notice that x is not a free variable of Δ .

Thus, by induction $((\sigma_1, [t_1/x]\gamma_1(\delta_1(e))), (\sigma_2, [t_2/x]\gamma_2(\delta_2(e)))) \in \psi([t_1/x]\gamma_1(A))$. We then know that there exists $((\sigma'_1, v_1), (\sigma'_2, v_2)) \in \psi([t_1/x]\gamma_1(A))$ such that

$$\langle \sigma_1; [t_1/x]\gamma_1(\delta_1(e)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; v_1 \rangle \wedge \langle \sigma_2; [t_2/x]\gamma_2(\delta_2(e)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_2; v_2 \rangle$$

So we can derive

$$\langle \sigma_1; \hat{\lambda}x. \gamma_1(\delta_1(e)) t_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; v_1 \rangle \wedge \langle \sigma_2; \hat{\lambda}x. \gamma_2(\delta_2(e)) t_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_2; v_2 \rangle$$

And conclude by closure of CPERs under evaluation.

$$\bullet \text{ Case LPIE: } \frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : \Pi x : X. A \quad \Gamma \vdash e' : X}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e e' : [e'/x]A}$$

By induction, we have

$$\forall (t_1, t_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X)), (\gamma_1(\delta_1(e)) t_1, \gamma_2(\delta_2(e)) t_2) \in \phi([t_1/x]\gamma_1(Y))$$

$$(\gamma_1(e'), \gamma_2(e')) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$$

Thus, by applying the first hypothesis to the second, we have what we need.

$$(\gamma_1(\delta_1(e) e'), \gamma_2(\delta_2(e) e')) \in \phi([\gamma_1(e')/x]\gamma_1(Y))$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : X; \Delta \vdash e : Y \quad x \notin \text{FV}(e)}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : \forall x : X. Y}$$

- **Case :** $\frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : \forall x : X. Y}$

By induction and $\Gamma, x : X$ ok, we have $\forall(e'_1, e'_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X)), ((\sigma_1, \delta_1((\gamma_1, e'_1/x)(e))), (\sigma_2, \delta_2((\gamma_2, e'_2/x)(e)))) \in \psi([e'_1/x]\gamma_1(Y))$, so since x is free in e , $((\sigma_1, \delta_1(\gamma_1(e))), (\sigma_2, \delta_2(\gamma_2(e)))) \in \psi([e'_1/x]\gamma_1(Y))$ which directly implies that

$$((\sigma_1, \delta_1(\gamma_1(e))), (\sigma_2, \delta_2(\gamma_2(e)))) \in \psi(\forall x : \gamma_1(X). \gamma_1(Y))$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : \forall x : X. Y \quad \Gamma \vdash e' : X}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : [e'/x]Y}$$

- **Case :** $\frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : [e'/x]Y}$

By induction, $((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta_1(e))), (\sigma_2, \delta_2(\gamma_2(e)))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(\forall x : X. Y))$, which means there exists $(e''_1, e''_2) \in \psi(\gamma_1(\forall x : X. Y))$ such that for every $((\sigma'_1, e'''_1), (\sigma'_2, e'''_2)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$, we have

$$\langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1(e) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; e''_1 \rangle \wedge \langle \sigma_2; \gamma_2(e) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_2; e''_2 \rangle$$

$$((\sigma'_1, e''_1), (\sigma'_2, e''_2)) \in \psi([e'''_1/x]\gamma_1(Y))$$

Thus by compatibility with reduction, it means

$$((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(e)), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(e))) \in \psi([e'''_1/x]\gamma_1(Y))$$

Now we can take $(e'''_1, e'''_2) := (\gamma_1(e'), \gamma_2(e'))$ and conclude.

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : X; \Delta, y : Y \vdash e : Z \quad x \notin \text{FV}(e)}{\Gamma; \Delta, y : Y \vdash e : \exists x : X. Y}$$

- **Case :** $\frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta, y : \exists x : X. Y \vdash e : Z}$

Let $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$ and $((\sigma'_1, e'_1), (\sigma'_2, e'_2)) \in \psi(\gamma_1(\exists x : X. Y))$.

That last fact tells us there exists some $(e''_1, e''_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$ such that $((\sigma'_1, e'_1), (\sigma'_2, e'_2)) \in \phi(\gamma_1([e''_1/x]Y))$ modulo a bit of reasoning with reductions.

Thus, by noticing that $((\gamma_1, e''_1/x), (\gamma_2, e''_2/x)) \in \llbracket \Gamma, x : X, y : Y \rrbracket$ and $((\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1, (\delta_1, e'_1/y)), (\sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2, (\delta_2, e'_2/y))) \in \llbracket \gamma_1(\Delta) \rrbracket$, by induction (and $x \notin \text{FV}(e)$) we have

$$((\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1, \delta_1(\gamma_1([e'_1/y]e))), (\sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2, \delta_2(\gamma_2([e'_2/y]e)))) \in \phi(\gamma_1(Z))$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : X \vdash Y \text{ linear} \quad \Gamma \vdash e' : X \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : [e'/x]Y}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : \exists x : X. Y}$$

- **Case :** $\frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : \exists x : X. Y}$

By induction, we have:

- $(\gamma_1(e'), \gamma_2(e')) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$
- $((\sigma_1, (\gamma_1, \gamma_1(e')/x)(\delta_1(e))), (\sigma_2, (\gamma_2, \gamma_2(e')/x)(\delta_2(e)))) \in \psi((\gamma_1, \gamma_1(e')/x)(Y))$

Which gives us the result once we notice $x \notin \text{FV}(e)$ thanks to typing.

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e_1 : A_1 \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash e_2 : A_2}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash (e_1, e_2) : A_1 \& A_2}$$

- **Case LWITHI:** $\frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash (e_1, e_2) : A_1 \& A_2}$

Similarly to the LTENSORI case, the result follows directly from the induction hypothesis and the definition of the semantic &.

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : A \& B}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \pi_1 e : A}$$

- **Case LWITHEFST:** $\frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \pi_1 e : A}$

By induction $((\sigma_1, \delta_1(\gamma_1(e))), (\sigma_2, \delta_2(\gamma_2(e)))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A \& B))$, so there is some $((\sigma'_1, (v_1, w_1,)), (\sigma'_2, (v_2, w_2,))) \in \psi(A \& B)$ such that

$$\langle \sigma_1; \delta_1(\gamma_1(e)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; (v_1, w_1) \rangle \wedge \langle \sigma_2; \delta_2(\gamma_2(e)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_2; (v_2, w_2) \rangle$$

Thus, we have $((\sigma'_1, v_1), (\sigma'_2, v_2)) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A))$ and

$$\langle \sigma_1; \pi_1 \gamma_1(\delta_1(e)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; v_1 \rangle \wedge \langle \sigma_2; \pi_1 \gamma_2(\delta_2(e)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_2; v_2 \rangle$$

Thus, we have the expected result.

$$\bullet \text{ Case LWITHESNDI: } \frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : A \& B}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \pi_2 e : B}$$

By induction $((\sigma_1, \delta_1(\gamma_1(e))), (\sigma_2, \delta_2(\gamma_2(e)))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A \& B))$, so there is some $((\sigma'_1, (v_1, w_1)), (\sigma'_2, (v_2, w_2))) \in \psi(A \& B)$ such that

$$\langle \sigma_1; \delta_1(\gamma_1(e)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; (v_1, w_1) \rangle \wedge \langle \sigma_2; \delta_2(\gamma_2(e)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_2; (v_2, w_2) \rangle$$

Thus, we have $((\sigma'_1, w_1), (\sigma'_2, w_2)) \in \psi(\gamma_1(B))$ and

$$\langle \sigma_1; \pi_2 \gamma_1(\delta_1(e)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; w_1 \rangle \wedge \langle \sigma_2; \pi_2 \gamma_2(\delta_2(e)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_2; w_2 \rangle$$

Thus, we have the expected result.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : X \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t : [e/x]A}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash F(e, t) : Fx : X. A}$$

$$\bullet \text{ Case LFI: } \frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash F(e, t) : Fx : X. A}$$

Induction gives us:

- $(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$
- $((\sigma_1, \delta_1(\gamma_1(t))), (\sigma_2, \delta_2(\gamma_2(t)))) \in \psi(\gamma_1([e/x]A))$

which directly gives us the conclusion.

$$\bullet \text{ Case LFE: } \frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : Fx : X. A \quad \Gamma, x : X; \Delta', a : A \vdash e' : C}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{let } F(x, a) = e \text{ in } e' : C}$$

By induction, $((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta_1(e))), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2(e)))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(Fx : X. A))$, thus

$$\langle \sigma_1; (\delta_1(\gamma_1(e))) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; F(e'_1, e''_1) \rangle$$

$$\langle \sigma_2; (\delta_2(\gamma_2(e))) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_2; F(e'_2, e''_2) \rangle$$

In particular, $(e'_1, e''_1) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$ and $(e'_2, e''_2) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A))$. Notice that by $\Gamma \vdash \Delta'$ ok, x is not a free variable in Δ' . Then we have

$$((\gamma_1, e''_1/x), (\gamma_2, e''_2/x)) \in \llbracket \Gamma, x : X \rrbracket$$

$$(\sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma''_1, (\delta'_1, e'''_1/a)), (\sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma''_2, (\delta'_2, e'''_2/a)) \in \llbracket (\gamma_1, e''_1/x)(\Delta, a : A) \rrbracket$$

By our second induction hypothesis, we can check that

$$(\sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma''_1, (\delta'_1, e'''_1/a)(e'), \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma''_2, (\delta'_2, e'''_2/a)(e')) \in \psi((\gamma_1, e''_1/x)(C))$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma''_1; \gamma_1((\delta'_1, e'''_1/x, e'''_1/a)(e')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_1; v_1 \rangle \\ &\langle \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma''_2; \gamma_2((\delta'_2, e'''_2/x, e'''_2/a)(e')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_2; v_2 \rangle \\ &((\sigma'''_1, v_1), (\sigma'''_2, v_2)) \in \psi(\gamma_1(C)) \end{aligned}$$

From which we can construct derivations

$$\frac{\langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1(e)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_1; F(e''_1, e'''_1) \rangle \quad \langle \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; [e''_1/x, e'''_1/a] \gamma_1(\delta_1(e'_1)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_1; u \rangle}{\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; \text{let } F(x, a) = \gamma_1(\delta_1(e)) \text{ in } \gamma_1(\delta_1(e')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_1; v_1 \rangle}$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma_2; \gamma_2(\delta_2(e)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_2; F(e''_2, e'''_2) \rangle \quad \langle \sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma'_2; [e''_2/x, e'''_2/a] \gamma_2(\delta_2(e'_2)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_2; u \rangle}{\langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2; \text{let } F(x, a) = \gamma_2(\delta_2(e)) \text{ in } \gamma_2(\delta_2(e')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_2; v_2 \rangle}$$

And we can conclude thanks to the closure of CPERs under evaluation.

$$\bullet \text{ Case LGE: } \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : G A}{\Gamma; \cdot \vdash G^{-1} e : A}$$

Our inductive hypothesis tells us that

$$(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e)) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A))$$

Therefore $\gamma_1(e) \Downarrow Ge'_1$ and $\gamma_2(e) \Downarrow Ge'_2$ and by closure of CPERs under evaluation and definition of G , $(e'_1, e'_2) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A))$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e; e'_1 \rangle &\Downarrow \langle \sigma_1; v_1 \rangle \\ \langle e; e'_2 \rangle &\Downarrow \langle \sigma_2; v_2 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and

$$((\sigma_1, v_1), (\sigma_2, v_2)) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A))$$

From there, we can build

$$\frac{\gamma_2(e) \Downarrow Ge'_2 \quad \langle e; e'_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; v_2 \rangle}{\langle \sigma; G^{-1} \gamma_2(e) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma_2; v_2 \rangle} \qquad \frac{\gamma_1(e) \Downarrow Ge'_1 \quad \langle e; e'_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; v_1 \rangle}{\langle \sigma; G^{-1} \gamma_1(e) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma_1; v_1 \rangle}$$

and deduce the expected conclusion by closure of CPERs under evaluation.

$$\bullet \text{ Case LTI: } \frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : A}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{val } e : T A}$$

By induction

$$((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta_1(e))), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2(e)))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A))$$

Let $\sigma_{f1} \# \sigma_1$ and $\sigma_{f2} \# \sigma_2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_{f1}; \text{val } \gamma_1(\delta_1(e)) \rangle &\rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_{f1}; \text{val } \gamma_1(\delta_1(e)) \rangle \\ \langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma_{f2}; \text{val } \gamma_2(\delta_2(e)) \rangle &\rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma_{f2}; \text{val } \gamma_2(\delta_2(e)) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Thus we are trivially in $\phi(T A)$.

$$\bullet \text{ Case LTLET: } \frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : T A \quad \Gamma; \Delta', a : A \vdash e' : T C}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{let val } a = e \text{ in } e' : T C}$$

Let $\sigma_{f1} \# \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1$ and $\sigma_{f2} \# \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2$.

By induction,

$$(\sigma_1, \delta_1(\gamma_1(e))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A))$$

$$(\sigma_2, \delta_2(\gamma_2(e))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A))$$

thus we have $((\sigma''_1, e''_1), (\sigma''_2, e''_2))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma_{f1}; \delta_1(\gamma_1(e)) \rangle &\rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma_{f1}; \text{val } e''_1 \rangle \\ \langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma_{f2}; \delta_2(\gamma_2(e)) \rangle &\rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma_{f2}; \text{val } e''_2 \rangle \\ ((\sigma''_1, e''_1), (\sigma''_2, e''_2)) &\in \psi(\gamma_1(A)) \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(\sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'_1, (\delta'_1, e''_1/a)) \in \llbracket \gamma_1(\Delta', a : A) \rrbracket$$

$$(\sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma'_2, (\delta'_2, e''_2/a)) \in \llbracket \gamma_1(\Delta', a : A) \rrbracket$$

By our second induction hypothesis,

$$((\sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'_1, \gamma_1((\delta_1, e''_1/a)(e'))), (\sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma'_2, \gamma_2((\delta_2, e''_2/a)(e')))) \in \psi(T(\gamma_1(C)))$$

Hence we have $((\sigma_1''', e_1'''), (\sigma_2''', e_2'''))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \sigma_1'' \cdot \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma_{f1}; \gamma_1(\delta'_1(e)) \rangle &\rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma_1''' \cdot \sigma_{f1}; \text{val } e_1''' \rangle \\ \langle \sigma_2'' \cdot \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma_{f2}; \gamma_2(\delta'_2(e)) \rangle &\rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma_2''' \cdot \sigma_{f2}; \text{val } e_2''' \rangle \\ ((\sigma_1''', e_1'''), (\sigma_2''', e_2''')) &\in \psi(\gamma_1(A))\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can deduce the following reductions

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma_{f1}; \text{let val } a = \delta_1(e) \text{ in } \delta'_1(e') \rangle &\rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma_1''' \cdot \sigma_{f1}; \text{val } e_1''' \rangle \\ \langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma_{f2}; \text{let val } a = \delta_2(e) \text{ in } \delta'_2(e') \rangle &\rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma_2''' \cdot \sigma_{f2}; \text{val } e_2''' \rangle\end{aligned}$$

and conclude.

$$\Gamma \vdash e : X$$

- **Case LNEW:** $\overline{\Gamma; \cdot \vdash \text{new}_X e : T (Fx : \text{Loc. } [x \mapsto X])}$

Let σ_{f1} and σ_{f2} be arbitrary stores and a location $l \notin \text{dom}(\sigma_{f1}) \cup \text{dom}(\sigma_{f2})$.
By our induction hypothesis

$$(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$$

We thus have a pair of values $(v_1, v_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$ such that

$$\gamma_1(e) \Downarrow v_1 \wedge \gamma_2(e) \Downarrow v_2$$

Then the reduction relation gives us

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \sigma_{f1}; \gamma_1(\delta_1(\text{new}_X e)) \rangle &\rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma_{f1}, l : v_1; \text{val } F(l, *) \rangle \\ \langle \sigma_{f2}; \gamma_2(\delta_2(\text{new}_X e)) \rangle &\rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma_{f2}, l : v_2; \text{val } F(l, *) \rangle\end{aligned}$$

We can check that

$$(([l : v_1], F(l, *)), ([l : v_2], F(l, *))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(Fx : \text{Loc. } [[x \mapsto X]]))$$

to conclude.

- **Case LFREE:** $\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \text{Loc} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t : [e \mapsto X]}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{free}(e, t) : T I}$

Let σ_f and σ_g be heaps such that $\sigma_f \# \sigma_1$ and $\sigma_g \# \sigma_2$.

By induction

$$(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e)) \in \phi(\text{Loc})$$

$$((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta_1(t))), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2(t)))) \in \psi([\gamma_1(e) \mapsto \gamma_1(X)])$$

Then we have $l, (v_1, v_2)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1(t)) \rangle &\Downarrow ([l : v_1]; *) \\ \langle \sigma_2; \gamma_2(\delta_2(t)) \rangle &\Downarrow ([l : v_2]; *) \\ (\gamma_1(e_1), l) &\in \text{Loc} \\ \gamma_1(e) \Downarrow l \wedge \gamma_2(e) \Downarrow l\end{aligned}$$

From there we can build the following derivations

$$\frac{\gamma_1(e) \Downarrow l \quad \langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \delta_1(t) \rangle \Downarrow ([l : v_1] \cdot \sigma_f; *)}{\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \gamma_1(\text{free}(e, \delta_1(t))) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma_f; () \rangle} \quad \frac{\gamma_2(\delta_2(e)) \Downarrow l \quad \langle \sigma_2; \delta_2(t) \rangle \Downarrow ([l : v_2]; *)}{\langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \gamma_2(\text{free}(e, \delta_2(t))) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma_g; () \rangle}$$

And check that since $((\epsilon,()), (\epsilon,())) \in \psi(I)$, we have the required result by definition of T .

$$\bullet \text{ Case LGET: } \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \text{Loc} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t : [e \mapsto X] \quad \Gamma, x : X; \Delta', a : [e \mapsto X] \vdash t' : C}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{let } (x, p) = \text{get}(a, t) \text{ in } t' : C}$$

By induction

$$(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e)) \in \phi(\text{Loc})$$

$$((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta_1(t))), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2(t)))) \in \psi([\gamma_1(e) \mapsto \gamma_1(e')])$$

Then we have $l, (v_1, v_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$ such that

$$\langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1(t)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle [l : v_1]; * \rangle$$

$$\langle \sigma_2; \gamma_2(\delta_2(t)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle [l : v_2]; * \rangle$$

$$(\gamma_1(e_1), l) \in \text{Loc}$$

$$\gamma_1(e) \Downarrow l \wedge \gamma_2(e) \Downarrow l$$

Thus

$$((\gamma_1, v_1/x), (\gamma_2, v_2/x)) \in \llbracket \Gamma, x : X, p : x =_X e' \rrbracket$$

Let us denote that pair of substitution (γ'_1, γ'_2) .

We then have

$$(((\sigma'_1, l : v_1), (\delta'_1, */a)), ((\sigma'_2, l : v_2), (\delta'_2, */a))) \in \llbracket \gamma'_1(\Delta', a : [e \mapsto X]) \rrbracket$$

Then by our last induction hypothesis

$$(((\sigma'_1, l : v_1), \gamma'_1((\delta'_1, */a)(t'))), ((\sigma'_2, l : v_2), \gamma'_2((\delta'_2, */a)(t')))) \in \llbracket \gamma'_1(C) \rrbracket$$

Thus

$$\langle \sigma'_1, l : v_1; (\delta'_1, */a)(t') \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_1; t''_1 \rangle$$

$$\langle \sigma'_2, l : v_2; (\delta'_2, */a)(t') \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_2; t''_2 \rangle$$

$$((\sigma''_1, t''_1), (\sigma''_2, t''_2)) \in \phi(\gamma'_1(C))$$

Notice that since $\Gamma \text{ ok}$ and $\Gamma \vdash C \text{ type}$, $\gamma'_1(C) = \gamma_1(C)$ and $\gamma'_i(t) = \gamma_i(t)$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Now we can build derivations

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \gamma_1(e) \Downarrow l \quad \langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1(t)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', l : v_1; * \rangle \quad \langle \sigma, l : v_1; [v_1/x, */c] \gamma_1(\delta'_1(e'')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; t''_1 \rangle \\ \hline \langle \sigma; \gamma_1(\text{let } (x, p) = \text{get}(c, \delta_1(e)) \text{ in } \delta_1(e') \delta'_1(e'')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma; t''_1 \rangle \end{array}}{\langle \sigma; \gamma_1(\text{let } (x, p) = \text{get}(c, \delta_1(e)) \text{ in } \delta_1(e') \delta'_1(e'')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma; t''_1 \rangle}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \gamma_2(e) \Downarrow l \quad \langle \sigma_2; \gamma_2(\delta_2(t)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', l : v_2; * \rangle \quad \langle \sigma, l : v_2; [v_2/x, */c] \gamma_2(\delta'_2(e'')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'; t''_2 \rangle \\ \hline \langle \sigma; \gamma_2(\text{let } (x, p) = \text{get}(c, \delta_2(e)) \text{ in } \delta_2(e') \delta'_2(e'')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma; t''_2 \rangle \end{array}}{\langle \sigma; \gamma_2(\text{let } (x, p) = \text{get}(c, \delta_2(e)) \text{ in } \delta_2(e') \delta'_2(e'')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma; t''_2 \rangle}$$

And conclude.

$$\bullet \text{ Case LSET: } \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \text{Loc} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t : [e \mapsto X] \quad \Gamma \vdash e'' : Y}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e :=_t e'' : T([e \mapsto Y])}$$

Let σ_f and σ_g be heaps such that $\sigma_1 \# \sigma_f$ and $\sigma_2 \# \sigma_g$.

By induction, there exists a location l and values (v_1, v_2) such that

$$\gamma_1(e) \Downarrow l \wedge \gamma_2(e) \Downarrow l$$

$$\langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1(t)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle [l : v_1]; * \rangle$$

$$\langle \sigma_2; \gamma_2(\delta_2(t)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle [l : v_2]; * \rangle$$

$$\gamma_1(e'') \Downarrow v'_1 \wedge \gamma_2(e'') \Downarrow v'_2$$

$$(v'_1, v'_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(Y))$$

We build the derivations

$$\frac{\gamma_1(e) \Downarrow l \quad \gamma_1(e'') \Downarrow v'_1 \quad \langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \gamma_1(\delta_1(t)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma_f, l : v_1; * \rangle}{\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \gamma_1(\delta_1(e :=_{e''} t)) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma_f, l : v'_1; * \rangle}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_2(e) \Downarrow l \quad \gamma_2(e'') \Downarrow v'_2 \quad \langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \gamma_2(\delta_2(t)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle l : v_2; * \rangle}{\langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \gamma_2(\delta_2(e :=_{e''} t)) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma_g, l : v'_2; * \rangle}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \div A}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash * : [A]}$$

- **Case LIRR:** $\Gamma; \Delta \vdash * : [A]$

This is a direct consequence of the induction hypothesis.

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : [l] \quad \Gamma; \Delta' \vdash e' : C}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{let } l = e \text{ in } e' : C}$$

- **Case LIRRUNIT:** $\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{let } l = e \text{ in } e' : C$

By induction, we have

$$((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta_1(e))), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2(e)))) \in \psi(\gamma_1([l]))$$

$$((\sigma'_1, \gamma_1(\delta'_1(e'))), (\sigma'_2, \gamma_2(\delta'_2(e')))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(C))$$

Thus there exists $((\sigma_1, v_1), (\sigma_2, v_2)) \in \psi(\gamma_1(C))$ such that

$$\langle \sigma'_1; \gamma_1(\delta'_1(e')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_1; v_1 \rangle$$

$$\langle \sigma'_2; \gamma_2(\delta'_2(e')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_2; v_2 \rangle$$

Thus, we have

$$\langle \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma_1; \gamma_1(\text{let } l = \delta_1(e) \text{ in } \delta'_1(e')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma_1; v_1 \rangle$$

$$\langle \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma_2; \gamma_2(\text{let } l = \delta_2(e) \text{ in } \delta'_2(e')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma_2; v_2 \rangle$$

And we can thus conclude by compatibility of CPERs with evaluation.

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : [A \otimes B] \quad \Gamma; \Delta', a : [A], b : [B] \vdash e' : C}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{let } [a, b] = e \text{ in } e' : C}$$

- **Case LIRRPART:** $\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{let } [a, b] = e \text{ in } e' : C$

By induction, we have

$$((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta_1(e))), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2(e)))) \in \psi(\gamma_1([A \otimes B]))$$

Thus we can split the σ_i into σ''_i and σ'''_i such that

$$((\sigma_1, *), (\sigma'_1, *)) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A))$$

$$((\sigma_2, *), (\sigma'_2, *)) \in \psi(\gamma_1(B))$$

Hence, we have

$$((\sigma'_1, \gamma_1(\delta'_1([*/a, */b]e'))), (\sigma'_2, \gamma_2(\delta'_2([*/a, */b]e'))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(C))$$

Thus there exists $((\sigma''_1, v_1), (\sigma''_2, v_2)) \in \psi(\gamma_1(C))$ such that

$$\langle \sigma'_1; \gamma_1(\delta'_1(e')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_1; v_1 \rangle$$

$$\langle \sigma'_2; \gamma_2(\delta'_2(e')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_2; v_2 \rangle$$

Thus, we have

$$\langle \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma_1; \gamma_1(\text{let } [a, b] = \delta_1(e) \text{ in } \delta'_1(e')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma_1; v_1 \rangle$$

$$\langle \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma_2; \gamma_2(\text{let } [a, b] = \delta_2(e) \text{ in } \delta'_2(e')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma_2; v_2 \rangle$$

And we can thus conclude by compatibility of CPERs with evaluation.

8. If $\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e_1 \equiv e_2 : A$ then $((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta_1(e_1))), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2(e_2)))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A))$.

We case analyze the derivation of $\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e_1 \equiv e_2 : A$:

$$\bullet \text{ Case LREFLEX: } \frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t : A}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t \equiv t : A}$$

The induction hypothesis directly solves this case.

$$\bullet \text{ Case LTRANS: } \frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t \equiv t' : A \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t' \equiv t'' : A}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t \equiv t'' : A}$$

Intanciating the first induction hypothesis with $(\gamma_1, \gamma_1), ((\sigma_1, \delta_1), (\sigma_1, \delta_1))$ and the second with $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2), ((\sigma_1, \delta_1), (\sigma_2, \delta_2))$ solve this case by transitivity in CPERs.

$$\bullet \text{ Case IGETA: } \frac{}{\Gamma; \cdot \vdash G^{-1}(G t) \equiv t : A}$$

By induction $((\epsilon, \gamma_1(t)), (\epsilon, \gamma_2(t))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \epsilon; \gamma_1(\delta_1(t)) \rangle &\Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; u_1 \rangle \\ \langle \epsilon; \gamma_2(\delta_2(t)) \rangle &\Downarrow \langle \sigma'_2; u_2 \rangle \\ ((\sigma'_1, u_1), (\sigma'_2, u_2)) &\in \psi(\gamma_1(A)) \end{aligned}$$

We can then build the following derivation

$$\frac{G \delta_1(\gamma_1(t)) \Downarrow G \delta_1(\gamma_1(t)) \quad \langle \epsilon; \delta_1(\gamma_1(t)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; u_1 \rangle}{\langle \epsilon; G^{-1}(G \delta_1(\gamma_1(t))) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; u_1 \rangle}$$

Thus, by closure under evaluation, $((\epsilon, G^{-1}(G \delta_1(\gamma_1(t)))), (\epsilon, \delta_2(\gamma_2(t)))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A))$

$$\bullet \text{ Case LFUNBETA: } \frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash (\lambda x. e) e' \equiv [e'/x]e : C}$$

By induction

$$((\sigma_1, \gamma_1([e'/x]e)), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2([e'/x]e))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(C))$$

So we have $((\sigma'_1, v_1), (\sigma'_2, v_2))$ such that

$$\langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1([e'/x]e) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; v_1 \rangle \wedge \langle \sigma_2; \gamma_2([e'/x]e) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_2; v_2 \rangle$$

We can build

$$\frac{\langle \sigma_1; \lambda x. \gamma_1(e) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma_1; \lambda x. \gamma_1(e) \rangle \quad \langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1([e'/x]e) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; v_1 \rangle}{\langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1(\lambda x. e e') \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; v_1 \rangle}$$

And conclude.

$$\bullet \text{ Case LFUNETA: } \frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv \lambda x. e x : A \multimap B}$$

Let $((\sigma'_1, e'_1), (\sigma'_2, e'_2)) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A))$.

By induction, we have

$$((\sigma_1, \delta_1(\gamma_1(e))), (\sigma_2, \delta_2(\gamma_2(e)))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A \multimap B))$$

Hence there exists $((\sigma''_1, e''_1), (\sigma''_2, e''_2))$ such that

$$\langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1(e)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_1; \lambda x. e''_1 \rangle \wedge \langle \sigma_2; \gamma_2(\delta_2(e)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_2; \lambda x. e''_2 \rangle$$

$$((\sigma''_1, \lambda x. e''_1), (\sigma''_2, \lambda x. e''_2)) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A \multimap B))$$

Thus, we have $((\sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma'_1, \lambda x. e'_1), (\sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma'_2, \lambda x. e'_2)) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(B))$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; [e'_1/x] e''_1 \rangle &\Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_1; v_1 \rangle \wedge \langle \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma'_2; [e'_2/x] e''_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_2; v_2 \rangle \\ ((\sigma'''_1, v_1), (\sigma'''_2, v_2)) &\in \Psi(\gamma_1(B)) \end{aligned}$$

We can then build

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; \delta_1(\gamma_1(e)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; \lambda x. e''_1 \rangle \quad \langle \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; [e'_1/x] e''_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_1; v_1 \rangle \\ \hline \langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; \delta_1(\gamma_1(e)) e'_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_1; v_1 \rangle \end{array}}{\langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2; \delta_2(\gamma_2(e)) x \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2; \lambda x. \delta_2(\gamma_2(e)) x \rangle} \quad \frac{\begin{array}{c} \langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2; \delta_2(\gamma_2(e)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma'_2; \lambda x. e''_2 \rangle \quad \langle \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma'_2; [e'_2/x] e''_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_2; v_2 \rangle \\ \hline \langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2; \delta_2(\gamma_2(e)) e'_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_2; v_2 \rangle \end{array}}{\langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2; \lambda x. \delta_2(\gamma_2(e)) e'_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_2; v_2 \rangle}$$

And conclude.

- **Case LONEBETA:** $\frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{let } () = () \text{ in } e \equiv e : C}$

By induction $((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta_1(e))), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2(e)))) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(C))$.
Thus we have $((\sigma'_1, e'_1), (\sigma'_2, e'_2)) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(C))$ such that

$$\langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1(e)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; e'_1 \rangle \wedge \langle \sigma_2; \gamma_2(\delta_2(e)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_2; e'_2 \rangle$$

We can build

$$\frac{\langle \sigma_1; () \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma_1; () \rangle \quad \langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1(e)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; e'_1 \rangle}{\langle \sigma; \text{let } () = () \text{ in } \gamma_1(\delta_1(e)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; e'_1 \rangle}$$

And conclude.

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t : I \quad \Gamma; \Delta', x : I \vdash t' : C}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{let } () = t \text{ in } [() / x] t' \equiv [t / x] t' : C}$$

- **Case LONEETA:** $\frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{let } () = t \text{ in } [() / x] t' \equiv [t / x] t' : C}$

By induction

$$\begin{aligned} ((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta_1(t))), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2(t)))) &\in \Psi(\gamma_1(I)) \\ ((\sigma'_1, \gamma_1(\delta'_1([t / x] t'))), (\sigma'_2, \gamma_2(\delta'_2([t / x] t')))) &\in \Psi(\gamma_1(C)) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exists $((\sigma''_1, ()), (\sigma''_2, ())) \in \Psi(I)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1(t)) \rangle &\Downarrow \langle \sigma''_1; (e_1, t_1) \rangle \\ \langle \sigma_2; \gamma_2(\delta_2(t)) \rangle &\Downarrow \langle \sigma''_2; (e_2, t_2) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Hence $((\sigma''_1, ()), (\sigma''_2, ())) \in \Psi(I)$.

Thus $((\sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma''_1, (\delta'_1(() / x))), (\sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma_2, (\delta'_2, \gamma_2(\delta'_2([t / x] t')) / x))) \in \llbracket \gamma_1(\Delta, x : I) \rrbracket$.

Therefore, by our second induction hypothesis

$$((\sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma''_1, [() / x] \gamma_1(\delta'_1(t'))), (\sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta'_2([\delta_2(t) / y] t')))) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(C))$$

Hence, there exists $((\sigma'''_1, u_1), (\sigma'''_2, u_2))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma''_1; [() / x] \gamma_1(\delta'_1(t')) \rangle &\Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_1; u_1 \rangle \\ \langle \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma_2; \gamma_2(\delta'_2([\delta_2(t) / x] t')) \rangle &\Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_2; u_2 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can build the following derivation

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1(t)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; () \rangle \quad \langle \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; [() / x] \gamma_1(\delta'_1(t')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_1; u_1 \rangle \\ \hline \langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; \text{let } (a, b) = \gamma_1(\delta_1(t)) \text{ in } \gamma_1(\delta'_1([() / x] t')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_1; u_1 \rangle \end{array}}{\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; \text{let } (a, b) = \gamma_1(\delta_1(t)) \text{ in } \gamma_1(\delta'_1([() / x] t')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_1; u_1 \rangle}$$

And conclude.

$$\Gamma; \Delta \vdash [t_1/a, t_2/b]t' : C$$

- **Case LTENSORBETA:** $\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{let } (a, b) = (t_1, t_2) \text{ in } t' \equiv [t_1/a, t_2/b]t' : C}$
By induction

$$((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta_1([t_1/a, t_2/b]t'))), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2([t_1/a, t_2/b]t')))) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(C))$$

Thus, there exists $((\sigma'_1, e_1), (\sigma'_2, e_2)) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(C))$ such that

$$\langle \sigma_1; \delta_1(\gamma_1([t_1/a, t_2/b]t')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; e_1 \rangle \wedge \langle \sigma_2; \delta_2(\gamma_2([t_1/a, t_2/b]t')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_2; e_2 \rangle$$

Thus we can build the following evaluation tree and conclude.

$$\frac{\langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1((t_1, t_2))) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1((t_1, t_2))) \rangle \quad \langle \sigma_1; [t_1/a, t_2/b]\gamma_1(\delta_1(t')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; e_1 \rangle}{\langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1(\text{let } (a, b) = (t_1, t_2) \text{ in } t') \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; e_1 \rangle}$$

$$\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t : A \otimes B \quad \Gamma; \Delta', x : A \otimes B \vdash t' : C$$

- **Case LTENSORETA:** $\overline{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{let } (a, b) = t \text{ in } [(a, b)/x]t' \equiv [t/x]t' : C}$
By induction

$$((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta_1(t))), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2(t)))) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(A \otimes B))$$

$$((\sigma'_1, \gamma_1(\delta'_1([t/x]t'))), (\sigma'_2, \gamma_2(\delta'_2([t/x]t')))) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(C))$$

Hence, there exists $((\sigma''_1, (e_1, t_1)), (\sigma''_2, (e_2, t_2))) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(A \otimes B))$ such that

$$\langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1(t)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_1; (e_1, t_1) \rangle$$

$$\langle \sigma_2; \gamma_2(\delta_2(t)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_2; (e_2, t_2) \rangle$$

Hence $((\sigma''_1, (e_1, t_1)), (\sigma''_2, (e_2, t_2))) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(A \otimes B))$.

Thus $((\sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma''_1, (\delta'_1, (e_1, t_1)/x)), (\sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma_2, (\delta'_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2(t))/x))) \in \llbracket \gamma_1(\Delta, x : A \otimes B) \rrbracket$.

Therefore, by our second induction hypothesis

$$((\sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma''_1, [(e_1, t_1)/x]\gamma_1(\delta'_1(t'))), (\sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta'_2([\delta_2(t)/y]t')))) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(C))$$

Hence, there exists $((\sigma'''_1, u_1), (\sigma'''_2, u_2))$ such that

$$\langle \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma''_1; [(e_1, t_1)/x]\gamma_1(\delta'_1(t')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_1; u_1 \rangle$$

$$\langle \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma_2; \gamma_2(\delta'_2([\delta_2(t)/x]t')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_2; u_2 \rangle$$

Thus we can build the following derivation

$$\frac{\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1(t)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; (e_1, t_1) \rangle \quad \langle \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; [\gamma_1(\delta_1((e_1, t_1)))/x]\gamma_1(\delta'_1(t')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_1; u_1 \rangle}{\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; \text{let } (a, b) = \gamma_1(\delta_1(t)) \text{ in } \gamma_1(\delta'_1([(a, b)/x]t')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_1; u_1 \rangle}$$

- **Case LFBETA:** $\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{let } F(x, a) = F(e, t) \text{ in } t' \equiv [e/x, t/a]t' : C}$ By induction

$$((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta_1([e/x, t/a]t'))), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2([e/x, t/a]t')))) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(C))$$

Thus, there exists $((\sigma'_1, u_1), (\sigma'_2, u_2)) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(C))$ such that

$$\langle \sigma_1; \delta_1(\gamma_1([e/x, t/a]t')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; u_1 \rangle \wedge \langle \sigma_2; \delta_2(\gamma_2([e/x, t/a]t')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_2; u_2 \rangle$$

Thus we can build the following evaluation tree and conclude.

$$\frac{\langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1(F(e, t))) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1(F(e, t))) \rangle \quad \langle \sigma_1; [\gamma_1(e)/x, \gamma_1(\delta_1(t))/a]\gamma_1(\delta_1(t')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; u_1 \rangle}{\langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1(\text{let } F(e, t) = F(e, t) \text{ in } t') \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; u_1 \rangle}$$

- **Case LFETA:** $\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t : Fx : X. A \quad \Gamma; \Delta', y : Fx : X. A \vdash t' : C}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{let } F(x, a) = t \text{ in } [F(x, a)/y]t' \equiv [t/y]t' : C}$
- By induction

$$\begin{aligned} ((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta_1(t))), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2(t)))) &\in \psi(\gamma_1(Fx : X. A)) \\ ((\sigma'_1, \gamma_1(\delta'_1([t/y]t'))), (\sigma'_2, \gamma_2(\delta'_2([t/y]t')))) &\in \psi(\gamma_1(C)) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exists $((\sigma''_1, F(e_1, t_1)), (\sigma''_2, F(e_2, t_2))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(Fx : X. A))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1(t)) \rangle &\Downarrow \langle \sigma''_1; F(e_1, t_1) \rangle \\ \langle \sigma_2; \gamma_2(\delta_2(t)) \rangle &\Downarrow \langle \sigma''_2; F(e_2, t_2) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Hence $((\sigma''_1, F(e_1, t_1)), (\sigma''_2, F(e_2, t_2))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(Fx : X. A))$.

Thus $((\sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma''_1, [\delta'_1, F(e_1, t_1)/y]), (\sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma''_2, [\delta'_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2(t))/y])) \in \llbracket \gamma_1(\Delta, y : Fx : X. A) \rrbracket$.

Therefore, by our second induction hypothesis

$$((\sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma''_1, [F(e_1, t_1)/y]\gamma_1(\delta'_1(t'))), (\sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma''_2, \gamma_2(\delta'_2([\delta_2(t)/y]t')))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(C))$$

Hence, there exists $((\sigma'''_1, u_1), (\sigma'''_2, u_2))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma''_1; [F(e_1, t_1)/y]\gamma_1(\delta'_1(t')) \rangle &\Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_1; u_1 \rangle \\ \langle \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma''_2; \gamma_2(\delta'_2([\delta_2(t)/y]t')) \rangle &\Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_2; u_2 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can build the following derivation

$$\frac{\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1(t)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; F(e_1, t_1) \rangle \quad \langle \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; [F(e_1, t_1)/y]\gamma_1(\delta'_1(t')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_1; u_1 \rangle}{\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; \text{let } F(x, a) = \gamma_1(\delta_1(t)) \text{ in } \gamma_1(\delta'_1([F(x, a)/y]t')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_1; u_1 \rangle}$$

And conclude.

- **Case LPBETA:** $\frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash (\hat{\lambda}x. e) e' \equiv [e'/x]e : C}$

By induction:

- $((\sigma_1, \delta_1(\gamma_1([e'/x]e))), (\sigma_2, \delta_2(\gamma_2([e'/x]e)))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(C))$
- $((\sigma_1, \delta_1(\gamma_1((\hat{\lambda}x. e) e'))), (\sigma_2, \delta_2(\gamma_2((\hat{\lambda}x. e) e')))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(Z))$

This means that we have $((\sigma'_1, e''_1), (\sigma'_2, e''_2))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1([e'/x]\delta_1(e)) \rangle &\Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; e''_1 \rangle \\ \langle \sigma_2; \gamma_2([e'/x]\delta_2(e)) \rangle &\Downarrow \langle \sigma'_2; e''_2 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

We then build

$$\frac{\langle \sigma_1; \hat{\lambda}x. \delta_1(\gamma_1(e)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma_1; \hat{\lambda}x. \delta_1(\gamma_1(e)) \rangle \quad \langle \sigma_1; [\gamma_1(e')/x]\delta_1(\gamma_1(e)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; e''_1 \rangle}{\langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1(\hat{\lambda}x. \delta_1(e) e') \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; e''_1 \rangle}$$

Since our CPER $\psi(\gamma_1(Z))$ is closed under evaluation, we have the expected result.

- **Case LPETA:** $\frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv \hat{\lambda}x. e x : \Pi x : X. A}$

By induction

$$\begin{aligned} ((\sigma_1, \delta_1(\gamma_1(e))), (\sigma_2, \delta_2(\gamma_2(e)))) &\in \psi(\Pi x : \gamma_1(X). \gamma_1(A)) \\ ((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta_1(\hat{\lambda}x. e))), (\sigma_2, \delta_2(\gamma_2(\hat{\lambda}x. e)))) &\in \psi(\Pi x : \gamma_1(X). \gamma_1(A)) \end{aligned}$$

Let $(e'_1, e'_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$.

We know that there is $((\sigma'_1, v_1), (\sigma'_2, v_2)) \in \phi((\gamma_1, e'_1)/x)(A)$ such that

$$\langle \sigma_1; \delta_1(\gamma_1(e)) e'_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; v_1 \rangle$$

$$\langle \sigma_2; \delta_2(\gamma_2(e)) e'_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_2; v_2 \rangle$$

We can use this to build a derivation

$$\frac{\langle \sigma_1; \hat{\lambda}x. \delta_2(\gamma_2(e)) x \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma_1; \lambda x : A. \delta_2(\gamma_2(e)) x \rangle \quad \langle \sigma_1; \delta_2(\gamma_2(e)) e'_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; v_2 \rangle}{\langle \sigma_1; \lambda x. \delta_2(\gamma_2(e)) x e'_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; v_2 \rangle}$$

Then we have $((\sigma_1, \delta_1(\gamma_1(e)) e'_1)(\sigma_2, \delta_2(\gamma_2(\lambda x. e)) e'_2)) \in \phi((\gamma_1, e'_1/x)Y)$ which is what we need.

$$\Gamma, x : X; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : Y$$

- **Case LALLETA:** $\frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : \forall x : X. Y}$

Since $x \notin FV(e, e')$, the result follows directly from the induction hypothesis which tells us $\forall (e'', e''') \in \phi(\gamma_1(X)), ((\sigma_1, \delta_1(\gamma_1(e))), (\sigma_2, \delta_2(\gamma_2(e')))) \in \psi(\gamma_1([e''/x] \forall x : X. Y))$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : \forall x : X. Y \quad \Gamma \vdash t : X}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : \forall x : X. Y}$$

- **Case IALLBETA:** $\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : [t/x]Y}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : [t/x]Y}$

By induction $((\sigma_1, \delta_1(\gamma_1(e))), (\sigma_2, \delta_2(\gamma_2(e')))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(\forall x : X. Y))$ and $(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$. Thus we have $((\sigma_1, v_1), (\sigma_2, v_2)) \in \psi(\gamma_1(\forall x : X. Y))$ such that $\langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1(e) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; v_1 \rangle, \langle \sigma_2; \gamma_2(e') \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_2; v_2 \rangle$ and $((\sigma'_1, v_1), (\sigma'_2, v_2)) \in \psi([\gamma_1(t)/x]\gamma_1(Y))$.

Hence $((\sigma_1, \delta_1(\gamma_1(e))), (\sigma_2, \delta_2(\gamma_2(e')))) \in \phi([\gamma_1(t)/x]\gamma_1(Y))$.

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : [t/x]Y \quad \Gamma \vdash t : X}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : \exists x : X. Y}$$

- **Case IExBETA:** $\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : \exists x : X. Y}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : \exists x : X. Y}$

By induction

$$((\sigma_2, \gamma_1(\delta_1(e))), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2(e')))) \in \phi(\gamma_1([t/x]Y))$$

$$(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$$

which gives us our result by taking $\gamma_1(t)$ as our witness.

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : X; \Delta, y : Y \vdash e \equiv e' : Z \quad x \notin FV(e, e', Z)}{\Gamma, x : X; \Delta, y : Y \vdash e \equiv e' : Z}$$

- **Case IExETA:** $\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, y : \exists x : X. Y \equiv e : e' Z}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, y : \exists x : X. Y \equiv e : e' Z}$

Let $((\sigma_1, \delta_1), (\sigma_2, \delta_2)) \in \psi(\gamma_1(Z))$ and $((\sigma'_1, t_1), (\sigma'_2, t_2)) \in \psi(\gamma_1(\exists x : X. Y))$.

By this second hypothesis, there exists $(t', t') \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$ such that $(t_1, t_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1([t'/x]Y))$. Thus $((\gamma_1, t'/x), (\gamma_2, t'/x)) \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$ and $((\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1), (\delta_1, t_1/y)), ((\sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2), (\delta_2, t_2/y)) \in \llbracket \Delta, y : Y \rrbracket$. By induction, since $x \notin FV(e, e', Z)$,

$$([t_1/y]\gamma_1(\delta_1(e)), [t_2/y]\gamma_2(\delta_2(e))) \in \psi([t_1/y]\gamma_1(Z))$$

which is what we wanted.

- **Case LWITHBETAFST:** $\frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \pi_1(e, e') \equiv e : A}$

By induction, $((\sigma_1, \delta_1(\gamma_1(e))), (\sigma_1, \delta_1(\gamma_1(e)))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A))$, so there exists $((\sigma'_1, v_1), (\sigma'_2, v_2))$ such that

$$\langle \sigma_1; \delta_1(\gamma_1(e)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; v_1 \rangle \wedge \langle \sigma_2; \delta_2(\gamma_2(e)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_2; v_2 \rangle$$

$$((\sigma_1, v_1), (\sigma_2, v_2)) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A))$$

Thus we can build the following and conclude.

$$\frac{\langle \sigma_1; \delta_1(\gamma_1((e, e'))) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma_1; \delta_1(\gamma_1((e, e'))) \rangle \quad \langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1(e) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; v_1 \rangle}{\langle \sigma_1; \delta_1(\gamma_1(\pi_1(e, e')))) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; v_1 \rangle}$$

- **Case LWITHBETASND:** $\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \pi_2(e, e') \equiv e' : B}$

By induction $((\sigma_1, \delta_1(\gamma_1(e'))), (\sigma_1, \delta_1(\gamma_1(e')))) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(B))$, so there exists $((\sigma'_1, v_1), (\sigma'_2, v_2))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sigma_1; \delta_1(\gamma_1(e')) \rangle &\Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; v_1 \rangle \wedge \langle \sigma_2; \delta_2(\gamma_2(e')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_2; v_2 \rangle \\ ((\sigma_1, v_1), (\sigma_2, v_2)) &\in \Psi(\gamma_1(B)) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can build the following and conclude.

$$\frac{\langle \sigma_1; \delta_1(\gamma_1((e, e'))) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma_1; \delta_1(\gamma_1((e, e'))) \rangle \quad \langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1(e) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; v_1 \rangle}{\langle \sigma_1; \delta_1(\gamma_1(\pi_2(e, e'))) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; v_1 \rangle}$$

- **Case LWITHETA:** $\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv (\pi_1 e, \pi_2 e) : A \& B}$

By induction $((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(e)), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(e))) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(A \& B))$, so there exists e'_1, e'_2, e''_1, e''_2 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1(e) \rangle &\Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; (e'_1, e''_1) \rangle \\ \langle \sigma_2; \gamma_2(e) \rangle &\Downarrow \langle \sigma'_2; (e'_2, e''_2) \rangle \\ ((\sigma'_1, e'_1), (\sigma'_2, e'_2)) &\in \Psi(\gamma_1(A)) \\ ((\sigma'_1, e''_1), (\sigma'_2, e''_2)) &\in \Psi(\gamma_1(B)) \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to show that $((\sigma'_1, (e'_1, e''_1)), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2((\pi_1 e, \pi_2 e)))) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(A \& B))$

We can build the following derivations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\langle \sigma_2; \gamma_2(e) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_2; (e'_2, e''_2) \rangle \quad \langle \sigma'_2; e'_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_2; e'_2 \rangle}{\langle \sigma_2; \pi_1 \gamma_2(e) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_2; e'_2 \rangle} \\ \frac{\langle \sigma_2; \gamma_2(e) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_2; (e'_2, e''_2) \rangle \quad \langle \sigma'_2; e''_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_2; e''_2 \rangle}{\langle \sigma_2; \pi_2 \gamma_2(e) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_2; e''_2 \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((\sigma'_1, e'_1), (\sigma_2, \pi_1 \gamma_2(e))) &\in \Psi(\gamma_1(A)) \\ ((\sigma'_1, e''_1), (\sigma_2, \pi_2 \gamma_2(e))) &\in \Psi(\gamma_1(B)) \end{aligned}$$

Which brings us the conclusion by the definition of the semantics of $\&$.

- **Case LTBETA:** $\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{let } \text{val } x = \text{val } t \text{ in } t' \equiv [t/x]t' : T C}$

Let σ_f and σ_g be heaps such that $\sigma_f \# \sigma_1$ and $\sigma_g \# \sigma_2$.

By induction $((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta_1([t/x]t'))), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2([t/x]t')))) \in \Psi(T \gamma_1(C))$.

Thus, there exists $((\sigma'_1, v_1), (\sigma'_2, v_2)) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(C))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \gamma_1(\delta_1([t/x]t')) \rangle &\rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \text{val } v_1 \rangle \\ \langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \gamma_2(\delta_2([t/x]t')) \rangle &\rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \text{val } v_2 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Hence we can conclude with the following derivation.

$$\frac{\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \text{val } t \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \text{val } t \rangle \quad \langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_f; [t/x]t' \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \text{val } v_1 \rangle}{\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \text{let } \text{val } x = \text{val } t \text{ in } t' \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \text{val } v_1 \rangle}$$

- **Case LTETA:** $\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{let val } x = t \text{ in val } x \equiv t : T C}$

Let σ_f and σ_g be heaps such that $\sigma_f \# \sigma_1$ and $\sigma_g \# \sigma_2$.

By induction $((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta_1(t))), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2(t)))) \in \Psi(T \gamma_1(C))$.

Thus, there exists $((\sigma'_1, v_1), (\sigma'_2, v_2)) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(C))$ such that

$$\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \gamma_1(\delta_1(t)) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \text{val } v_1 \rangle$$

$$\langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \gamma_2(\delta_2(t)) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \text{val } v_2 \rangle$$

Hence we can conclude with the following derivation.

$$\frac{\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \gamma_1(\delta_1(t)) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \text{val } v_1 \rangle \quad \langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \text{val } v_1 \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \text{val } v_1 \rangle}{\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \gamma_1(\text{let val } x = t \text{ in val } x) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \text{val } v_1 \rangle}$$

$$\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : T A \quad \Gamma; \Delta', x : A \vdash t_2 : T B \quad \Gamma; \Delta'', y : B \vdash t_3 : T C$$

- **Case LTASSOC:** $\overline{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta', \Delta'' \vdash \text{let val } y = (\text{let val } x = t_1 \text{ in } t_2) \text{ in } t_3 \equiv \text{let val } x = t_1 \text{ in let val } y = t_2 \text{ in } t_3 : T C}$

Let σ_f and σ_g be heaps such that $\sigma_f \# \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma''_1$ and $\sigma_g \# \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma''_2$.

By induction $((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta_1(t_1))), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2(t_1)))) \in \Psi(T \gamma_1(A))$, thus there is $((\sigma'''_1, u_1), (\sigma'''_2, u_2)) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(A))$ such that

$$\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \delta_1(\gamma_1(t_1)) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'''_1 \cdot \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \text{val } u_1 \rangle$$

$$\langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma_f; \delta_2(\gamma_2(t_1)) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'''_2 \cdot \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma_f; \text{val } u_2 \rangle$$

Notice that

$$((\sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma'''_1, (\delta'_1, u_1/x)), (\sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma'''_2, (\delta'_2, u_2/x))) \in \llbracket \Delta', x : A \rrbracket$$

Thus by induction $((\sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma'''_1, \gamma_1(\delta'_1(t_2))), (\sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma'''_2, \gamma_2(\delta'_2(t_2)))) \in \Psi(T \gamma_1(B))$, thus there is $((\sigma'''_1, v_1), (\sigma'''_2, v_2)) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(B))$ such that

$$\langle \sigma'''_1 \cdot \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma_f; [u_1/x]\delta'_1(\gamma_1(t_2)) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'''_1 \cdot \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \text{val } v_1 \rangle$$

$$\langle \sigma'''_2 \cdot \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma_f; [u_2/x]\delta'_2(\gamma_2(t_2)) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'''_2 \cdot \sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \text{val } v_2 \rangle$$

Notice that

$$((\sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'''_1, (\delta''_1, v_1/x)), (\sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma'''_2, (\delta''_2, v_2/x))) \in \llbracket \Delta'', y : B \rrbracket$$

Thus by induction $((\sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'''_1, \gamma_1(\delta''_1(t_3))), (\sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma'''_2, \gamma_2(\delta''_2(t_3)))) \in \Psi(T \gamma_1(C))$, thus there is $((\sigma''''_1, w_1), (\sigma''''_2, w_2)) \in \Psi(\gamma_1(B))$ such that

$$\langle \sigma'''_1 \cdot \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma_f; [v_1/y]\delta''_1(\gamma_1(t_3)) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma''''_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \text{val } w_1 \rangle$$

$$\langle \sigma'''_2 \cdot \sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma_f; [v_2/y]\delta''_2(\gamma_2(t_3)) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma''''_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \text{val } w_2 \rangle$$

We can then build the following derivations to conclude.

$$\frac{\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \gamma_1(\delta_1(t_1)) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'''_1 \cdot \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \text{val } u_1 \rangle \quad \langle \sigma'''_1 \cdot \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma_f; [u_1/x]\gamma_1(\delta'_1(t_2)) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'''_1 \cdot \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \text{val } v_1 \rangle}{\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \gamma_1(\text{let val } x = \delta_1(t_1) \text{ in } \delta'_1(t_2)) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'''_1 \cdot \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \text{val } v_1 \rangle}$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \gamma_2(\delta_2(t_1)) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'''_2 \cdot \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \text{val } u_2 \rangle \quad \langle \sigma'''_2 \cdot \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma_g; [u_2/x]\gamma_2(\delta'_2(t_2)) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'''_2 \cdot \sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \text{val } w_2 \rangle}{\langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \gamma_2(\text{let val } x = \delta_2(t_1) \text{ in } \delta'_2(t_2)) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'''_2 \cdot \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma_g; [u_2/x]\gamma_2(\text{let val } y = \delta_2(t_1) \text{ in } \delta'_2(t_2) \text{ in } \delta''_2(t_3)) \rangle}$$

$$\bullet \text{ Case LTENSORCONG: } \frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 \equiv t'_1 : A \quad \Gamma; \Delta' \vdash t_2 \equiv t'_2 : B}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash (t_1, t_2) \equiv (t'_1, t'_2) : A \otimes B}$$

By induction

$$\begin{aligned} ((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta_1(t_1))), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2(t'_1)))) &\in \psi(\gamma_1(A)) \\ ((\sigma'_1, \gamma_1(\delta'_1(t_2))), (\sigma'_2, \gamma_2(\delta'_2(t'_2)))) &\in \psi(\gamma_1(B)) \end{aligned}$$

And with $\sigma_1 \# \sigma'_1$ and $\sigma_2 \# \sigma'_2$, we have all we need.

$$\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 \equiv t'_1 : A \otimes B \quad \Gamma; \Delta', a : A, b : B \vdash t_2 \equiv t'_2 : C$$

$$\bullet \text{ Case LTENSORECONG: } \frac{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{let } (a, b) = t_1 \text{ in } t_2 \equiv \text{let } (a, b) = t'_1 \text{ in } t'_2 : C}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{let } (a, b) = t_1 \text{ in } t_2 \equiv \text{let } (a, b) = t'_1 \text{ in } t'_2 : C}$$

By our first induction hypothesis,

$$((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta_1(t_1))), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2(t'_1)))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(\delta_1(A \otimes B)))$$

Therefore, there exists $((\sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'''_1, (u_1, u'_1)), (\sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma'''_2, (u_2, u'_2))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A \otimes B))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1(t_1)) \rangle &\Downarrow \langle \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'''_1; (u_1, u'_1) \rangle \\ \langle \sigma_2; \gamma_2(\delta_2(t'_1)) \rangle &\Downarrow \langle \sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma'''_2; (u_2, u'_2) \rangle \\ ((\sigma''_1, u_1), (\sigma''_2, u_2)) &\in \psi(\gamma_1(A)) \\ ((\sigma'''_1, u'_1), (\sigma'''_2, u'_2)) &\in \psi(\gamma_1(B)) \end{aligned}$$

In particular, it means that

$$((\sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'''_1 \cdot \sigma'_1, (\delta'_1, u_1/a, u'_1/b)), (\sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma'''_2 \cdot \sigma'_2, (\delta'_2, u_2/a, u'_2/b))) \in \llbracket \gamma_1(\Delta', a : A, b : B) \rrbracket$$

Thus, by our second induction hypothesis

$$((\sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'''_1 \cdot \sigma'_1, [u_1/a, u'_1/b]\gamma_1(\delta'_1(t_2))), (\sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma'''_2 \cdot \sigma'_2, [u_2/a, u'_2/b]\gamma_2(\delta'_2(t'_2)))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(C))$$

We then have $((\sigma'''_1, v_1), (\sigma'''_2, v_2)) \in \psi(\gamma_1(C))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'''_1; \gamma_1(\delta'_1([u_1/a, u'_1/b]t_2)) \rangle &\Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_1; v_1 \rangle \\ \langle \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma'''_2; \gamma_2(\delta'_2([u_2/a, u'_2/b]t'_2)) \rangle &\Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_2; v_2 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Hence we can build the following derivations and conclude.

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1(t_1)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'''_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; (u_1, u'_1) \rangle \quad \langle \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'''_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; [u_1/a, u'_1/b]\gamma_1(\delta'_1(t_2)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_1; v_1 \rangle \\ \langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1(\delta'_1(\text{let } (a, b) = t_1 \text{ in } t_2))) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_1; v_1 \rangle \end{array}}{\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1(\delta'_1(\text{let } (a, b) = t_1 \text{ in } t_2))) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_1; v_1 \rangle}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2; \gamma_2(\delta_2(t'_1)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma'''_2 \cdot \sigma'_2; (u_2, u'_2) \rangle \quad \langle \sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma'''_2 \cdot \sigma'_2; [u_2/a, u'_2/b]\gamma_2(\delta'_2(t'_2)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_2; v_2 \rangle \\ \langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2; \gamma_2(\delta_2(\delta'_2(\text{let } (a, b) = t'_1 \text{ in } t'_2))) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_2; v_2 \rangle \end{array}}{\langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2; \gamma_2(\delta_2(\delta'_2(\text{let } (a, b) = t'_1 \text{ in } t'_2))) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_2; v_2 \rangle}$$

$$\Gamma; \Delta, x : A \vdash t \equiv t' : B$$

$$\bullet \text{ Case LFUNCONG: } \frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \lambda x : A. t \equiv \lambda x : A. t' : A \multimap B}{\Gamma; \Delta, x : A \vdash t \equiv t' : B}$$

Let $((\sigma'_1, u), (\sigma'_2, u')) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A))$ such that $\sigma'_1 \# \sigma_1$ and $\sigma'_2 \# \sigma_2$.

We can notice

$$((\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1, (\delta_1, u/x)), (\sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2, (\delta_2, u'/x))) \in \llbracket \gamma_1(\Delta, x : A) \rrbracket$$

Thus, by induction

$$((\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1, [u/x]\gamma_1(t)), (\sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2, [u'/x]\gamma_2(t'))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(B))$$

Which is what we need to conclude that

$$((\sigma_1, \lambda x. t), (\sigma_2, \lambda x. t')) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A \multimap B))$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 \equiv t'_1 : A \multimap B \quad \Gamma; \Delta' \vdash t_2 \equiv t'_2 : A}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash t_1 t_2 \equiv t'_1 t'_2 : B}$$

- **Case LAPPONG:** Our first induction hypothesis states

$$\forall ((\sigma, t), (\sigma', t')) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A)), \sigma \# \sigma_1 \Rightarrow \sigma' \# \sigma_2 \Rightarrow ((\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma, \gamma_1(\delta_1(t_1)) t)(\sigma_2 \cdot \sigma, \gamma_2(\delta_2(t_2)) t') \in \psi(\gamma_1(B))$$

Thus we can apply it to our second induction hypothesis

$$((\sigma'_1, \delta'_1(\gamma_1(t_2))), (\sigma'_2, \delta'_2(\gamma_2(t'_2)))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A))$$

to get the expected conclusion.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : X \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t \equiv t' : A[e/x]}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash F(e, t) \equiv F(e', t') : Fx : X. A}$$

- **Case LFICONG:** By induction, $(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e')) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$ and $((\sigma_1, \delta_1(\gamma_1(t))), (\sigma_2, \delta_2(\gamma_2(t')))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A[e/x]))$. These are exactly the hypothesis we need to conclude.

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 \equiv t'_1 : Fx : X. A \quad \Gamma, x : X; \Delta', a : A \vdash t_2 \equiv t'_2 : B}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{let } F(x, a) = t_1 \text{ in } t_2 \equiv \text{let } F(x, a) = t'_1 \text{ in } t'_2 : B}$$

- **Case LFECONG:** By our first induction hypothesis,

$$((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta_1(t_1))), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2(t'_1)))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(\delta_1(Fx : X. A)))$$

Therefore, there exists $((\sigma''_1, F(e_1, u_1)), (\sigma''_2, F(e_2, u_2))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(Fx : X. A))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1(t_1)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_1; F(e_1, u_1) \rangle \\ &\langle \sigma_2; \gamma_2(\delta_2(t'_1)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_2; F(e_2, u_2) \rangle \\ &(e_1, e_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X)) \\ &((\sigma''_1, [e_1/x]u_1)(\sigma''_2, [e_2/x]u_2)) \in \psi(\gamma_1([e_1/x]A)) \end{aligned}$$

In particular, it means that

$$((\gamma_1, e_1/x), (\gamma_2, e_2/x)) \in \llbracket \Gamma, x : X \rrbracket$$

and (recall that x is not a free variable of Δ' by $\Gamma \vdash \Delta'$ ok)

$$((\sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'_1, (\delta'_1, u_1/a)), (\sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma'_2, (\delta'_2, u_2/a))) \in \llbracket (\gamma_1, e_1/x)(\Delta', a : A) \rrbracket$$

Thus, by our second induction hypothesis

$$((\sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma''_1, \gamma_1(\delta'_1([e_1/x, u_1/a]t_2))), (\sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma''_2, \gamma_2(\delta'_2([e_2/x, u_2/a]t'_2)))) \in \psi(\gamma_1([e_1/x]B))$$

Now since $\Gamma \vdash B$ linear, $[e_1/x]B = B$.

We then have $((\sigma'''_1, v_1), (\sigma'''_2, v_2)) \in \psi(\gamma_1(B))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma''_1; \gamma_1(\delta'_1([e_1/x, u_1/a]t_2)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_1; v_1 \rangle \\ &\langle \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma''_2; \gamma_2(\delta'_2([e_2/x, u_2/a]t'_2)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_2; v_2 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

We can then build the following derivations and conclude.

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1(t_1)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_1; F(e_1, u_1) \rangle \quad \langle \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; [e_1/x, u_1/a]\gamma_1(\delta'_1(t_2)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_1; v_1 \rangle}{\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1(\text{let } F(x, a) = t_1 \text{ in } t_2)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_1; v_1 \rangle}}{\langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2; \gamma_2(\delta_2(t'_1)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_2; F(e_2, u_2) \rangle \quad \langle \sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma'_2; [e_2/x, u_2/a]\gamma_2(\delta'_2(t'_2)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_2; v_2 \rangle}}{\langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2; \gamma_2(\delta_2(\text{let } F(x, a) = t'_1 \text{ in } t'_2)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'''_2; v_2 \rangle}$$

$$\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : A$$

- **Case LVALCONG:** $\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{val } e \equiv \text{val } e' : T A}$

Let σ_f and σ_g be heaps such that $\sigma_f \# \sigma_1$ and $\sigma_g \# \sigma_2$.

By induction $((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta_1(e))), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2(e')))) \in \psi(T \gamma_1(A))$, so thanks to the linear evaluation frame property, there exists $((\sigma'_1, v_1), (\sigma'_2, v_2)) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A))$ such that

$$\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \gamma_1(\delta_1(e)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \text{val } v_1 \rangle$$

$$\langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \gamma_2(\delta_2(e')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \text{val } v_2 \rangle$$

Thus we have the following derivations

$$\frac{\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \gamma_1(\delta_1(e)) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \text{val } v_1 \rangle}{\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \gamma_1(\delta_1(e)) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \text{val } v_1 \rangle} \quad \frac{\langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \gamma_2(\delta_2(e')) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \text{val } v_2 \rangle}{\langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \gamma_2(\delta_2(e')) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \text{val } v_2 \rangle}$$

Thus we can conclude thanks to the definition of $\psi(\gamma_1(T A))$.

$$\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e_1 \equiv e'_1 : T A \quad \Gamma; \Delta', a : A \vdash e_2 \equiv e'_2 : T C$$

- **Case LLTCONG:** $\overline{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{let val } a = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \equiv \text{let val } a = e'_1 \text{ in } e'_2 : T C}$

Let σ_f and σ_g be heaps such that $\sigma_f \# \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1$ and $\sigma_g \# \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2$.

By induction $((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta_1(e_1))), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2(e'_1)))) \in \psi(T \gamma_1(A))$, so there exists $((\sigma''_1, v_1), (\sigma''_2, v_2)) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A))$ such that

$$\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \gamma_1(\delta_1(e_1)) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \text{val } v_1 \rangle$$

$$\langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \gamma_2(\delta_2(e'_1)) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \text{val } v_2 \rangle$$

Thus, we have

$$((\sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma''_1, (\delta'_1, v_1/a)), (\sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma''_2, (\delta'_2, v_2/a))) \in \llbracket \gamma_1(\Delta', a : A) \rrbracket$$

So by induction

$$((\sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma''_1, \gamma_1(\delta'_1([v_1/a]e_2))), (\sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma''_2, \gamma_2(\delta'_2([v_2/a]e'_2)))) \in \psi(T(\gamma_1(C)))$$

Therefore, there is $((\sigma'''_1, w_1), (\sigma'''_2, w_2)) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A))$ such that

$$\langle \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \gamma_1(\delta_1([v_1/a]e_2)) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'''_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \text{val } w_1 \rangle$$

$$\langle \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \gamma_2(\delta_2([v_2/a]e'_2)) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'''_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \text{val } w_2 \rangle$$

Thus we have the following derivations

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \gamma_1(\delta_1(e_1)) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \text{val } v_1 \rangle \\ \langle \sigma''_1 \cdot \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma_f; [v_1/x]\gamma_1(\delta_1(e_2)) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'''_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \text{val } w_1 \rangle \end{array}}{\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \gamma_1(\text{let val } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'''_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \text{val } w_1 \rangle}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \gamma_2(\delta_2(e'_1)) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \text{val } v_2 \rangle \\ \langle \sigma''_2 \cdot \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma_g; [v_2/x]\gamma_2(\delta_2(e'_2)) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'''_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \text{val } w_2 \rangle \end{array}}{\langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \gamma_2(\text{let val } x = e'_1 \text{ in } e'_2) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma'''_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \text{val } w_2 \rangle}$$

Thus we can conclude thanks to the definition of $\psi(\gamma_1(T C))$.

$$\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : X$$

- **Case LNEWCONG:** $\overline{\Gamma; \cdot \vdash \text{new}_X e \equiv \text{new}_X e' : T(Fx : \text{Loc. } [x \mapsto X])}$

By induction, $(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_1(e')) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$.

Thus we have $(v_1, v_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$ such that

$$\gamma_1(e) \Downarrow v_1 \wedge \gamma_2(e) \Downarrow v_2$$

Let σ_f and σ_g be heaps.

There exists some location $l \notin \text{dom}(\sigma_f) \cup \text{dom}(\sigma_g)$. Hence we have the following

$$\frac{\gamma_1(e) \Downarrow v_1 \quad l \notin \text{dom}(\sigma_f)}{\langle \sigma_f; \text{new}_X \gamma_1(e) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma_f, l : v_1; \text{val } F(l, *) \rangle}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_2(e) \Downarrow v_2 \quad l \notin \text{dom}(\sigma_g)}{\langle \sigma_g; \text{new}_X \gamma_2(e) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma_g, l : v_2; \text{val } F(l, *) \rangle}$$

It is easy to check that $(([l : v_1], F(l, *)), ([l : v_2], F(l, *))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(Fx : \text{Loc. } [x \mapsto X]))$ with $(v_1, v_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$ and conclude.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : \text{Loc} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t \equiv t' : [e \mapsto e_0]}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{free}(e, t) \equiv \text{free}(e', t') : \text{TI}}$$

- **Case LFREECONG:** Let σ_f and σ_g be heap such that $\sigma_1 \# \sigma_f$ and $\sigma_2 \# \sigma_g$.

By our first induction hypothesis $(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e')) \in \psi(\text{Loc})$, so there is some $l \in \text{Loc}$ such that

$$\gamma_1(e) \Downarrow l \wedge \gamma_2(e') \Downarrow l$$

By our second induction hypothesis $((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta_1(t))), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2(t')))) \in \psi(\gamma_1([e \mapsto X]))$, we have values $(v_1, v_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$ such that

$$\langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1(t)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle [l : v_1]; * \rangle \langle \sigma_2; \gamma_2(\delta_2(t')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle [l : v_2]; * \rangle$$

Then we can build the following derivations

$$\frac{\gamma_1(e) \Downarrow l \quad \langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \gamma_1(\delta_1(t')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma_f \cdot l : v_1; * \rangle}{\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \gamma_1(\text{free}(e, \delta_1(t))) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma_f; () \rangle}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_2(e) \Downarrow l \quad \langle \sigma_g \cdot \sigma_2; \gamma_2(\delta_2(t')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma_g, l : v_2; * \rangle}{\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_g; \gamma_2(\text{free}(e, \delta_2(t))) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma_g; () \rangle}$$

Notice that $((\epsilon,()), (\epsilon,())) \in \psi(l)$ and conclude.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv e' : \text{Loc} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 \equiv t'_1 : [e \mapsto X] \quad \Gamma, x : X; \Delta', a : [e \mapsto X] \vdash t_2 \equiv t'_2 : C}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{let } (x, a) = \text{get}(e, t_1) \text{ in } t_2 \equiv \text{let } (x, a) = \text{get}(e', t'_1) \text{ in } t'_2 : C}$$

- **Case LGETCONG:** By our first induction hypothesis $(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e')) \in \psi(\text{Loc})$, so there is some $l \in \text{Loc}$ such that

$$\gamma_1(e) \Downarrow l \wedge \gamma_2(e') \Downarrow l$$

By our second induction hypothesis $((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta_1(t_1))), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2(t'_1)))) \in \psi(\gamma_1([e \mapsto X]))$, we have values $(v_1, v_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$ such that

$$\langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1(t_1)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle [l : v_1]; * \rangle \langle \sigma_2; \gamma_2(\delta_2(t'_1)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle [l : v_2]; * \rangle$$

Now we can notice that

$$((\gamma_1, v_1/x), (\gamma_2, v_2/x)) \in \llbracket \Gamma, x : X \rrbracket$$

Let us denote that new substitution γ' . We also have

$$((\sigma'_1 \cdot [l : v_1], (\delta'_1, */*)), (\sigma'_2 \cdot [l : v_2], (\delta'_2, */*))) \in \llbracket \gamma'_1(\Delta', a : [e \mapsto X]) \rrbracket$$

Let us denote the substitution δ'' .

By our third induction hypothesis $(\gamma'_1(\delta''_1(t_2)), \gamma'_2(\delta''_2(t'_2))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(C))$, we have $((\sigma''_1, u_1), (\sigma''_2, u_2)) \in \psi(\gamma'_1(C))$ such that

$$\langle \sigma'_1, l : v_1; \gamma'_1(\delta''_1(t_2)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_1; u_1 \rangle$$

$$\langle \sigma'_2, l : v_2; \gamma'_2(\delta'_2(t'_2)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_2; u_2 \rangle$$

Then we can build the following derivations

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \gamma_1(e) \Downarrow l \quad \langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1(t_1)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1, l : v; * \rangle \quad \langle \sigma'_1, l : v; [v/x, */a] \gamma_1(\delta_1(t_2)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_1; u_1 \rangle \\ \langle \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma_1; \gamma_1(\text{let } (x, a) = \text{get}(e, \delta_1(t_1)) \text{ in } \delta_1(t_2)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_1; u_1 \rangle \end{array}}{\langle \sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma_1; \gamma_1(\text{let } (x, a) = \text{get}(e, \delta_1(t_1)) \text{ in } \delta_1(t_2)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_1; u_1 \rangle}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \gamma_2(e') \Downarrow l \quad \langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2; \gamma_2(\delta_2(t'_1)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_2, l : v; * \rangle \quad \langle \sigma'_2, l : v; [v/x, */a] \gamma_2(\delta_2(t'_2)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_2; u_2 \rangle \\ \langle \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma_2; \gamma_2(\text{let } (x, a) = \text{get}(e', \delta_2(t'_1)) \text{ in } \delta_2(t'_2)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_2; u_2 \rangle \end{array}}{\langle \sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma_2; \gamma_2(\text{let } (x, a) = \text{get}(e', \delta_2(t'_1)) \text{ in } \delta_2(t'_2)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma''_2; u_2 \rangle}$$

And conclude.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \equiv e'_1 : \text{Loc} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 \equiv t'_1 : [e \mapsto X] \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 \equiv e'_2 : Y}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e_1 :=_t e_2 \equiv e'_1 :=_{t'} e'_2 : T([e \mapsto Y])}$$

- **Case LASSIGNCONG:**

Let σ_f and σ_g be heaps such that $\sigma_f \# \sigma_1$ and $\sigma_g \# \sigma_2$

By our first induction hypothesis $(\gamma_1(e_1), \gamma_2(e'_1)) \in \psi(\text{Loc})$, so there is some $l \in \text{Loc}$ such that

$$\gamma_1(e_1) \Downarrow l \wedge \gamma_2(e'_1) \Downarrow l$$

By our second induction hypothesis $((\sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta_1(t_1))), (\sigma_2, \gamma_2(\delta_2(t'_1)))) \in \psi(\gamma_1([e \mapsto X]))$, we have values $(v_1, v_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$ such that

$$\langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1(t_1)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle [l : v_1]; * \rangle \langle \sigma_2; \gamma_2(\delta_2(t'_1)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle [l : v_2]; * \rangle$$

And our third hypothesis $(\gamma_1(e_2), \gamma_2(e'_2)) \in \phi(\gamma_1(Y))$, we have $(u_1, u_2) \in \phi(\gamma_1(Y))$ such that $\gamma_1(e_2) \Downarrow u_1 \wedge \gamma_2(e'_2) \Downarrow u_2$

Then we can build the following derivations

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \gamma_1(e_1) \Downarrow l \quad \gamma_1(e_2) \Downarrow u_1 \quad \langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \gamma_1(\delta_1(t_1)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma_f, l : v_1; * \rangle \\ \langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \gamma_1(e_1 :=_{\delta_1(t_1)} e_2) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma_f, l : u_1; * \rangle \end{array}}{\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_f; \gamma_1(e_1 :=_{\delta_1(t_1)} e_2) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma_f, l : u_1; * \rangle}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \gamma_2(e'_1) \Downarrow l \quad \gamma_2(e'_2) \Downarrow u_1 \quad \langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \gamma_2(\delta_2(t'_1)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma_g, l : v_2; * \rangle \\ \langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \gamma_2(e'_1 :=_{\delta_2(t'_1)} e'_2) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma_g, l : u_2; * \rangle \end{array}}{\langle \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma_g; \gamma_2(e'_1 :=_{\delta_2(t'_1)} e'_2) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \sigma_g, l : u_2; * \rangle}$$

And conclude.

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : X; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : Y \quad x \notin \text{FV}(e, e')}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : \forall x : X. Y}$$

- **Case :**

Let $\gamma \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$. Then, for every $(t, t') \in \phi(\gamma_1(X))$, $((\gamma_1, t/x), (\gamma_2, t'/x)) \in \llbracket \Gamma, x : X \rrbracket$, thus we get the expected result thanks to the induction hypothesis.

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : [e''/x]Y}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : \exists x : X. Y}$$

- **Case :** $\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : \exists x : X. Y$

We get the expected result directly from the induction hypothesis.

- **Case LIRREQ:** $\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \equiv e' : [A]$

By induction, $((\sigma_1, \delta_1(\gamma_1(e))), (\sigma_1, \delta_1(\gamma_1(e)))) \in \psi(\gamma_1([A]))$ $((\sigma_2, \delta_2(\gamma_2(e'))), (\sigma_2, \delta_2(\gamma_2(e')))) \in \psi(\gamma_1([A]))$ So we have $((\sigma'_1, *), (\sigma'_2, *)) \in \psi(\gamma_1([A]))$ such that

$$\langle \sigma_1; \gamma_1(\delta_1(e)) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_1; * \rangle \wedge \langle \sigma_2; \gamma_2(\delta_2(e')) \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'_2; * \rangle$$

Thus there exists a such that $((\sigma'_1, a)(\sigma'_1, a)) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A))$.

9. If $\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \div A$ then there exists (t, t') such that for all $\gamma \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$, for all $((\sigma_1, \delta_1), (\sigma_2, \delta_2)) \in \llbracket \gamma_1(\Delta) \rrbracket$, $((\sigma_1, \delta_1(\gamma_1(t))), (\sigma_2, \delta_2(\gamma_2(t')))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A))$.

We case analyze the derivation of $\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \div A$:

$$\bullet \text{ Case : } \frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : A}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \div A}$$

The induction hypothesis tells us that (e, e) gives us the result.

$$\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \div A \quad \Gamma; \Delta', x : A \vdash e' \div C$$

$$\bullet \text{ Case : } \frac{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{let } [x] = e \text{ in } e' \div C}{\psi(\gamma_1(A))}$$

By our first induction hypothesis, there exists (t_1, t_2) such that for every $\gamma, \delta, \sigma, ((\sigma_1, \delta_1(\gamma_1(t_1))), (\sigma_2, \delta_2(\gamma_2(t_2)))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(A))$.

Thus we have So, by our second induction hypothesis, there exists (t'_1, t'_2) such that

$$((\sigma'_1 \cdot \sigma_1, \gamma_1(\delta'_1([\delta_1(t_1)/x]t'_1))), (\sigma'_2 \cdot \sigma_2, \delta'_2(\gamma_2([\delta_2(t_2)/x]t'_2)))) \in \psi(\gamma_1(C))$$

for every $((\sigma'_1, \delta'_1), (\sigma'_2, \delta'_2)) \in \llbracket \Delta' \rrbracket$

$$((\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma'_1, \delta'_1, a_1/x), (\sigma_2 \cdot \sigma'_2, \delta'_2, a_2/x)) \in \llbracket \Delta', x : A \rrbracket$$

Thus, $([t_1/x]t'_1, [t_2/x]t'_2)$ fullfills our desiterata.

□

References

- [1] Amal Ahmed, Matthew Fluet, and Greg Morrisett. L^ 3: A linear language with locations. *Fundamenta Informaticae*, 77(4):397–449, 2007.
- [2] Alois Brunel, Marco Gaboardi, Damiano Mazza, and Steve Zdancewic. A core quantitative coeffect calculus. In *European Symposium on Programming*, 2014.
- [3] Dan R Ghica and Alex Smith. Bounded linear types in a resource semiring. In *European Symposium on Programming*, 2014.
- [4] Robert Harper. Constructing type systems over an operational semantics. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 14(1):71–84, 1992.