The Alan Turing Institute

databri-X – datathon a brief history of governance

Jon Crowcroft, 27.5.25

Localisation: physical v. legal

- Databox/hat/personal data stores
 - Databox principles (human data interaction) at Aarhus 2015
 - Lots of examples (Solid, bluesky PDS, Estonian digital citizen etc etc)
- Data lakes and data trusts
 - Alternatively, legislate/jurisdictional limits (not just GDPR)
 - Enforcement depends, and tends to be reactive where PDS proactive

Trustworthiness

Key management

Who creates & stores keys

Exfiltration detection and redress

• Spotting bad actors can be hard – maybe inference

redress?

• Ostracism was a thing in medieval society®

Usability

Federation v. Decentralisation

FL is not decentralised

Coordination

But FL or DL both need coordination which is hard.

Flower et al

FL platforms need to somewhat decentralise for scale anyhow ©

Towards Governance 2.0

Whereby public infrastructure

Somehow, the Internet exists – why did that work?

Wherefore profit/non-profit

They didn't just fence and steal the commons. They stole your personal data...

Sustainability

Retaking and Retaining decentralised ownership is going to be hard ©

Governance 2.0 Challenges

Asymmetric Power

Hyperscalers are larger than countries/regulators; capture is almost total

- Asymmetric Expertise
- Without the expertise how is the lay person supposed to even understand..
- Asymmetry of Motive

As the Ais increase in agency, even their owners/keepers will cease to comprehend ©

Governance 2.0 is a Wicked Problem

Unpredictable growth rates

Bluesky AT Protocol use, for example

- Adversaries
- at state actor scale..
- Political
- e.g. just look at Open Wallet Foundation & Global Digital Collaboration

Questions?