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Machine Learning

- Machine learning is everywhere
- We operate based on data, not formal rules
- There’s a lot of non-determinism
- It is suddenly hard to define Security

https://xkcd.com/1838/
Computer Security in context of Machine Learning

- Adversarial examples exist for all models
- A large taxonomy of attackers
- Work in White / Grey / Black-box settings
- Attacks are scalable because of transferability
Machine Learning in context of Computer Security

- ML is a part of a larger pipeline
- As secure as the weakest component
- Clear threat model
- Safety and Security policies and cases
- Existence of trusted components
- Well defined environment
Machine Learning in context of Computer Security

system, hazard, risk, error, failure, threat, accident, safety case, security policy, trust, reliability, subject, person, principal, secrecy, privacy, confidentiality, anonymity, integrity, availability, authenticity, uncertainty, and safety
Machine Learning in context of Computer Security

system, hazard, risk, error, failure, threat, accident, safety case, security policy, trust, reliability, subject, person, principal, secrecy, privacy, confidentiality, anonymity, integrity, availability, authenticity, uncertainty, and safety

Safety looks at average case, Security considers worst case

What is a worst case for an ML component?
Availability

Ensuring timely and reliable access to and use of information. (NIST Special Publication 800-12)
Energy Gap

The amount of energy consumed by one inference pass (i.e. a forward pass in a neural network) depends primarily on:

- The overall **number of arithmetic operations** required to process the inputs;
- The **number of memory accesses** e.g. to the GPU DRAM.
Hypothesis 1: Data Sparsity

Optimisations exploit runtime **data sparsity** to increase efficiency.

- Zero-skipping multiplications;
- Encoding DRAM traffic to reduce the off-chip bandwidth requirement.
Hypothesis 2: Computation Dimensions

Modern networks have a computational dimension

- A large number of NLP models are auto-regressive e.g. RNNs and GPT2
- Adaptive input dimensions to help performance e.g. GPT2 uses Byte Pair Encoding
- ML components are a part of loop
Hypothesis 2: Computation Dimensions for GPT2

Auto-regressiveness adds an unbounded loop

Encoding adds variable I/O representation

Algorithm 1: Translation Transformer NLP pipeline

Result: y

1 \( \downarrow O(l_{\text{tin}}) \)
2 \( x_{\text{tin}} = \text{Tokenize}(x) \);
3 \( y_{\text{touts}} = \emptyset \);
4 \( \downarrow O(l_{\text{ein}}) \)
5 \( x_{\text{ein}} = \text{Encode}(x_{\text{tin}}) \);
6 \( \downarrow O(l_{\text{tin}} \times l_{\text{ein}} \times l_{\text{tout}} \times l_{\text{eout}}) \)
7 \text{while } y_{\text{tout}} \text{ has no end of sentence token do}
8 \( \downarrow O(l_{\text{eout}}) \)
9 \( y_{\text{eout}} = \text{Encode}(y_{\text{tout}}) \);
10 \( \downarrow O(l_{\text{ein}} \times l_{\text{eout}}) \)
11 \( y_{\text{eout}} = \text{model.Inference}(x_{\text{ein}}, y_{\text{eout}}, y_{\text{touts}}) \);
12 \( \downarrow O(l_{\text{eout}}) \);
13 \( y_{\text{tout}} = \text{Decode}(y_{\text{eout}}) \);
14 \( y_{\text{touts}}.\text{add}(y_{\text{tout}}) \);
15 \text{end}
16 \( \downarrow O(l_{\text{tout}}) \);
17 \( y = \text{Detokenize}(y_{\text{touts}}) \)

Benign with 4 tokens for input of size 16:
Athazagoraphobia => ath, az, agor, aphobia

1 error with 7 tokens for input of size 16:
Athazagoraphpbia => ath, az, agor, aph, p, bi, a

Malicious with 16 tokens for input of size 16:
A/h/z/g/r/p/p/i/ => A, /, h, /, z, /, g, /, r, /, p, /, p, /, i, /
Example of Computation Dimensions in Cognitive Radar

Block diagram of cognitive radar viewed as a dynamic closed-loop feedback system from *Cognitive radar: a way of the future*, Simon Haykin (2006)
Multiple ways to search for Sponge examples

- **White-box** gradient-based — \[ \sum_{a_i \in A} \|a_i\|_2 \] i.e. large activation norms across all hidden layers

- **Interactive White-box, Grey-box** and **Black-box** genetic algorithm-based
  - Perform inference on a sample
  - Measure energy consumed or inference time
  - Combine worst performing samples
  - Mutate
  - Repeat

- **Blind Black-box** attack genetic algorithm-based
  - Pick model solving similar task or using similar dictionary
  - Perform transferability attack
White-box attack performance with NLP benchmarks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input size</th>
<th>NVML$_{gpu}$</th>
<th>Natural$_{asic}$</th>
<th>Random$_{asic}$</th>
<th>Sponge Mean$_{asic}$</th>
<th>Sponge Top 10%$_{asic}$</th>
<th>Energy$_{gpu}$</th>
<th>Time$_{gpu}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>5829.32</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>69.72</td>
<td>83.92</td>
<td>87.11</td>
<td>×20.25</td>
<td>×1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>9388.40</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>138.07</td>
<td>164.07</td>
<td>169.91</td>
<td>×39.51</td>
<td>×1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>22698.87</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>452.49</td>
<td>518.19</td>
<td>530.80</td>
<td>×123.42</td>
<td>×3.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CoLA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>6126.65</td>
<td>12.88</td>
<td>73.47</td>
<td>86.97</td>
<td>89.96</td>
<td>×6.98</td>
<td>×1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>9631.68</td>
<td>17.66</td>
<td>142.63</td>
<td>168.96</td>
<td>174.34</td>
<td>×9.87</td>
<td>×2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>22952.14</td>
<td>34.47</td>
<td>456.11</td>
<td>518.89</td>
<td>531.40</td>
<td>×15.42</td>
<td>×3.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MNLI</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>27876.53</td>
<td>14.48</td>
<td>523.28</td>
<td>1300.19</td>
<td>2152.67</td>
<td>×148.62</td>
<td>×9.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>82822.58</td>
<td>34.94</td>
<td>1882.63</td>
<td>3927.63</td>
<td>5348.06</td>
<td>×153.08</td>
<td>×19.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>662811.96</td>
<td>194.89</td>
<td>16754.13</td>
<td>25367.30</td>
<td>30692.95</td>
<td>×157.49</td>
<td>×69.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WSC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>59597.32</td>
<td>31.87</td>
<td>109.80</td>
<td>118.47</td>
<td>141.27</td>
<td>×4.43</td>
<td>×4.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>93731.34</td>
<td>48.54</td>
<td>166.13</td>
<td>249.89</td>
<td>569.85</td>
<td>×11.74</td>
<td>×13.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>En→Fr</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>18133.66</td>
<td>18.19</td>
<td>35.80</td>
<td>242.39</td>
<td>542.35</td>
<td>×29.82</td>
<td>×32.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Energy is reported in millijoules. GA was ran for 100 epochs with a pool size of 100.
White-box attack performance for CV tasks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Time_gpu [s]</th>
<th>Cost_asic [mJ]</th>
<th>Cost_asic ratio</th>
<th>post-ReLU Density</th>
<th>Density</th>
<th>Max Density</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ResNet-50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-BFGS-B Sponge</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>164.727</td>
<td>0.863</td>
<td>0.619</td>
<td>0.885</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponge</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>160.887</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>0.562</td>
<td>0.868</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>160.562</td>
<td>0.842</td>
<td>0.572</td>
<td>0.867</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>155.820</td>
<td>0.817</td>
<td>0.483</td>
<td>0.845</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DenseNet-121</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-BFGS-B Sponge</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>152.595</td>
<td>0.783</td>
<td>0.571</td>
<td>0.826</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponge</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>149.564</td>
<td>0.767</td>
<td>0.540</td>
<td>0.814</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>147.227</td>
<td>0.755</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>0.804</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>144.365</td>
<td>0.741</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td>0.792</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MobileNet v2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-BFGS-B Sponge</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>87.511</td>
<td>0.844</td>
<td>0.692</td>
<td>0.890</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponge</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>84.513</td>
<td>0.815</td>
<td>0.645</td>
<td>0.868</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>85.075</td>
<td>0.821</td>
<td>0.646</td>
<td>0.873</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>80.805</td>
<td>0.779</td>
<td>0.567</td>
<td>0.844</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Energy is reported in millijoules. GA was ran for 100 epochs with a pool size of 100.
Interactive Black-box attack performance against WMT16 En→Fr benchmark

Figure 1: Performance of Sponge Examples based on the Energy, Time and Simulator fitness costs.
Towards defences again Sponge Examples

- Lesson from Computer security: **optimisations increase attack surface**
  - Side channel attacks
  - Denial-of-service attacks

- Optimisations **widen** average to worst case **time-energy gap**

- Not clear how to keep performance and security
  - Still have not solved Spectre & Meltdown
  - Constant time computation solves security issues, but things get too slow

- **Potential simple defense:**
  - Kill inference when more than average amount of time or energy is consumed
  - Will cause a lot of false positives and make jamming easy. Can we do better?

- **Real-time systems** in presence of Sponges
  - Can Tesla collision avoidance system afford to not make a decision?
  - What should be the maximum energy gap for RT?
Conclusions

- It is possible to attack model **availability** in both White and Black-box settings.

- Attack can target **hardware optimisations**
  - For some CV tasks we fully negated benefits from acceleration.

- Attacks can target **algorithmic complexity**
  - For some NLP tasks we managed to get up to $x_{200}$ energy consumption and $x_{70}$ time.

- Average case is very different from worst case scenario.

- **Impact** of ML on climate change might have been **underestimated**.

- It is **not clear how to defend** systems against Sponge examples.

- **Real-time systems** with ML components **should model availability** adversary.
Thank you very much for listening!

Please do not hesitate to reach out in case there are any questions at  
ilia.shumailov@cl.cam.ac.uk