Ligra: A lightweight Graph Processing Framework for Shared Memory

Authored by Julian Shun and Guy E. Blelloch

Presented by: Ruquaiya Shuaaibu (rs2377)

Motivation/Background

Massive graphs in social, web, and biological networks

Most frameworks (Pregel, GraphLab, Pegasus, etc.) target distributed clusters

But modern multicore servers can hold terabytes of RAM \rightarrow billions of edges fit in memory

Can shared-memory systems rival distributed frameworks for large-scale graph processing?

Research Questions

How can graph algorithms be parallelized efficiently on shared memory?

Can a lightweight abstraction simplify graph programming?

Can such a framework achieve performance comparable to large distributed systems?

Shared vs Distributed

Aspect	Shared Memory (Ligra)	Distributed (Pregel, Grap, etc)
Communication	In-memory (fast)	Network (slow)
Complexity	Simple, no partitioning	Complex message passing
Performance per core	Higher	Lower
Scalability	Limited by RAM	Scales across nodes
Sync Method	Atomic (CAS)	Bulk sync/message passing

Ligra: Core Idea

Two key primitives:

VERTEXMAP: Apply a function over active vertices

EDGEMAP: Apply a function over edges from active vertices

Framework automatically switches between:

Sparse mode (few active vertices)

Dense mode (many active vertices)

Inspired by hybrid BFS optimisation

Simplified example

Start with root vertex

Use EDGEMAP to expand to neighbors

Uses atomic compare-and-swap (CAS) to mark visited vertices

Framework handles parallelism automatically

Algorithms Supported

Breadth-First Search (BFS)

PageRank

Betweenness Centrality

Graph Connectivity

Radii Estimation

Bellman-Ford Shortest Paths

Results

Setup: 40-core Intel Xeon (256 GB RAM)

Graphs up to 12.9 billion edges

Highlights:

20-35× speedup vs single-thread baseline

Per-core performance > distributed frameworks (Pregel, GraphLab, etc.)

Often faster overall despite fewer cores

Very concise code (BFS in ~15 lines)

Main Contributions

Lightweight framework with two simple primitives (VERTEXMAP, EDGEMAP)

Adaptive hybrid traversal (sparse ↔ dense)

Efficient, simple implementations of core graph algorithms

Demonstrates that shared-memory systems can rival or outperform distributed systems per core

Opinions/critiques

Data Scale Has Outgrown Single Machines

Lacks Fault Tolerance & Elasticity

Static Graph Assumption

Still Influential & Efficient