Resilient distributed datasets

Łukasz Dudziak

Motivation

Computational frameworks were inefficient when handling iterative algorithms. Two main problems were identified by the authors (all referred frameworks had at least one):

- Bad support for applications which would like to reuse intermediate results
 - Either no mechanism for efficient reuse at all (*i.e.* only by using external storage),
 - Or possible only for specific computation patterns (*e.g.* support only for iterative MapReduce)
- Very costly fault tolerance due to fine-grained nature of a framework

Proposed solution

- Inefficient data reuse → provide user with option to specify which data should be cached in memory + later schedule tasks taking data-locality into consideration

 Resilient distributed dataset (RDD) is an abstraction designed to implement both

Proposed solution

- RDDs:
 - Are immutable
 - Can be created from fault-tolerant data storage (*e.g.* HDFS) or by applying coarse-grained transformation to another RDD
 - Store list of their dependencies (other RDDs) and data partitioning information
 - Dependencies can be either wide or narrow
 - Can be used to recover data in case of node failure
 - Can be viewed as a DAG where each node is an intermediate result and edges represent transformations
 - Are executed lazily
 - Are lightweight

Proposed solution

Example of PageRank code written in spark and resulting DAG

val links = spark.textFile(...).map(...).persist()
var ranks = // RDD of (URL, rank) pairs
for (i <- 1 to ITERATIONS) {
 // Build an RDD of (targetURL, float) pairs
 // with the contributions sent by each page
 val contribs = links.join(ranks).flatMap {
 (url, (links, rank)) =>
 links.map(dest => (dest, rank/links.size))
 }
 // Sum contributions by URL and get new ranks
 ranks = contribs.reduceByKey((x,y) => x+y)
 .mapValues(sum => a/N + (1-a)*sum)



Figure 3: Lineage graph for datasets in PageRank.

Evaluation

 The authors have shown that their system achieves significant speedup comparing to Hadoop when running iterative algorithms
 The goal seems to be achieved

 It has also been shown that RDD abstraction is generic enough to express many programming models

- So the criticism of existing frameworks has been addressed as well
- It has been shown that system based on RDDs can relatively quickly recover in case of node failure
 - Seems good too

However...

- When it comes to the recovery and fault-tolerance it is not clear if RDDs really have met all requirements
- Although it has been shown that they are sufficient, efficiency of the recovery depends on the actual DAG structure
 - Section 6.3 does not provide any information whether presented recovery time is average/best/worst case
 - Recovery from RDD can be fast but it's not guaranteed
 - Authors have admitted that checkpointing can still be desired in cases when recovery solely from RDD's lineage may be expensive
 - On the other hand, it may be enough to checkpoint only specific RDDs so still better than saving global state of whole system

• In general: for me fault-tolerance could have been described more in-detail since many things are not obvious

The End

Thank you for attention.