

# Complexity Theory

## Supervision 3

### 6. NP, co-NP, and UP

1. It is often claimed that a proof of the proposition  $P = NP$  would have drastic consequences: it would let us solve difficult optimisation problems efficiently, but would also break security and e-commerce by making public-key cryptography impossible. What objections could be made against such a claim?
2. The complexity class NP is closed under which of the following set-theoretic operations: intersection, union, complement? Briefly justify your answers.
3. Prove or disprove the following claims, or show that it is an open problem:
  - a) If  $L, K \in \text{co-NP}$  then  $L \cup K \in \text{co-NP}$ .
  - b) If  $L \in \text{NP}$ ,  $K \subset L$  and  $K \in \text{co-NP}$  then  $L \setminus K \in \text{NP}$ .
  - c) If  $L$  is NP-complete, then  $D = \{xx \mid x \in L\}$  is NP-complete.
4. Show that a language  $L$  is in co-NP if, and only if, there is a nondeterministic Turing machine  $M$  and a polynomial  $p$  such that  $M$  halts in time  $p(n)$  for all inputs  $x$  of length  $n$ , and  $L$  is exactly the set of strings  $x$  such that *all* computations of  $M$  on input  $x$  end in an accepting state.
5. Define a *strong* nondeterministic Turing machine as one where each computation has three possible outcomes: accept, reject or maybe. If  $M$  is such a machine, we say that it accepts  $L$ , if for every  $x \in L$ , every computation path of  $M$  on  $x$  ends in either accept or maybe, with at least one accept, *and* for  $x \notin L$ , every computation path of  $M$  on  $x$  ends in reject or maybe, with at least one reject.  
  
Show that if  $L$  is decided by a strong nondeterministic Turing machine running in polynomial time, then  $L \in \text{NP} \cap \text{co-NP}$ .
6. We saw in the lectures that if there is a one-way function, then there is a language  $L$  in UP that is not in P. Suppose that the RSA function described in the lecture notes (page 38) is a one-way function. What is the language  $L$  that can then be proved to be in  $\text{UP} \setminus \text{P}$ ?

### 7. Space complexity

1. Show that, for every nondeterministic machine  $M$  which uses  $O(\log n)$  work space, there is a machine  $R$  with three tapes (input, work and output) which works as follows: on input  $x$ ,  $R$  produces on its output tape a description of the configuration graph for  $M$ ,  $x$ , and  $R$  uses  $O(\log |x|)$  space on its work tape.  
  
Explain why this means that if Reachability is in L, then  $L = \text{NL}$ .
2. Consider the language  $L$  in the alphabet  $\{a, b\}$  given by  $L = \{a^n b^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . The language  $L$  is *not* in  $\text{SPACE}(c)$  for any constant  $c$ . Why?

3. Consider the algorithm presented in the lecture which establishes that Reachability is in  $\text{SPACE}((\log n)^2)$ . What is the time complexity of this algorithm?

Can you generalise the time bound to the entire complexity class? That is, give a class of functions  $F$  such that

$$\text{SPACE}((\log n)^2) \subseteq \bigcup_{f \in F} \text{TIME}(f)$$

## 8. Hierarchy

1. On [page 42](#) of the notes, a number of functions are listed as being constructible. Show that this is the case by giving, for each one, a description of an appropriate Turing machine. Instead of  $\lceil \log n \rceil$ , you may find it easier to try  $n \cdot \lceil \log n \rceil$ .

Prove that if  $f$  and  $g$  are constructible functions and  $f(n) \geq n$ , then so are  $f \circ g$ ,  $f + g$ ,  $f \times g$  and  $2^f$ .

2. For any constructible function  $f$ , and any language  $L \in \text{NTIME}(f(n))$ , there is a nondeterministic machine  $M$  that accepts  $L$  and *all* of whose computations terminate in time  $O(f(n))$  for all inputs of length  $n$ . Give a detailed argument for this statement, describing how  $M$  might be obtained from a machine accepting  $L$  in time  $f(n)$ .

## Optional exercises

1. POLYLOGSPACE is the complexity class

$$\bigcup_k \text{SPACE}((\log n)^k).$$

- Show that, for any  $k$ , if  $A \in \text{SPACE}((\log n)^k)$  and  $B \leq_L A$ , then  $B \in \text{SPACE}((\log n)^k)$ .
  - Show that there are no POLYLOGSPACE-complete problems with respect to  $\leq_L$ . (/Hint/: use a) and the Space Hierarchy Theorem).
  - Which of the following might be true:  $P \subseteq \text{POLYLOGSPACE}$ ,  $P \supseteq \text{POLYLOGSPACE}$ ,  $P = \text{POLYLOGSPACE}$ ?
  - What is the relationship between the class POLYLOGSPACE and the class Quasi-P (see Exercise Sheet 1, Question 3.1)?
2. In the lecture, a proof of the Time Hierarchy Theorem was sketched. Give a similar argument for the following Space Hierarchy Theorem:

*For every constructible function  $f$ , there is a language in  $\text{SPACE}(f(n) \times \log(f(n)))$  that is not in  $\text{SPACE}(f(n))$ .*

Use this to show that if  $\text{SPACE}((\log n)^2) \subseteq P$ , then  $L \neq P$ .