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1 General Guidelines

The task is to annotate each compound noun N1 N2 with regard to the semantic relation that holds between the constituent nouns N1 and N2. It is assumed that compounds are copulative or semantically right-headed.

Rule 1.1 The general annotation format is <relation,direction,rule>.

relation is one of the 10 relation labels defined in section 2. direction specifies the order of the constituent nouns in the chosen relation’s argument structure – in particular, direction will have the value 1 if the first noun in the compound (N1) fits in the first noun slot mentioned in the rule licensing the chosen relation, and will have value 2 if the second noun in the compound (N2) fits in the rule’s first noun slot. rule is the number of the rule licensing the relation. For example:

429759: water fern
IN,2.1.3.1
This aquatic water fern bes a rosette plant which haves dense , fibrous roots

147862: enemy provocation
ACTOR,1.2.1.4.1
The army sayed at the weekend that troops haveed reacted to enemy provocations and interveneed to protect local citizens
In the case of **water fern** the IN relation is licensed by Rule 2.1.3.1 *N1/N2 is an object spatially located in or near N2/N1*. Mapping the compound’s constituent nouns onto the rule definition, we see that the first slot (*N1/N2 is . . .*) is filled by N2 **fern** and hence the DIRECTION is 2. For the categories BE, REL, LEX, MISTAG and NONCOMPOUND there is no salient sense of directionality, so it need not be annotated:

120214: cedar tree
BE.2.1.1.1
On rising ground at the western end of the churchyard of St Mary’s at Morpeth in Northumberland stands, sheltered by cedar trees, a funerary monument

**Rule 1.2** Each compound is presented with its sentential context and should be interpreted within that context. Knowledge of other instances of the compound type are irrelevant.

A given compound type can have different meanings in different contexts. A **school book** is frequently a book read IN school, but it could also be a book ABOUT school. A **wood table** might be a table that IS wood, but it might also be a table for chopping wood on (IN). The intended meaning of a compound token is often clarified by the sentence it appears in.

**Rule 1.3** Where a compound is ambiguous and is not clarified by the sentential context, the most typical meaning of the compound is favoured.

Compound interpretation must sometimes rely on world knowledge. The compound **school book** is not clarified by a sentence such as *This is a school book*. In this case, book read IN school is the most typical interpretation. If the compound’s ambiguity arises from the polysemy of a constituent, the same consideration applies. **University** can refer to an institution or its physical location, but in the case of **university degree** the institutional meaning must be correct as locations cannot award degrees, and the compound is labelled ACTOR.

**Rule 1.4** The referent of the compound is of interest only insofar as it elucidates the relation between the constituent nouns. Whether the compound is used metaphorically or not is irrelevant.

For example: the compound **bird brain** is often used to refer to someone stupid, not to an actual brain, but in both cases the relation (HAVE1)
between the constituents is the same; the phrase in the dog house contains a metaphorical use of a standard locative compound (IN).

**Rule 1.5** Where a compound consisting of two common nouns is used as a proper noun, and its meaning only differs from its use as a common noun insofar as it denotes a definite entity, it may be annotated as if it were used as a common noun.

For example: the **Telecommunications Act** (ABOUT), The **Old Tea Shop** (IN), Castle Hill (IN). Many names, while constructed from two common nouns, do not seem to encode the same kind of semantics as non-name compounds, e.g. Penguin Books, Sky Television, Dolphin Close, Coronation Street. These names encode only a sense of non-specific association between the constituents, and should be classified as REL.

**Rule 1.6** The semantic relation in many compounds involves a characteristic situation or event. Whether such a situation exists for a given compound, and the roles played by its constituents in the situation, will determine which relation labels are available.

For example, the meaning of cheese knife seems to involve an event of cutting, in which cheese and knife take object and instrument roles respectively. Similarly, taxi driver evokes an event of driving and night watchman evokes an event of watching or guarding. The INST and ACTOR relations apply only where such a situation or event is present and where the compound identifies its participant(s). The application of HAVE assumes that the most salient aspect of the underlying situation is possession. It is not strictly necessary to identify the precise nature of the situation or event, only to identify the general roles played by the participants (see the discussion under Rule 2.1.5.1).

**Rule 1.7** Where there is a characteristic situation or event, it is necessary to identify which constituents of the compound are participants and which roles they play.

Participants are entities that can be described as Agent, Instrument, Object or Result:

**Agent** The instigator of the event, the primary source of energy

**Instrument** An intermediate entity that is used/acted on by the Agent and in turn exerts force on or changes the Object; more generally, an item which is used to facilitate the event but which is not the Object
Object  The entity on which a force is applied or which is changed by the event and which does not exert force on any participant other than the Result. Recipients (e.g. of money or gifts, but not outcomes) also count as Objects.

Result  An entity which was not present before and comes into being through the event

For example: cheese$_O$, knife$_I$, taxi$_O$ driver$_A$, sneezing$_R$ powder$_I$. It follows from the above that locations and topics do not count as participants – compounds encoding such roles receive IN and ABOUT labels instead of the ACTOR and INST labels reserved for participants.

The participant role types are listed in order of descending agentivity. We thus have an agentivity hierarchy Agent$>$Instrument$>$Object$>$Result. This ordering plays an important role in distinguishing ACTOR compounds from INST compounds (see Rules 2.1.4 and 2.1.5). It is not necessary to annotate this information, and it is not always necessary to identify the exact participant role of a constituent, so long as the hierarchical order of the constituents can be identified. Identifying participants is only needed to distinguish between relations (ACTOR vs INST) and directionalities.
2 Semantic Relations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main Relations</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BE</td>
<td>X is N1 and X is N2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAVE</td>
<td>N1/N2 has N2/N1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>N1/N2 is located in N2/N1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTOR</td>
<td>N1/N2 is a sentient participant in the event N2/N1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N1/N2 is sentient and is the more agentive participant of N1 and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N2 in an associated event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INST</td>
<td>N1/N2 is a non-sentient participant in the event N2/N1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N1/N2 is non-sentient and is the more agentive participant of N1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and N2 in an associated event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABOUT</td>
<td>N1/N2 is about N2/N1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REL</td>
<td>The relation is not described by any of the specific relations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>but seems productive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEX</td>
<td>The relation is idiosyncratic and not productive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNKNOWN</td>
<td>The compound’s meaning is unclear</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noncompounds</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISTAG</td>
<td>N1 and/or N2 have been mistagged and are not common nouns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NONCOMPOUND</td>
<td>The sequence N1 N2 is not a 2-noun compound</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1 Main Relations

2.1.1 BE

Rule 2.1.1.1 X is N1 and X is N2.

For example: woman driver, elm tree, distillation process, human being. This rule does not admit sequences such as deputy chairman, fellow man or chief executive, where it is not correct to state that an [N1 N2] is an N1 (a chief executive is not a chief). Such sequences are not to be considered compounds, and their modifiers are to be considered (mistagged) adjectives – see Rule 2.2.1.1.

Rule 2.1.1.2 N2 is a form/shape taken by the substance N1.

For example: stone obelisk, chalk circle, plastic box, steel knife.

Rule 2.1.1.3 N2 is ascribed significant properties of N1 without the ascription of identity. The compound roughly denotes “an N2 like N1”.
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For example: father figure, angler fish, chain reaction, pie chart.

2.1.2 HAVE

**Rule 2.1.2.1** N1/N2 owns N2/N1 or has exclusive rights or the exclusive ability to access or to use N2/N1 or has a one-to-one possessive association with N2/N1.

For example: army base, customer account, government power. The term one-to-one possessive association is intended to cover cases where it seems strange to speak of ownership, for example in the case of inanimate objects (street name, planet atmosphere).

**Rule 2.1.2.2** N1/N2 is a physical condition, a mental state or a mentally salient entity experienced by N2/N1.

For example: polio sufferer, cat instinct, student problem (problem which students have), union concern.

**Rule 2.1.2.3** N1/N2 has the property denoted by N2/N1.

For example: water volume, human kindness. A “property” is something that is not an entity or a substance but which an entity/substance can be described as having. Redness, temperature, dignity, legibility are all examples of properties. Property nouns are often derived from adjectives but this need not be the case.

**Rule 2.1.2.4** N1/N2 has N2/N1 as a part or constituent.

For example: car door, motor boat, cat fur, chicken curry, pie ingredient, tree sap. The test for the presence of a part-whole relation is whether it seems natural and accurate in the context to say The N1/N2 has/have N2/N1 and The N1/N2 is/are part of N2/N1. Furthermore, substances which play a functional role in a biological organism are classed as parts: human blood, tree sap, whale blubber. This is the case even when the substance has been extracted, as in olive oil.

A part is often located in its whole, but in these cases the part-whole relation is to be considered as prior to the co-location, and HAVE is preferred to IN. Complications arise with cases such as sea chemical, where both HAVE and IN seem acceptable. One principle that can be used tests whether the candidate part is readily separated (perceptually or physically)
from the candidate whole. Chemicals in sea water (HAVE) are not typically separable in this way and can be viewed as parts of a whole. On the other hand, a sea stone or a sea (oil) slick are perceptually distinct and physically separable from the sea and are therefore IN.

**Rule 2.1.2.5** \( N1/N2 \text{ is a group/society/set/collection of entities } N2/N1 \)

For example: stamp collection, character set, lecture series, series lecture, committee member, infantry soldier.

2.1.3 IN

In the following rules, an opposition is drawn between events/activities and objects. The class of events includes temporal entities such as times and durations. Objects are perceived as non-temporal and may be participants in an event (the term participant is used as defined under Rule 1.7). To assign the correct rule, the annotator must decide whether the located thing is an event or an object, and whether the location is temporal or spatial. Events may also sometimes be participants (in the sense of Rule 1.7 and in these cases the rules dealing with objects and participants will apply – a nursing college is a college where nursing is taught as a subject, but not necessarily one where the activity of nursing takes place, so Rule 2.1.3.1 applies. In contrast a nursing home, being a home where the event of nursing takes place, would come under Rule 2.1.3.2, analogous to dining room. Some nouns are polysemous and can refer to both objects (play as a written work, harvest as harvested crops) and events (play as performance, harvest as activity). The annotator must decide whether the temporal or physical aspect is primary in a given context.

**Rule 2.1.3.1** \( N1/N2 \text{ is an object spatially located in or near } N2/N1 \).

For example: forest hut, shoe box, side street, top player, crossword page, hospital doctor, sweet shop. Where the location is due to part-whole constituency or possession, HAVE is preferred (as in car door, sea salt). Source-denoting compounds such as country boy and spring water are classed as IN as the underlying relation is one of location at a (past) point in time.

**Rule 2.1.3.2** \( N1/N2 \text{ is an event or activity spatially located in } N2/N1 \).

For example: dining room, hospital visit, sea farming, football stadium.
Rule 2.1.3.3 \( N1/N2 \) is an object temporally located in or near \( N2/N1 \), or is a participant in an event/activity located there.

For example: night watchman, coffee morning.

Rule 2.1.3.4 \( N1/N2 \) is an event/activity temporally located in or near \( N2/N1 \).

For example: ballroom dancing, future event, midnight mass.

2.1.4 ACTOR

The distinction between ACTOR and INST is based on sentience. Only certain classes of entities may be actors:

1. Sentient animate lifeforms: membership of the animal kingdom (regnum animalia) is a sufficient condition. Bacteria and viruses are not sentient enough (flu virus is annotated INST).

2. Organisations or groups of people: for example finance committee, consultancy firm, manufacturing company, council employee. Some words referring to institutions are polysemous in that they can denote its physical aspect or its social/organisational aspect – university often denotes a physical location, but in the compounds university degree and university decision it is functioning as an organisation and count as agents (granting a degree and making a decision are actions only humans or organisations can carry out). On the other hand, in research university it is not clear whether we have a university that does research (agentive) or a university in which research is done (non-agentive). In such cases, the physical denotation should be considered the primary meaning of the word, and the organisational denotation is derived through metonymy – the non-agentive interpretation of these compounds is favoured unless the underlying event requires the institution to act as an agent. Such events often involve the institution acting as a legal entity. Hence university degree (degree awarded by a university, school decision (decision made by a school), shop employee (employee employed by a shop) are ACTOR; research university, community school, school homework and sweet shop are IN.

A compound can be labelled ACTOR only if the underlying semantic relation involves a characteristic situation or event. In the following definitions, the term participant is used in the sense of Rule 1.7.
Rule 2.1.4.1 \(N1/N2\) is a sentient participant in the event \(N2/N1\).

For example: student demonstration, government interference, infantry assault. That \(N2/N1\) denote an event is not sufficient for this rule – it must be the characteristic event associated with the compound. Hence this rule would not apply to a singing teacher, as the characteristic event is teaching, not singing. Instead, Rule 2.1.4.2 would apply. As only one participant is mentioned in the current rule 2.1.4.1, there is no need to establish its degree of agentivity.

Rule 2.1.4.2 \(N1/N2\) is a sentient participant in an event in which \(N2/N1\) is also a participant, and \(N1/N2\) is more agentive than \(N2/N1\).

For example: honey bee, bee honey, company president, history professor, taxi driver, student nominee (nominee nominated by students), expressionist poem. Relative agentivity is determined by the hierarchy given under Rule 1.7. The underlying event cannot be one of possession (car owner = HAVE) or location (city inhabitant = IN). Profession-denoting compounds often have a modifier which is a location – street cleaner, school principal, restaurant waitress, school teacher. A distinction can be drawn between those where the profession involves managing or changing the state of the location, i.e. the location is an object (school principal, street cleaner = ACTOR), and those where the profession simply involves work located there (school teacher, restaurant waitress = IN by Rule 2.1.3.1). Note that modifiers in -ist such as expressionist, modernist, socialist, atheist are treated as nouns, so that an expressionist poem is analysed as a poem such as an expressionist would characteristically write.

2.1.5 INST

The name INST(rument) is used to distinguish this category from ACTOR, though the scope of the category is far broader than traditional definitions of instrumentality. Again, the term participant is used in the sense of Rule 1.7.

Rule 2.1.5.1 \(N1/N2\) is a participant in an activity or event \(N2/N1\), and \(N1/N2\) is not an ACTOR.

For example: skimming stone, gun attack, gas explosion, combustion engine, drug trafficking, rugby tactics, machine translation.
Compounds identifying the location of an event (such as street demonstration) should be labelled IN by Rule 2.1.3.2 or 2.1.3.4, and compounds identifying the focus of or general motivation for a human activity or mental process (such as crime investigation), but not its direct cause, should be labelled ABOUT by Rule 2.1.6.3.

As only one participant is mentioned, there is no need to establish its degree of agentivity.

**Rule 2.1.5.2** The compound is associated with a characteristic event in which N1/N2 and N2/N1 are participants, N1/N2 is more agentive than N2/N1, and N1/N2 is not an ACTOR.

For example: rice cooker (cooker that cooks rice), tear gas (gas that causes tears), blaze victim (a blaze injures/kills a victim). The directionality of the relation is determined by the more agentive participant in the hierarchy given in Rule 1.7: cheese\textsubscript{O} knife\textsubscript{I} (INST2), wine\textsubscript{O} vinegar\textsubscript{R} (INST1), wind\textsubscript{A} damage\textsubscript{R} (INST1), human\textsubscript{O} virus\textsubscript{A} (INST1). Sometimes it may be difficult to distinguish Agents from Instruments (gun wound) or Objects from Results (blaze victim) – this is not important so long as it is possible to identify which participant is more agentive.

In some cases, it may not be clear what the exact underlying event is, but the more agentive participant may still be identified – a transport system is a system that in some way provides or manages transport, but it is nonetheless clear that the appropriate label is INST2. In other cases, where both participants affect each other, it may be less clear which is more agentive – motor oil can be construed as oil that lubricates/enables the function of the engine or as oil the engine uses. Likewise petrol motor, computer software, electron microscope. At least where the relation is between a system or machine and some entity it uses to perform its function, the former should be chosen as more agentive. Hence motor oil is INST1, petrol motor is INST2, and so on.

As in Rule 2.1.5.1, where one of the constituents is the location of the associated event, then IN is the appropriate label by Rule 2.1.3.1 or 2.1.3.3. If the more agentive participant meets the criteria for ACTOR status (2.1.4), then that label should be applied instead. If the interaction between the constituents is due to one being a part of the other (as in car engine), HAVE is the appropriate label by Rule 2.1.2.4. A border with ABOUT must be drawn in the case of psychological states and human activities whose cause or focus is N1. As described further under Rules 2.1.6.3, the criterion adopted is based on whether there is a direct causal link between
N1 and N2 in the underlying event – a bomb can by itself cause bomb terror (INST1), but a spider phobia is not a reaction to any particular spider and is classed as ABOUT.

### 2.1.6 ABOUT

**Rule 2.1.6.1** 
*N1/N2’s descriptive, significative or propositional content relates to N2/N1.*

For example: fairy tale, flower picture, tax law, exclamation mark, film character, life principles. Most speech acts belong to this category. Properties and attributes that seem to have a descriptive or subjective nature are still to be labelled HAVE by Rule 2.1.2.3 – street name and music loudness are HAVE1.

**Rule 2.1.6.2** 
*N1/N2 is a collection of items whose descriptive, significative or propositional content relates to N2/N1 or an event that describes or conveys information about N2/N1.*

For example: history exhibition, war archive, science lesson.

**Rule 2.1.6.3** 
*N1/N2 is a mental process or mental activity focused on N2/N1, or an activity resulting from such.*

For example: crime investigation, science research, research topic, exercise obsession, election campaign, football violence, holiday plan. In the case of activities, N1/N2 cannot belong to any of the participant categories given under Rule 1.7; rather it is the topic of or motivation for N2/N1. The sense of causation in, for example, oil dispute is not direct enough to admit an INST classification – the state of the oil supply will not lead to an oil dispute without the involved parties taking salient enabling action. In the case of emotions, there is also a risk of overlapping with INST; bomb terror is INST and bomb dislike is classed as ABOUT, but examples such as bomb fear are less clearcut. A line can be drawn whereby immediate emotional reactions to a stimulus are annotated INST, but more permanent dispositions are ABOUT. In the case of bomb fear, the relation must be identified from context. Problems (debt problem) and crises (oil crisis) also belong to this category, as they are created by mental processes.

**Rule 2.1.6.4** 
*N1/N2 is an amount of money or some other commodity given in exchange for N2/N1 or to satisfy a debt arising from N2/N1.*
For example: share price, printing charge, income tax. N2/N1 is not the giver or recipient of N1/N2 – an agency fee would be INST under the interpretation fee paid to an agencyO, but the thing exchanged or the reason for the transaction.

2.1.7 REL

Rule 2.1.7.1 The relation between N1 and N2 is not described by any of the above relations but seems to be produced by a productive pattern.

A compound can be associated with a productive pattern if it displays substitutability. If both of the constituents can be replaced by an open or large set of other words to produce a compound encoding the same semantic relation, then a REL annotation is admissible. For example, the compound reading skill (in the sense of degree of skill at reading) is not covered by any of the foregoing categories, but the semantic relation of the compound (something like ABILITY-AT) is the same as that in football skill, reading ability and learning capacity. This contrasts with an idiosyncratic lexicalised compound such as home secretary (= LEX), where the only opportunities for substitution come from a restricted class and most substitutions with similar words will not yield the same semantic relation. Another class of compounds that should be labelled REL are names of chemical compounds such as carbon dioxide and sodium carbonate, as they are formed according to productive patterns. Proper names composed of two common nouns with no semantic connection also belong to this class (e.g. Penguin Books, see Rule 1.5).

2.1.8 LEX

Rule 2.1.8.1 The meaning of the compound is not described by any of the above relations and it does not seem to be produced by a productive pattern.

For example: turf accountant, monkey business. These are noncompositional in the sense that their meanings must be learned on a case-by-case basis and cannot be identified through knowledge of other compounds. This is because they do not have the property of substitutability - the hypothetical compounds horse business or monkey activity are unlikely to have a similar meaning to monkey business. LEX also applies where a single constituent has been idiosyncratically lexicalised as a modifier or head such as X secretary meaning minister responsible for X.
2.1.9 UNKNOWN

Rule 2.1.9.1 The meaning of the compound is too unclear to classify.

Some compounds are simply uninterpretable, even in context. This label should be avoided as much as possible but is sometimes unavoidable.

2.2 Noncompounds

2.2.1 MISTAG

Rule 2.2.1.1 One or both of N1 and N2 have been mistagged and should not be counted as (a) common noun(s).

For example: fruity bouquet (N1 is an adjective), London town (N1 is a proper noun). In the case of blazing fire, N1 is a verb, so this is also a case of mistagging; in superficially similar cases such as dancing teacher or swimming pool, however, the -ing form can and should be treated as a noun. The annotator must decide which analysis is correct in each case – a dancing teacher might be a teacher that is dancing (MISTAG) in one context, but a teacher who teaches dancing (ACTOR) in another context. Certain modifiers might be argued to be nouns but for the purposes of annotation are stipulated to be adjectives. Where one of assistant, key, favourite, deputy, head, chief or fellow appears as the modifier of a compound in the data, it is to be considered mistagged. This only applies when these modifiers are used in adjective-like senses – key chain or head louse are clearly valid compounds and should be annotated as such.

2.2.2 NONCOMPOUND

Rule 2.2.2.1 The extracted sequence, while correctly tagged, is not a 2-noun compound.

There are various reasons why two adjacent nouns may not constitute a compound:

1. An adjacent word should have been tagged as a noun, but was not.

2. The modifier is itself modified by an adjacent word, corresponding to a bracketing [[X N1] N2]. For example: [[real tennis| club], [[Liberal Democrat| candidate], [[five dollar| bill]]. However compounds with conjoined modifiers such as land and sea warfare and fruit and vegetable seller can be treated as valid compounds so long as the
conjunction is elliptical (land and sea warfare has the same meaning as land warfare and sea warfare). Not all conjoined modifiers satisfy this condition – a salt and pepper beard does not mean a beard which is a salt beard and a pepper beard, and the sequence pepper beard is a NONCOMPOUND.

3. The two words are adjacent for other reasons. For example: the question politicians need to answer, structureless lists of words.

4. The modifier is not found as a noun on its own. For example: multi-party election, smalltown atmosphere.