Flexibly graded monads and graded algebras

Dylan McDermott¹ Tarmo Uustalu^{1,2}

¹ Reykjavik University, Iceland
 ² Tallinn University of Technology, Estonia

Algebraic structures appear in models of effects using monads

- especially for presentations and algebraic operations
 - e.g. monoids and finite nondeterminism, mnemoids and global state

Is there are similar story about graded algebraic structures?

e.g. grading nondeterminism by number of choices?

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \overline{\Gamma \vdash M_1 : A \And d_1} & \overline{\Gamma \vdash M_2 : A \And d_2} \\ \hline \overline{\Gamma \vdash \operatorname{or}(M_1, M_2) : A \And (d_1 + d_2)} & \overline{\Gamma \vdash \operatorname{fail}() : A \And 0} \\ \\ & \frac{\overline{\Gamma \vdash M : A \And d} & d \le d'}{\Gamma \vdash M : A \And d'} \end{array}$$

$$(d, d', d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{N})$$

Motivation: develop a notion of presentation for graded monads (see our ICFP paper)

Monoids and nondeterminism

If there is a monad T on $\operatorname{\mathbf{Set}}$ whose algebras

 $A \in \mathbf{Set} \qquad a: TA \to A$

are exactly monoids

 $A \in \mathbf{Set}$ $u: 1 \to A$ $m: A \times A \to A$

then from the free algebras

 $TX \in \mathbf{Set} \qquad \mu_X : T(TX) \to TX$

we get algebraic operations [Plotkin and Power '02]

 $\mathsf{fail}_X: 1 \to TX \qquad \mathsf{or}_X: TX \times TX \to TX$

we can use to model finite nondeterminism

Monoids and nondeterminism

If there is a monad T on $\operatorname{\mathbf{Set}}$ whose algebras

 $A \in \mathbf{Set} \qquad a: TA \to A$

are exactly monoids

 $A \in \mathbf{Set}$ $u: 1 \to A$ $m: A \times A \to A$

then from the free algebras

 $TX \in \mathbf{Set} \qquad \mu_X : T(TX) \to TX$

we get algebraic operations [Plotkin and Power '02]

 $\mathsf{fail}_X: 1 \to TX \qquad \mathsf{or}_X: TX \times TX \to TX$

we can use to model finite nondeterminism

T is the standard list monad List:

Graded monoids and nondeterminism

If there is a graded monad T on Set whose algebras

 $A: \mathbb{N}_{\leq} \to \mathbf{Set} \qquad a_{d,e}: T(Ae)d \to A(d \cdot e)$

are exactly graded monoids

 $A: \mathbb{N}_{<} \to \mathbf{Set} \qquad u: \mathbb{1} \to A0$ $m_{d_1,d_2}: Ad_1 \times Ad_2 \to A(d_1+d_2)$ then from the free algebras

$$TX: \mathbb{N}_{\leq} \to \mathbf{Set} \qquad \mu_{X,d,e}: T(TXe)d \to TX(d \cdot e)$$

we get algebraic operations

fail_X : $\mathbb{1} \to TX0$ or_{X,d_1,d_2} : $TXd_1 \times TXd_2 \to TX(d_1+d_2)$

we can use to model finite nondeterminism

Graded monoids and nondeterminism

If there is a graded monad T on $\operatorname{\mathbf{Set}}$ whose algebras

 $A:\mathbb{N}_{\leq}\rightarrow \mathbf{Set} \qquad a_{d,e}:T(Ae)d\rightarrow A(d\cdot e)$

are exactly graded monoids

 $A:\mathbb{N}_{\leq}\to \mathbf{Set}\qquad u:\mathbb{1}\to A0\qquad m_{d_1,d_2}:Ad_1\times Ad_2\to A(d_1+d_2)$ then from the free algebras

$$TX: \mathbb{N}_{\leq} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set} \qquad \mu_{X,d,e}: T(TXe)d \rightarrow TX(d \cdot e)$$

we get algebraic operations

 $\mathsf{fail}_X:\mathbb{1}\to TX0\qquad\mathsf{or}_{X,d_1,d_2}:TXd_1\times TXd_2\to TX(d_1+d_2)$ we can use to model finite nondeterminism

But there is no such graded monad

Graded monads

A
$$(\mathbb{N}_{\leq}-)$$
graded set A is a functor $\mathbb{N}_{\leq} \to \mathbf{Set}$:

▶ a set Ad for each $d \in \mathbb{N}$

 \blacktriangleright with a function $Ad \rightarrow Ad'$ for each $d \leq d'$, respecting reflexivity, transitivity of \leq

A graded monad T consists of: [Smirnov '08, Melliès '12, Katsumata '14]
a graded set
$$TX$$
 for each (ungraded) set X
unit functions $\eta_X : X \to TX1$
Kleisli extension $\frac{f: X \to TYe}{f_d^{\dagger}: TXd \to TY(d \cdot e)}$ $(d, e \in \mathbb{N})$
satisfying (graded) monad laws

For example: List Xe =lists over X of length $\leq e$, with

$$\eta_X x = [x] \qquad f_d^{\dagger}[x_1, \dots, x_k] = f x_1 + + \dots + + f x_k$$

5

Graded monoids and nondeterminism

The algebras of

List Xe = lists over X of length $\leq e$

have the form

$$A: \mathbb{N}_{\leq} \to \mathbf{Set} \qquad a_{d,e}: \mathsf{List}(Ae)d \to A(d \cdot e)$$

These do not form graded monoids

$$u:\mathbb{1}\to A0 \qquad m_{d_1,d_2}:Ad_1\times Ad_2\to A(d_1+d_2)$$

But the free algebras $\mathsf{List} X: \mathbb{N}_< \to \mathbf{Set}$ do:

$$\begin{split} \text{fail}_X &: \mathbbm{1} \to \text{List}X0 \qquad \quad \text{or}_{X,d_1,d_2} : \text{List}Xd_1 \times \text{List}Xd_2 \to \text{List}X(d_1+d_2) \\ \text{fail}_X() &= [] \qquad \quad \text{or}_{X,d_1,d_2}(\text{xs}_1,\text{xs}_2) = \text{xs}_1 + + \text{xs}_2 \end{split}$$

Graded algebraic structures

Graded sets A:

a set Ad for each d ∈ N
with a function (d≤d')* : Ad → Ad' for each d ≤ d'
such that id_{Ad} = (d≤d)* and (d'≤d")* ∘ (d≤d')* = (d≤d")*
Morphisms f : A → B :

 $f_d: Ad \to Bd$ for each $d \in \mathbb{N}$ natural in d

Graded monoids A = (A, u, m):

 \blacktriangleright A graded set A

▶ with functions $u : 1 \to A0$ and $m_{d_1,d_2} : Ad_1 \times Ad_2 \to A(d_1 + d_2)$ ▶ natural in d_1, d_2 , and satisfying unitality and associativity laws

Morphisms $f : A \rightarrow B$:

 $f:A\to B \qquad \text{such that } f_0(u())=u() \text{ and } f_{d_1+d_2}(m_{d_1,d_2}(x,y))=m_{d_1,d_2}(f_{d_1}x,f_{d_2}y)$

Forgetful functor $U : \mathbf{GMon} \to \mathbf{GSet}$

Graded algebraic structures

Graded sets A:

a set Ad for each d ∈ N
with a function (d≤d')* : Ad → Ad' for each d ≤ d'
such that id_{Ad} = (d≤d)* and (d'≤d")* ∘ (d≤d')* = (d≤d")*
Morphisms f : A - e → B of grade e:

 $f_d: Ad \to B(d \cdot {\pmb e}) \quad \text{for each } d \in \mathbb{N} \qquad \quad \text{natural in } d$

Graded monoids A = (A, u, m):

 \blacktriangleright A graded set A

with functions $u: \mathbb{1} \to A0$ and $m_{d_1,d_2}: Ad_1 \times Ad_2 \to A(d_1 + d_2)$

▶ natural in d_1, d_2 , and satisfying unitality and associativity laws Morphisms $f : A - e \rightarrow B$ of grade e:

 $f:A-e \to B \qquad \text{such that } f_0(u())=u() \text{ and } f_{d_1+d_2}(m_{d_1,d_2}(x,y))=m_{d_1\cdot e,d_2\cdot e}(f_{d_1}x,f_{d_2}y)$

Forgetful functor $U : \mathbf{GMon} \to \mathbf{GSet}$

Locally graded categories [Wood '76]

A locally graded category $\ensuremath{\mathcal{C}}$ has:

 \blacktriangleright a collection $|\mathcal{C}|$ of objects

b graded sets $\mathcal{C}(X,Y)$ of morphisms $(f: X - e \rightarrow Y \text{ means } f \in \mathcal{C}(X,Y)e)$

 $\blacktriangleright \text{ identities } \operatorname{id}_X : X - 1 \to X$

composition

$$\frac{f: X - e \rightarrow Y \qquad g: Y - e' \rightarrow Z}{g \circ f: X - e \cdot e' \rightarrow Z}$$

natural in e, e'

such that

$$\mathrm{id}_Y\circ f=f=f\circ\mathrm{id}_X\qquad (h\circ g)\circ f=h\circ(g\circ f)$$

(These are categories enriched over $[\mathbb{N}_{<},\mathbf{Set}]$ with Day convolution)

Graded algebraic structures form locally graded categories that forget into GSet $U:\mathbf{GMon}\to\mathbf{GSet}$

Relative monads [Altenkirch, Chapman, Uustalu '15]

Definition

A *J*-relative monad T (for $J : \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{C}$) consists of:

Kleisli extension
$$\frac{1}{f^{\dagger}:TX-e o TY}$$
 natural

such that the monad laws hold:

$$f^{\dagger} \circ \eta_X = f \qquad \eta_X^{\dagger} = \mathrm{id}_{TX} \qquad (g^{\dagger} \circ f)^{\dagger} = g^{\dagger} \circ f^{\dagger}$$

T has an Eilenberg-Moore resolution



Graded monads

Ungraded sets form a full locally graded subcategory of graded sets:

$$K : \mathbf{RSet} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{GSet}$$
$$KXd = \begin{cases} X & \text{if } d \ge 1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(RSet is the free locally graded category on Set)

10

so that $\mathbf{GSet}(KX,Y)e \cong \mathbf{Set}(X,Ye)$, and

graded monads are K-relative monads

graded monad:K-relative monad: \bullet object mapping $T : |\mathbf{Set}| \to |\mathbf{GSet}|$ \bullet object mapping $T : |\mathbf{Set}| \to |\mathbf{GSet}|$ \bullet unit functions $\eta_X : X \to TX1$ \bullet unit morphisms $\eta_X : KX - 1 \to TX$ \bullet Kleisli extension $\frac{f: X \to TYe}{f_d^{\dagger}: TXd \to TY(d \cdot e)}$ \bullet Kleisli extension $\frac{f: KX - e \to TY}{f^{\dagger}: TX - e \to TY}$

Graded monoids

There is no graded monad T such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{GMon} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbf{EM}(\mathsf{T}) \\ & & \swarrow & \swarrow \\ & & & \swarrow & \\ & & & \mathbf{GSet} \end{array}$$

But we do have:

GSet

Flexibly graded monads

(Locally graded) monads T on **GSet** (i.e. Id_{GSet} -relative monads): **a** graded set TX for each graded set X **b** unit $\eta : X - 1 \rightarrow TX$ **b** Kleisli extension $\frac{f : X - e \rightarrow TY}{f^{\dagger} : TX - e \rightarrow TY}$ (or multiplication $\mu_X : T(TX) - 1 \rightarrow TX$) **b** satisfying monad laws

Example: lists

 $\text{List}_f X d =$ "lists over X, with total grade at most d"

Formally ${\rm List}_{\rm f} Xd = {\rm colim}_{\vec{d}' \in S_d} \prod_i Xd'_i$ where S_d is the poset of lists (d'_1, \ldots, d'_n) such that $d \geq \sum_i d'_i$, ordered pointwise



Constructing graded monads

Every flexibly graded monad T restricts to a graded monad $\lfloor T \rfloor$ by

 $\lfloor T \rfloor X = T(KX)$

and this comes with $R_{\mathsf{T}} : \mathbf{EM}(\mathsf{T}) \to \mathbf{EM}(\lfloor\mathsf{T}\rfloor)$, commuting with forgetful functors

The restriction is universal:

$$\mathbf{EM}(\mathsf{T}) \xrightarrow{R_{\mathsf{T}}} \mathbf{EM}([\mathsf{T}]) \qquad [\mathsf{T}]$$

$$\overset{R'}{\longrightarrow} \overset{\mathsf{EM}(\alpha)}{\longleftarrow} \overset{\alpha}{\uparrow}$$

$$\mathbf{EM}(\mathsf{T}') \qquad \mathsf{T}'$$

(where $R_{\rm T}, R'$ commute with forgetful functors)

And free $\lfloor T \rfloor$ -algebras are free T-algebras:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{RSet} & \xrightarrow{F_{[\mathsf{T}]}} & \mathbf{EM}(\lfloor\mathsf{T}\rfloor) \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ \mathbf{GSet} & \xrightarrow{F_\mathsf{T}} & \mathbf{EM}(\mathsf{T}) \end{array}$$

Constructing graded monads

Since $\lfloor List_f \rfloor \cong List$:



for every graded monad T' and $R': \mathbf{GMon} \to \mathsf{T}'$ commuting with forgetful functors

So:

- no graded monad has graded monoids as algebras
- but List is the best we can do
- and free List-algebras form free graded monoids

Conclusions

Graded monads

- don't exactly capture certain algebraic structures
- but do get close enough to model effects in a canonical way

Locally graded categories are a good setting for doing grading:

- algebraic structures form locally graded categories
- these structures are often captured by flexibly graded monads (i.e. enriched monads on GSet)
- graded monads are relative monads

See our MPC 2022 paper:

https://dylanm.org/drafts/flexibly-graded-monads.pdf