The Frequency Injection Attack on Ring-Oscillator-Based True Random Number Generators

A. Theodore Markettos and Simon Moore www.cl.cam.ac.uk/research/security



Computer Laboratory

Many protocols are vulnerable to attacks if the random number generator (RNG) is predictable.

- Many kinds of key generation
- Replay attacks
- Digital Signature Algorithm
- Masking of RSA to protect against DPA

A source of randomness... jitter

- Sources of cryptographic randomness measure some physical property
- Jitter: timing variations due to noise
- Measure jitter of ring oscillators



A source of randomness... jitter

- Sources of cryptographic randomness measure some physical property
- Jitter: timing variations due to noise
- Measure jitter of ring oscillators



Injection locking

- But what happens to jitter if the ring oscillators aren't independent?
- Christiaan Huyghens, 1665: Independent pendulum clocks on a wall tend to synchronise via nonlinear vibrations through the wall
- Applying a signal near to the fundamental 'pulls-in' the oscillator to a different nearby frequency



- Ring oscillators tend to ring synchronise by parasitic injection locking
 ...so what if we try to force them to lock?
- A basic ring oscillator
- …can have an injection signal coupled into the ring (not easy)
- ▶ A ring oscillator with power supply/EM injection is balanced
- …unless it isn't
- ...or until an extra load is added

- Ring oscillators tend to ring synchronise by parasitic injection locking
- ...so what if we try to force them to lock?
- A basic ring oscillator
- ...can have an injection signal coupled into the ring (not easy)
- ▶ A ring oscillator with power supply/EM injection is balanced
- …unless it isn't
- ...or until an extra load is added



- Ring oscillators tend to ring synchronise by parasitic injection locking
- ...so what if we try to force them to lock?
- A basic ring oscillator
- ...can have an injection signal coupled into the ring (not easy)
- ▶ A ring oscillator with power supply/EM injection is balanced
- …unless it isn't
- ...or until an extra load is added



- Ring oscillators tend to ring synchronise by parasitic injection locking
- ...so what if we try to force them to lock?
- A basic ring oscillator
- ...can have an injection signal coupled into the ring (not easy)
- ► A ring oscillator with power supply/EM injection is balanced
- …unless it isn't
- ...or until an extra load is added



- Ring oscillators tend to ring synchronise by parasitic injection locking
- ...so what if we try to force them to lock?
- A basic ring oscillator
- ...can have an injection signal coupled into the ring (not easy)
- A ring oscillator with power supply/EM injection is balanced
- ...unless it isn't
- ...or until an extra load is added



- Ring oscillators tend to ring synchronise by parasitic injection locking
- ...so what if we try to force them to lock?
- A basic ring oscillator
- ...can have an injection signal coupled into the ring (not easy)
- ► A ring oscillator with power supply/EM injection is balanced
- ...unless it isn't
- ...or until an extra load is added



- Ring oscillators tend to ring synchronise by parasitic injection locking
- ...so what if we try to force them to lock?
- A basic ring oscillator
- ...can have an injection signal coupled into the ring (not easy)
- ► A ring oscillator with power supply/EM injection is balanced
- …unless it isn't
- ...or until an extra load is added
 - Injection locking reduces global jitter
 - Injection locking of multiple rings prevents measurement of jitter differences between them

Experiment with discrete logic gates

Injection locking is:

- Difficult to solve analytically
- Difficult to simulate with SPICE
- Difficult to measure inside an FPGA

So we tried some discrete logic gates:

▶ 74HC04 inverter, 3-element and 5-element rings, inject 24 MHz



Experiment with discrete logic gates

Yellow = output of 3-element ring (trigger), blue = 5-element





Experiment with discrete logic gates

Yellow = output of 3-element ring (trigger), blue = 5-element





Trigger on rising edge 50% of 3-element ring, measure phase lag until 50% rising of 5-element



A.T. Markettos and S. W. Moore, The Frequency Injection Attack on Ring-Oscillator TRNGs, CHES 2009

- 8051-based 8-bit microcontroller, used in ATMs
- Tamper detection, anti-probing coating, 'the most secure' at release
- Our example datecode 1995, still recommended for new banking applications
- TRNG from frequency differences between ring oscillators and system crystal
- ▶ 8 bits entropy every $160\mu s$
- ▶ 64 bits make up internal key





ATM secure microcontroller

- Injected 500 mV sinusoid into 5 V power supply.
- Extract full bitstream from microcontroller. Bit patterns as rasters:



ATM secure microcontroller

- Injected 500 mV sinusoid into 5 V power supply.
- Extract full bitstream from microcontroller. Bit patterns as rasters:



ATM secure microcontroller



Overlaid sequences from 1.822880 MHz injection.

Tuples made from random bits one each from two recordings black=(0,0), grey=(1,1), yellow=(0,1), cyan=(1,0)

32 bits has not 2^{32} possible values but 225!

- EMV ('Chip and PIN') payment card from major British bank, issued 2004 (first one we picked)
- First we worked out an injection frequency using an electromagnetic attack:





EMV smartcard

- Then we modified a card reader to inject a 1 V 24.04 MHz sinusoid into the 5 V supply
- Device still ran EMV transactions
- Read 1.6 Gbit from ISO7816 GET_CHALLENGE command
- ▶ Without injection, failed 1 of 188 NIST tests
- ▶ With injection, failed 160 of 188 NIST tests
- Obvious failures: 32×32 rank test, discrete Fourier transform

EMV smartcard

- Then we modified a card reader to inject a 1 V 24.04 MHz sinusoid into the 5 V supply
- Device still ran EMV transactions
- Read 1.6 Gbit from ISO7816 GET_CHALLENGE command
- ▶ Without injection, failed 1 of 188 NIST tests
- ▶ With injection, failed 160 of 188 NIST tests
- Obvious failures: 32 × 32 rank test, discrete Fourier transform





DFT, with injection. Sequences of 2000 and 15000 bits visible.

An ATM attack

- ► The nonce sent to an EMV smartcard used in an ATM is 32 bits
- An attacker irradiates the ATM with 10 GHz amplitude modulated with 1.8 MHz
- Ventilation slots are transparent to 10 GHz
- Device capacitance filters out the 10 GHz leaving 1.8 MHz in the power supply
- ▶ Entropy of ATM's 32 bit nonce reduced to < 8 bits (≈ 225)
- The attacker records some challenge/responses with the victim card in a modified store terminal
- A fake card is used to select the correct reply to a challenge from the irradiated ATM
- ► Birthday paradox: need < √225 = 15 attempts for 50% chance of success (stealing money)</p>
- Random number vulnerabilities are very difficult to detect or prove

Similar to DPA defences:

- Power supply filtering
- Balanced rings
- Differential ring oscillator (ie dual rail)



Similar to DPA defences:

- Power supply filtering
- Balanced rings
- Differential ring oscillator (ie dual rail)



Similar to DPA defences:

- Power supply filtering
- Balanced rings
- Differential ring oscillator (ie dual rail)



Similar to DPA defences:

- Power supply filtering
- Balanced rings
- Differential ring oscillator (ie dual rail)

But won't our smartcard already have DPA protection?

- Injection locking is well-known as a parasitic effect
- We have extended it to an attack
- The attack is straightforward to implement
- The attack works surprisingly well

The Security Group:

blog: http://www.lightbluetouchpaper.org

```
webpage:
```

http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/research/security

