MFPS-LICS Special Session Honouring Dana Scott

Symmetric Scott

Andrew Pitts



80 years of Dana Scott

- automata theory
- set theory
- sheaves & logic
- lambda calculus
- domain theory
- • •

Symmetric Scottery

- automata theory
- set theory
- sheaves & logic
- lambda calculus
- domain theory
- •••

Mathematics of group actions allows MFPS & LICS access to two related, interesting and useful notions of finiteness:

finite support and orbit-finiteness

Symmetric Scottery



Mathematics of group actions allows MFPS & LICS access to two related, interesting and useful notions of finiteness:

finite support and orbit-finiteness

Orbit-finiteness example

Infinitely many names $a \in A$.

For properties that are that are equivariant

 $\varphi(a_1,\ldots,a_n) \Rightarrow \varphi(\pi \ a_1,\ldots,\pi \ a_n)$

with respect to permutations $\pi : \mathbb{A} \cong \mathbb{A}$,

Orbit-finiteness example

Infinitely many names $a \in A$.

For properties that are that are equivariant

 $\varphi(a_1,\ldots,a_n)\Rightarrow\varphi(\pi a_1,\ldots,\pi a_n)$

with respect to permutations $\pi : \mathbb{A} \cong \mathbb{A}$,

the validity of $\exists a_1, \ldots, a_n, \varphi(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$

is equivalent to the validity of a finite disjunction of instances of φ ,

because \mathbb{A}^n has only finitely many orbits.

e.g. orbits of \mathbb{A}^2 are $\{(a, a) \mid a \in \mathbb{A}\}$ and $\{(a, b) \mid a \neq b \in \mathbb{A}\}$

Orbit-finiteness example

Infinitely many names $a \in A$.

For properties that are that are equivariant

 $\varphi(a_1,\ldots,a_n)\Rightarrow\varphi(\pi\,a_1,\ldots,\pi\,a_n)$

with respect to permutations $\pi : \mathbb{A} \cong \mathbb{A}$,

the validity of $\exists a_1, \ldots, a_n, \varphi(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$

is equivalent to the validity of a <u>finite</u> disjunction of instances of φ .

Orbit-finiteness $\rightsquigarrow \pi$ -calculus model-checking with HD-automata [Montanari & Pistori, MFCS 2000] automata theory for infinite alphabets [Bojańczyk *et al*, LICS 2011]

Symmetric Scottery

- automata theory
- set theory
- sheaves & logic
- lambda calculus
- domain theory
- • •

Mathematics of group actions allows MFPS & LICS access to two related, interesting and useful notions of finiteness:

finite support and orbit-finiteness

- Infinitely many names $\mathbb{A} = \{a_0, a_1, \ldots\}$. Booleans $2 = \{0, 1\}$.
- Flat domains A 1, 21.
- Existential quantifier $f \in (\mathbb{A}_{\perp} \to 2_{\perp}) \mapsto$ exists $f \triangleq \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (\exists a \in \mathbb{A}) \ f \ a = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } (\forall a \in \mathbb{A}) \ f \ a = 0 \\ \perp & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

- ► Infinitely many names $\mathbb{A} = \{a_0, a_1, \ldots\}$. Booleans $2 = \{0, 1\}$.
- Flat domains \mathbb{A}_{\perp} , $\mathbf{2}_{\perp}$.
- ► Existential quantifier $f \in (\mathbb{A}_{\perp} \to 2_{\perp}) \mapsto$ exists $f \triangleq \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (\exists a \in \mathbb{A}) \ f \ a = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } (\forall a \in \mathbb{A}) \ f \ a = 0 \\ \perp & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

does <u>not</u> give a continuous function **exists** : $(\mathbb{A}_{\perp} \rightarrow 2_{\perp}) \rightarrow 2_{\perp}$ e.g. consider limit of $f_n : a_i \mapsto \begin{cases} 0 & i < n \\ \perp & i \ge n \end{cases}$

- ► Infinitely many names $\mathbb{A} = \{a_0, a_1, \ldots\}$. Booleans $2 = \{0, 1\}$.
- Flat domains \mathbb{A}_{\perp} , $\mathbf{2}_{\perp}$.
- ► Existential quantifier $f \in (\mathbb{A}_{\perp} \to 2_{\perp}) \mapsto$ exists $f \triangleq \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (\exists a \in \mathbb{A}) \ f \ a = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } (\forall a \in \mathbb{A}) \ f \ a = 0 \\ \perp & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

does <u>not</u> give a continuous function exists : $(\mathbb{A}_{\perp} \rightarrow 2_{\perp}) \rightarrow 2_{\perp}$ but it does when restricted to finitely supported functions...

Finite support

- Infinitely many names $a \in A$.
- Sets equipped with an action $\pi, x \mapsto \pi \cdot x$

$$i\mathbf{d} \cdot x = x$$
$$\pi' \cdot (\pi \cdot x) = (\pi' \circ \pi) \cdot x$$

of (finite) permutations $\pi:\mathbb{A}\cong\mathbb{A}$

Finite support

- Infinitely many names $a \in \mathbb{A}$.
- ► <u>Nominal set</u> = set *D* equipped with a permutation action for which each *x* ∈ *D* possess a finite support *A* ⊆_{fin} *A*:

 $(\forall \pi) ((\forall a \in \underline{A}) \pi a = a) \Rightarrow \pi \cdot x = x$

if there is such an A, there's a least one, written supp x

Finite support

- Infinitely many names $a \in \mathbb{A}$.
- ► <u>Nominal set</u> = set **D** equipped with a permutation action for which each x ∈ **D** possess a finite support
- Category of nominal sets & action-preserving functions is a well-known (2-valued) topos.

Exponentials: $D \rightarrow_{fs} E \triangleq$ all functions $f: D \to E$ that are finitely supported w.r.t. action $\pi \cdot f: x \mapsto \pi \cdot (f(\pi^{-1} \cdot x))$

group inverses make exponentials much simpler than for more general monoid/category actions

- ► Infinitely many names $\mathbb{A} = \{a_0, a_1, \ldots\}$. Booleans $2 = \{0, 1\}$.
- Flat domains \mathbb{A}_{\perp} , $\mathbf{2}_{\perp}$.
- Existential quantifier

exists
$$f \triangleq \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (\exists a \in \mathbb{A}) \ f \ a = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } (\forall a \in \mathbb{A}) \ f \ a = 0 \\ \bot & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is a continuous function exists : $(\mathbb{A}_{\perp} \rightarrow_{fs} 2_{\perp}) \rightarrow 2_{\perp}$, because $(\forall a \in \mathbb{A}) f a = 0$ if $(\forall a \in A) f a = 0$, where $A = \operatorname{supp} f \uplus \{a\}$ is finite.

[Turner & Winskel, CSL 2009] [Lösch & Pitts, POPL 2013]

- Posets in topos of nominal sets.
- Require limits/continuity only for directed sets whose elements have a common finite support ('uniform-directed' subsets).

Associated notion of compactness is more liberal than classical one – replace 'finite' by 'orbit-finite'

e.g. compact functions are joins of <u>orbit-finite</u> consistent sets of step functions

[Turner & Winskel, CSL 2009] [Lösch & Pitts, POPL 2013]

- Posets in topos of nominal sets.
- Require limits/continuity only for directed sets whose elements have a common finite support ('uniform-directed' subsets).
- Category of NSDs is cartesian closed and has fixpoint recursion for both morphisms and objects.

But it also models name abstraction and locally scoped names.

[Turner & Winskel, CSL 2009] [Lösch & Pitts, POPL 2013]

- Posets in topos of nominal sets.
- Require limits/continuity only for directed sets whose elements have a common finite support ('uniform-directed' subsets).
- Category of NSDs is cartesian closed and has fixpoint recursion for both morphisms and objects.

But it also models name abstraction and locally scoped names.

$$NSD [A]D = \{ \langle a \rangle d \mid a \in A \land d \in D \}$$

where $\langle a \rangle d = \langle a' \rangle d'$ iff
 $(a \ b) \cdot d = (a' \ b) \cdot d'$ for some/any $b \not\in supp(a, d, a', d')$

[Turner & Winskel, CSL 2009] [Lösch & Pitts, POPL 2013]

- Posets in topos of nominal sets.
- Require limits/continuity only for directed sets whose elements have a common finite support ('uniform-directed' subsets).
- Category of NSDs is cartesian closed and has fixpoint recursion for both morphisms and objects.

But it also models name abstraction and locally scoped names.

using morphisms $v : [\mathbb{A}]D \to D$ satisfying $v(\langle a \rangle d) = d$ if $a \notin \operatorname{supp} d$ $v\langle a \rangle (v(\langle b \rangle d)) = v(\langle b \rangle (v\langle a \rangle d))$

Higher-order computable functions with local names

Plotkin's **PCF**

Programming language for Computable Functions: simply typed λ-calculus over ground types bool & nat, with arithmetic and boolean operations and fixpoint recursion.
[Plotkin, LCF Considered as a Programming Language, TCS 5(1977)223–255]

Higher-order computable functions with local names

PCFA = Plotkin's **PCF** extended with a type name.

Denotational semantics using nominal Scott domains:

 $\begin{bmatrix} \texttt{name} \end{bmatrix} \triangleq \mathbb{A}_{\perp} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \texttt{bool} \end{bmatrix} \triangleq \mathbf{2}_{\perp} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \texttt{nat} \end{bmatrix} \triangleq \mathbb{N}_{\perp} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \tau \rightarrow \tau' \end{bmatrix} \triangleq \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow_{\mathsf{fs}} \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket$

supports the interpretation of terms for name-equality test, name-swapping and (Odersky-style) locally scoped names, va.e.

Local scoping example

[suggested by Tzevelekos]

 $egin{aligned} & \operatorname{F_1} & \triangleq \lambda q: (\operatorname{name} o \operatorname{bool}) o \operatorname{bool}. \
u a. q \operatorname{eq}_a \ & \operatorname{F_2} & \triangleq \lambda q: (\operatorname{name} o \operatorname{bool}) o \operatorname{bool}. \ q \operatorname{k_F} \end{aligned}$

are contextually equivalent PCFA terms of type

$$((\texttt{name} \rightarrow \texttt{bool}) \rightarrow \texttt{bool}) \rightarrow \texttt{bool}$$

where

$$\left\{ egin{array}{ll} \operatorname{eq}_a & \stackrel{ riangle}{=} \lambda x: ext{name. if } x = a ext{ then T else F} \ \operatorname{k_F} & \stackrel{ riangle}{=} \lambda x: ext{name. F} \end{array}
ight.$$

Local scoping example

[suggested by Tzevelekos]

 $egin{aligned} & \operatorname{F_1} & \triangleq \lambda q: (\operatorname{name} o \operatorname{bool}) o \operatorname{bool}. \
u a. q \operatorname{eq}_a \ & \operatorname{F_2} & \triangleq \lambda q: (\operatorname{name} o \operatorname{bool}) o \operatorname{bool}. \ q \operatorname{k_F} \end{aligned}$

are contextually equivalent PCFA terms of type

$$((\texttt{name} \rightarrow \texttt{bool}) \rightarrow \texttt{bool}) \rightarrow \texttt{bool}$$

where

but

$$\begin{cases} eq_a & \triangleq \lambda x : name. \text{ if } x = a \text{ then T else F} \\ k_F & \triangleq \lambda x : name. F \end{cases}$$
$$\llbracket F_1 \rrbracket \neq \llbracket F_2 \rrbracket, \text{ because } \begin{cases} \llbracket F_1 \rrbracket \text{ exists } = 1 \\ \llbracket F_2 \rrbracket \text{ exists } = 0 \end{cases}$$

Full abstraction for **PCFA**⁺

Plotkin's classic full abstraction result for PCF + por:

contextual preorder (operational) information order (denotational) $e \leq_{ctx} e' : \tau \Leftrightarrow [e] \sqsubset [e']$

Full abstraction for **PCFA**⁺

Plotkin's classic full abstraction result for **PCF** + por:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{contextual preorder (operational)} & \text{information order (denotational)} \\ e \leq_{\text{ctx}} e': \tau & \Leftrightarrow & \llbracket e \rrbracket \sqsubseteq \llbracket e' \rrbracket \end{array}$

Theorem. [Lösch & Pitts, POPL 2013] The NSD model is fully abstract for $PCFA^+ = PCFA$ extended with

the
$$f \triangleq \begin{cases} \text{unique } a \text{ s.t. } f a = 1, \text{ if it exists} \\ \bot, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

MFPS/LICS 2013

Full abstraction for **PCFA**⁺

Plotkin's classic full abstraction result for **PCF** + por:

Theorem. [Lösch & Pitts, POPL 2013] The NSD model is fully abstract for $PCFA^+ = PCFA$ extended with

Proof has novel aspects (use of retracts – thanks Dana).

Symmetric Scottery



Mathematics of group actions allows MFPS & LICS access to two related, interesting and useful notions of finiteness:

finite support and orbit-finiteness

Finite Support and Orbit-Finiteness

- Can make 'finite support' automatic by working in choice-free classical HOL/set theory.
- We understand 'orbit-finite' category-theoretically (= finitely presentable), but don't really understand its logical status: how to predict where to replace 'finite' by 'orbit-finite' in computation theory?
- Permutations of A (= name-inequality symmetry) is not the only group of interest – useful to consider automorphisms of various relational structures on A (linear orders, undirected graphs, ...).

See recent work of Bojańczyk et al.

► For much more on nominal sets, see...

Commercial break



Nominal Sets

Names and Symmetry in Computer Science

Cambridge Tracts in Theoretical Computer Science, Vol. 57 (CUP, 2013)