


Interpreting the significance of Android energy optimisation by collecting large-scale usage information

Andrew Rice
June-2011

Part 1: We want to know how much energy a particular *action* will consume


Part 2: We want to know if this is significant in real usage

Example: joining the wireless network consumes 6 Joules




HTC G1 (or Magic), Android 1.1, 194 trials

We measure energy consumption by intercepting the power supply





Trace of the G1 boot process




HTC G1 (or Magic), Android 1.1

Joining a wireless network



HTC G1 (or Magic), Android 1.1


Access point beacons correlate with spikes in the power trace



HTC G1 (or Magic), Android 1.1


Timestamped events from the phone must be aligned with the appropriate sample points

The synchronization information is embedded in power trace




HTC G1 (or Magic), Android 1.1

Hypothesise matching pulses





HTC G1 (or Magic), Android 1.1

Find alignment from autocorrelation with a hypothesised signal




HTC G1 (or Magic), Android 1.1

ARP probing wastes a lot of energy




HTC G1 (or Magic), Android 1.1

Remove the DHCP overhead by using static addressing



HTC G1 (or Magic), Android 1.1

Static addressing reduces the connection cost to 1.5 Joules




HTC G1 (or Magic), Android 1.1, Static = 143 trials, Dynamic = 194 trials

We could remove the ARP probes from our client implementation


RFC2131 “...the client SHOULD probe the newly received address, e.g., with ARP.”

RFC2119 – SHOULD “...there may exist valid reasons in particular circumstances to ignore a particular item”

Android 2.1 doesn't ARP probe in our tests



Dynamic addressing now costs 1.5J



Google N1, Android 2.1, 100 trials / HTC G1 (or Magic), Android 1.1, 194 trials


How much energy is 5 Joules?

- 5 seconds of talk time
- 8 minutes of standby time
- 3.5 minutes of idle wireless (the extra cost of having the wireless on is approx. 0.024W)

Knowing the connection cost helps with system design


- How long should the wireless stay active whilst idle?
 - 6J connection → 250 seconds idle cost
 - 1.5J connection → 62 seconds idle cost
- Is it worth forcing programmers to tell the system explicitly?

The distribution for the G1 phone splits into 3 parts




Google N1, Android 2.1, 100 trials / HTC G1 (or Magic), Android 1.1, 194 trials

The G1 histogram peaks are due to discontinuities in connection time




HTC G1 (or Magic), Android 1.1, Dynamic

Caused by power control in radio?




HTC G1 (or Magic), Android 1.1, Dynamic

This power control is evident when sending data too




Send 7K of data over TCP



Send 8K of data over TCP

This effect has a big impact on energy cost




HTC G1 (or Magic), Android 1.1, 1120 Trials (HTC Hero, Android 1.5 is the same)

N1 energy performance

Best case: same


Worst case: much better



Programmer should make a different choice depending on the platform


- Using a G1 => send 7k chunks
- Using a Nexus One => the larger the better
- We see unexpected behaviour in both graphs

Measure sending costs by sending UDP packets




Nexus One
Send 4 packets
384ms interval
Android 2.2

Measure sending costs by sending UDP packets




Nexus One
Send 4 packets
224ms interval
Android 2.2

Measure sending costs by sending UDP packets





Nexus One
Send 4 packets
128ms interval
Android 2.2

Measure sending costs by sending UDP packets




Nexus One
Send 4 packets
8ms interval
Android 2.2




Co-scheduling packets between applications would save energy

- (Some) Applications already wait for opportunistic use of the network
- Operating system / library support needed to do better

TCP additionally needs to receive packets – more complex



DTIM=1



DTIM=10

Its not clear whether its worth the effort to apply these optimisations

- Wifi connection – should we change the API to get more detail of an application's intent?
- Sending data – should we change the operating system to support packet level co-scheduling?
- Changes to API are costly
 - To implement
 - To migrate existing applications

We are attempting to build a substantive dataset of smart-phone use



PhD work by Daniel Wagner

We collect everything we could think of

Handset: on/off, OS version, device type

Screen: on/off, brightness

Storage: size/free/type

Telephony: ringer/mode/roaming/sigstrength/data

Tel events: calls/text/mms/data

Battery: charging/voltage/level

Wifi: connects/scans/data

Bluetooth: connects/scans/data

Apps: source/running/resource use

Some of these require polling

More features coming over the summer

Historical usage graphs and analysis

Comparison to rest of dataset

Badges (Four-square)

Recommend a mobile contract

So start collecting data now to populate your graphs...

We remove direct identifiers from trace

- Your contacts each get a unique pseudonym
- This doesn't give you anonymity
- You can assign a readable name for your use
- We will only release data which is at least 3 months old → you can opt out retroactively
- Pause functionality available

Current progress (5-Jun-2011)

- 855 devices have been activated and submitted data
- 1386 installations were not activated
- 20 devices have opted out
- Contributions from 78 countries
- Africa (11), Asia (136), Europe (314), North America (213), Oceania (15), South America (31)

Implementation lessons...

timestamps are not reliable

- Users manually change the time
 - Travelling, daylight saving
- Sometimes the OS reports invalid dates
 - e.g. after an update for some reason
- How do network corrections get applied?
- Solution: record phone uptime and insert real-time clock events to anchor it

Please install Device Analyzer
and/or
Please tell us if you have concerns

<http://deviceanalyzer.cl.cam.ac.uk>


Or search for Device Analyzer by dtg-android on the Android Market

Thanks to
Andy Hopper, Alastair Beresford
Simon Hay, Daniel Wagner
Google & Qualcomm

Computing for the Future of the Planet
<http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/research/dtg/planet>



2G consumes more idle power than 3G (in my office)




HTC G1 (or Magic) running Android 1.1

Bluetooth power consumption also shows this 'tail energy' effect


Assume that you want to make a connection to a known device

It has to listen periodically for you attempting to contact it

More frequent listening => quicker connection but more power



18 window
+
32 interval



18 window
+
18 interval

We can model fit these two modes as expected

