

9 Algorithms 2 (djw1005)

We are given a directed graph  $g$  with edge costs  $\geq 0$ , and we wish to find the distance between two given vertices  $s$  and  $t$ . Your friend has the idea that we should waste less time exploring irrelevant parts of the graph, and suggests the following procedure:

“Run the standard version of Dijkstra’s algorithm `dijkstra( $g, s$ )` starting at  $s$ ; and also run a variant `artskjid( $g, t$ )` that starts at  $t$  and finds distances to  $t$ . Interleave these two by visiting one vertex with `dijkstra`, then one with `artskjid`, then one with `dijkstra`, and so on; terminate when one of them visits a vertex  $m$  that the other has already visited. Let  $d = m.\text{distance}$  be the distance computed by `dijkstra`, and let  $e = m.\text{ecnatsid}$  be the distance computed by `artskjid`; and return  $d + e$ .”

- (a) Explain how to implement `artskjid( $g, t$ )` efficiently. What is the worst-case asymptotic running time of `artskjid`? [3 marks]
- (b) Does your friend’s procedure improve on the asymptotic worst-case time of simply running `dijkstra( $g, s$ )`? Justify your answer. [8 marks]
- (c) Your friend gives the following argument for correctness: “Since `dijkstra` visits vertices in order of increasing distance from  $s$ , and `artskjid` visits in order of increasing distance to  $t$ , the point where they meet must be on the shortest path from  $s$  to  $t$ .”

Your friend’s procedure can in fact give an incorrect answer. Demonstrate the problem with your friend’s reasoning. [9 marks]