

2 Artificial Intelligence (sbh11)

A *Boolean satisfiability problem* has four variables,  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$  and  $x_4$ . A literal  $l$  can be a variable or its negation, denoted  $\bar{l}$ . The formula of interest, in conjunctive normal form (CNF), is

$$f = (x_2 \vee \bar{x}_3) \wedge (\bar{x}_2 \vee x_3) \wedge (x_1 \vee x_2 \vee \bar{x}_4). \quad (1)$$

The aim is to find assignments to the variables such that  $f$  is true under the usual rules for Boolean operations. This question addresses the use of more general *constraint satisfaction* to solve this problem.

- (a) Give a general description of a *constraint satisfaction problem (CSP)*. [3 marks]
- (b) Explain how a Boolean satisfiability problem in CNF form and with  $n$  variables can be converted to a CSP, also having  $n$  variables and having a suitable constraint for each clause. Illustrate your answer using the 4-variable formula  $f$  in (1). [3 marks]
- (c) Explain, again using a constraint corresponding to a clause from (1), how general constraints can be converted to binary constraints. Provide a graph illustrating the problem from (1) after it has been converted to a CSP with only binary constraints. [4 marks]
- (d) Explain, how *forward checking* works in the context of a general CSP. How does this benefit a CSP solver? [3 marks]
- (e) Using the CSP equivalent you developed for (1), with only binary constraints, demonstrate how *forward checking* works using the sequence of assignments  $x_1 = F$ ,  $x_2 = F$ ,  $x_4 = T$ . [5 marks]
- (f) How would you expect the solution obtained when applying forward checking to be affected if constraints were allowed to propagate more widely? [2 marks]