

4 Computation Theory (AMP)

- (a) (i) What does it mean for a  $\lambda$ -term to be a  $\beta$ -normal form? Defining the sets of canonical ( $C$ ) and neutral ( $U$ )  $\lambda$ -terms by the grammar

$$\begin{aligned} C &::= \lambda x. C \mid U \\ U &::= x \mid UC \end{aligned}$$

show that a  $\lambda$ -term is a  $\beta$ -normal form if and only if it is canonical.

[5 marks]

- (ii) Carefully stating any standard properties of  $\beta$ -reduction, explain why a  $\lambda$ -term reduces to at most one  $\beta$ -normal form (up to  $\alpha$ -equivalence).

[4 marks]

- (iii) Give an example of a  $\lambda$ -term that does not reduce to any  $\beta$ -normal form.

[2 marks]

- (b) (i) Define what it means for a closed  $\lambda$ -term  $F$  to represent a partial function  $f \in \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ .

[4 marks]

- (ii) The composition of partial functions  $f, g \in \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is the partial function  $g \circ f = \{(x, z) \mid (\exists y) (x, y) \in f \wedge (y, z) \in g\} \in \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $F$  represents  $f$ ,  $G$  represents  $g$ , and  $f$  and  $g$  are totally defined. Show that  $\lambda x. G(Fx)$  represents  $g \circ f$ .

[2 marks]

- (iii) Give an example to show that  $\lambda x. G(Fx)$  need not represent  $g \circ f$  when  $f$  and  $g$  are not totally defined.

[3 marks]