

## 2007 Paper 5 Question 10

### Foundations of Functional Programming

(a) Writing as usual  $\rightarrow$  for 1-step reduction (i.e.  $\beta$ - $\eta$ -reduction with  $\alpha$ -conversion only used to avoid name clashes) and  $\twoheadrightarrow$  for its reflexive-transitive closure, indicate giving reasons (you may merely claim well-known results) whether the following statements are *always*, *never* or *sometimes* true of pure  $\lambda$ -terms  $L$ ,  $M$  and  $N$ .

(i) if  $M = N$  then  $M \twoheadrightarrow N$  or  $N \twoheadrightarrow M$ .

(ii) if  $M \rightarrow M$  then  $M$  is in normal form.

(iii) if  $L \rightarrow M$  and  $L \rightarrow N$  then there exists  $L'$  such that  $M \rightarrow L'$  and  $N \rightarrow L'$

(iv) if  $L \twoheadrightarrow M$  and  $L \twoheadrightarrow N$  then there exists  $L'$  such that  $M \twoheadrightarrow L'$  and  $N \twoheadrightarrow L'$

[2 marks each]

(b) Define  $\lambda$ -terms **if**, **true** and **false** that satisfy that **if true**  $M N = M$  and **if false**  $M N = N$ . [2 marks]

(c) Given your definitions in part (b) above, indicate giving reasons whether it is *always*, *never* or *sometimes* true that:

(i) **if true**  $M N \twoheadrightarrow_e M$  where  $\twoheadrightarrow_e$  represents eager evaluation

(ii) **if true**  $M N \twoheadrightarrow_\ell M$  where  $\twoheadrightarrow_\ell$  represents lazy evaluation

[3 marks each]

(d) Explain why the  $\beta$ -reduction rule tends not to be used literally for implementing functional programming languages, indicating *two* alternatives. [4 marks]