

## 2003 Paper 5 Question 7

### Artificial Intelligence I

The following Prolog relation appends a list  $A$  to a list  $B$  to give a list  $C$ .

```
append([],Y,Y).  
append([H|T],Y,[H|Z]) :- append(T,Y,Z).
```

- (a) Using the `append` relation, write a Prolog predicate `insert(X,Y,Z)` that is true if  $X$  can be inserted into a list  $Y$  to give a list  $Z$ . Your relation should be capable of using backtracking to generate all lists obtained from  $Y$  by inserting  $X$  at some point, using a query such as:

```
insert(c,[a,b],Z).
```

to obtain  $Z=[c,a,b]$ ,  $Z=[a,c,b]$ , and  $Z=[a,b,c]$  and it should generate each possibility exactly once. [5 marks]

- (b) Using the `insert` relation, write a Prolog predicate `perm(X,Y)` that is true if a list  $Y$  is a permutation of a list  $X$ . Your predicate should respond to a query such as

```
perm([a,b,c],Y)
```

by using backtracking to generate all permutations of the given list. [6 marks]

- (c) We have a list of events  $[e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n]$ . A partial order can be expressed in Prolog by stating

```
before(e3,e4).  
before(e1,e5).
```

and so on, where `before(a,b)` says that event  $a$  must happen before event  $b$  (although not necessarily immediately before). No ordering constraints are imposed other than those stated using `before`.

Given a list of events, a *linearisation* of the list is any ordering of its events for which none of the `before` constraints are broken. Given the example above and the list  $[e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5]$ , one valid linearisation would be  $[e_3, e_1, e_2, e_5, e_4]$ . However,  $[e_4, e_2, e_1, e_5, e_3]$  is not a valid linearisation because the first `before` constraint does not hold.

Using the `perm` predicate or otherwise, and assuming that your Prolog program contains `before` constraints in the format suggested above, write a Prolog predicate `po(X,Y)` that is true if  $Y$  is a valid linearisation of the events in the list  $X$ . Your relation should be capable of using backtracking to generate all valid linearisations as a result of a query of the form

```
po([e1,e2,e3,e4,e5],Y). [9 marks]
```