Specification and Verification I

Describe briefly the difference between *soundness* and *completeness* for a logic.

[4 marks]

Consider the following possible assignment axioms:

(a)
$$\{P\}\ V := E\ \{P[E/V]\}$$

(b)
$$\{P[E/V]\}\ V := E\ \{P\}$$

(c)
$$\{P\}\ V := E\ \{P\ \land\ V = E\}$$

$$(d) \ \{P \ \land \ V = E\} \ V := E \ \{P\}$$

For each of these *either* give a brief informal argument why it is sound, *or* give a counterexample. [8 marks]

If V is a variable, let V++ be a C-like expression that returns the value of V and then increments it. Describe why the simple Hoare assignment axiom is not valid if such expressions are allowed. [2 marks]

Consider a C-like operator += whose semantics is that V += E adds the value of E to V. Write down an axiom for such an assignment and informally justify its soundness. [2 marks]

Write down a sound axiom for a parallel assignment

$$V_1,\ldots,V_n:=E_1,\ldots,E_n$$

 $(E_1, \ldots, E_n \text{ are simultaneously assigned to } V_1, \ldots, V_n).$ [2 marks]

Is this equivalent to the sequence of single assignments

$$V_1 := E_1; \ldots; V_n := E_n?$$

Justify your answer. [2 marks]