Divisibility

a,b in tegers

a 1 b a divides b

Helf b = ka for an integer k.

Congruence

Fix a positive retural number m. For integers a and b,

 $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$

m (a-6)

for some & integer FA a-b=m.k

a = mk+6 for some k intiger.

Divisibility and congruence

Definition 13 Let d and n be integers. We say that d divides n, and write $d \mid n$, whenever there is an integer k such that $n = k \cdot d$.

Example 14 The statement 2 | 4 is true, while 4 | 2 is not.

Definition 15 Fix a positive integer m. For integers a and b, we say that a is congruent to b modulo m, and write $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$,

whenever $m \mid (a - b)$.

Example 16

1.
$$18 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$$

2.
$$2 \equiv -2 \pmod{4}$$

3.
$$18 \equiv -2 \pmod{4}$$

Proposition 17 For every integer n,

- 1. n is even if, and only if, $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, and
- 2. n is odd if, and only if, $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

Proof:

Universal quantification

Universal statements are of the form

for all individuals x of the universe of discourse, the property P(x) holds

or, in other words,

no matter what individual x in the universe of discourse one considers, the property P(x) for it holds

or, in symbols,

$$\forall x. P(x)$$

Example 18

- 2. For every positive real number χ , if χ is irrrational then so is $\sqrt{\chi}$.
- 3. For every integer n, we have that n is even iff so is n^2 .

The main proof strategy for universal statements:

To prove a goal of the form

$$\forall x. P(x)$$

let x stand for an arbitrary individual and prove P(x).

Proof pattern:

In order to prove that

$$\forall x. P(x)$$

1. Write: Let x be an arbitrary individual.

Warning: Make sure that the variable x is new (also referred to as fresh) in the proof! If for some reason the variable x is already being used in the proof to stand for something else, then you must use an unused variable, say y, to stand for the arbitrary individual, and prove P(y).

2. Show that P(x) holds.

Scratch work:

Before using the strategy

Assumptions

Goal

 $\forall x. P(x)$

i

After using the strategy

Assumptions

Goal

P(x) (for a new (or fresh) x)

•

The use of universal statements:

To use an assumption of the form $\forall x. P(x)$, you can plug in any value, say a, for x to conclude that P(a) is true and so further assume it.

This rule is called *universal instantiation*.

Proposition 19 Fix a positive integer m. For integers a and b, we have that $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ if, and only if, for all positive integers n, we have that $n \cdot a \equiv n \cdot b \pmod{n \cdot m}$.

PROOF: Let m be a fixed positive integer.

Let a and 5 fe arbitrary integers.

RTP: a=b(mod m) (>> + poo.mt. n. na=mb(mod nm)

(=) Assume + pos. intr. na=nb (mod nm)

RTP: $a = b \pmod{m}$ By instanbickion, $1.a = 1.b \pmod{1.m}$ So we are donker (=>) Assume a3b(mod m) (=> m | a-b (*) RTP: Ypss. int n. na = nb (mod nm) Let n be on orbitory pos. Int RTP: na = nb (mod nm) Equivalently, nm/(na-nb) From (*) and Lemma, we are die.

Ø

Lemma: ilj => kilkj.

Equality axioms

Just for the record, here are the axioms for *equality*.

Every individual is equal to itself.

$$\forall x. \ x = x$$

► For any pair of equal individuals, if a property holds for one of them then it also holds for the other one.

$$\forall x. \forall y. \ x = y \implies (P(x) \implies P(y))$$

NB From these axioms one may deduce the usual intuitive properties of equality, such as

$$\forall x. \forall y. x = y \implies y = x$$

and

$$\forall x. \forall y. \forall z. \ x = y \implies (y = z \implies x = z)$$
.

However, in practice, you will not be required to formally do so; rather you may just use the properties of equality that you are already familiar with.

Conjunction

Conjunctive statements are of the form

P and Q

or, in other words,

both P and also Q hold

or, in symbols,

 $P \wedge Q$

or

P & Q

The proof strategy for conjunction:

To prove a goal of the form

 $P \wedge Q$

first prove P and subsequently prove Q (or vice versa).

Proof pattern:

In order to prove

$$P \wedge Q$$

- 1. Write: Firstly, we prove P. and provide a proof of P.
- 2. Write: Secondly, we prove Q. and provide a proof of Q.

Scratch work:

Before using the strategy

Assumptions

Goal

 $P \wedge Q$

i

After using the strategy

Assumptions

Goal

Assumptions

Goal

(

•

The use of conjunctions:

To use an assumption of the form $P \wedge Q$, treat it as two separate assumptions: P and Q.

Theorem 20 For every integer n, we have that $6 \mid n$ iff $2 \mid n$ and $3 \mid n$.

PROOF: Let n be en erborkery integer. $6 \ln \implies (2 \ln \wedge 3 \ln)$ $= \frac{1}{a \cdot b \cdot b \cdot c} = a \cdot c$

(=>) Assume 6[n. PTP: 2/n 1 3/n

RTP: 2/n

Since 216 and by 23 suption 6/n Then by Lemma 2 n RTP:3/n

... analogous ...

 (\Leftarrow) $2|n \wedge 3|n \Rightarrow 6|n$ Assume: 21n n 31n; so 2/n and 2180 3/n RTP: 6 | n = 6k for some int. k. We have by 0, n=2i for on int i; and, by 2), n=3j for on int. 1). Then, 3n=6i and 2n=6j 60 n = 3n - 2n = 6i - 6j = 6(i-j)and we are done.

 $6(n \rightleftharpoons (2|n \land 3|n)$ (a.5) In (=) (aln n bln)

Is not generally true; a counter example is: 4/12/6/12 24/12 aln s In s CIn (abc)|n Exercisi: 30/n 2/n ~ 3/n ~ 8/n