Category Treony

Lecture 3

Category-theoretic properties

Any two isomorphic objects in a category should have the same category-theoretic properties – statements that are provable in a formal logic for category theory, whatever that is.

Instead of trying to formalize such a logic, we will just look at examples of category-theoretic properties.

Here is our first one...

Terminal object

An object T of a category \mathbb{C} is terminal if for all $X \in \mathbb{C}$, there is a unique \mathbb{C} -morphism from X to T, which we write as $\langle \cdot \rangle_X : X \to T$.

So we have $\begin{cases} \forall X \in \mathbb{C}, \ \langle \cdot \rangle_X \in \mathbb{C}(X,T) \\ \forall X \in \mathbb{C}, \ \forall f \in \mathbb{C}(X,T), \ f = \langle \cdot \rangle_X \end{cases}$ (So in particular, $\mathrm{id}_T = \langle \cdot \rangle_T$)

Sometimes we just write $\langle \rangle_X$ as $\langle \rangle$.

Some people write $!_X$ for $\langle \rangle_X$ – there is no commonly accepted notation; [Awodey] avoids using one.

Examples of terminal objects

- ► In <u>Set</u>: any one-element set.
- Any one-element set has a unique pre-order and this makes it terminal in Preord (and Poset)
- Any one-element set has a unique monoid structure and this makes it terminal in Mon.

Examples of terminal objects

- ► In <u>Set</u>: any one-element set.
- Any one-element set has a unique pre-order and this makes it terminal in Preord (and Poset)
- Any one-element set has a unique monoid structure and this makes it terminal in Mon.
- ► A pre-ordered set (P, \sqsubseteq) , regarded as a category $\underline{\mathbb{C}_P}$, has a terminal object iff it has a greatest element \top , that is: $\forall x \in P, x \sqsubseteq \top$
- ▶ When does a monoid (M, \cdot, e) , regarded as a category C_M , have a terminal object?

Terminal object

Theorem. In a category **C**:

- (a) If T is terminal and $T \cong T'$, then T' is terminal.
- (b) If T and T' are both terminal, then $T \cong T'$ (and there is only one isomorphism between T and T').

In summary: terminal objects are unique up to unique isomorphism.

Proof...

Terminal object

Theorem. In a category **C**:

- (a) If T is terminal and $T \cong T'$, then T' is terminal.
- (b) If T and T' are both terminal, then $T \cong T'$ (and there is only one isomorphism between T and T').

In summary: terminal objects are unique up to unique isomorphism.

Proof...

Notation: from now on, if a category C has a terminal object we will write that object as 1

Opposite of a category

Given a category C, its opposite category C^{op} is defined by interchanging the operations of dom and cod in C:

- ightharpoonup obj $C^{op} \triangleq obj C$
- $ightharpoonup C^{op}(X, Y) \triangleq C(Y, X)$, for all objects X and Y
- ▶ identity morphism on $X \in \text{obj } \mathbb{C}^{op}$ is $id_X \in \mathbb{C}(X,X) = \mathbb{C}^{op}(X,X)$
- ► composition in \mathbb{C}^{op} of $f \in \mathbb{C}^{op}(X, Y)$ and $g \in \mathbb{C}^{op}(Y, Z)$ is given by the composition $f \circ g \in \mathbb{C}(Z, X) = \mathbb{C}^{op}(X, Z)$ in \mathbb{C} (associativity and unity properties hold for this operation, because they do in \mathbb{C})

The Principle of Duality

Whenever one defines a concept / proves a theorem in terms of commutative diagrams in a category C, one obtains another concept / theorem, called its dual, by reversing the direction or morphisms throughout, that is, by replacing C by its opposite category C^{op}.

For example...

Initial object

is the dual notion to "terminal object":

An object 0 of a category \mathbb{C} is initial if for all $X \in \mathbb{C}$, there is a unique \mathbb{C} -morphism $0 \to X$, which we write as $[]_X : 0 \to X$.

So we have $\begin{cases} \forall X \in \mathbb{C}, \ []_X \in \mathbb{C}(0, X) \\ \forall X \in \mathbb{C}, \ \forall f \in \mathbb{C}(0, X), \ f = []_X \end{cases}$ (So in particular, $\mathrm{id}_0 = []_0$)

By duality, we have that initial objects are unique up to isomorphism and that any object isomorphic to an initial object is itself initial.

(N.B. "isomorphism" is a self-dual concept.)

Examples of initial objects

- The empty set is initial in Set.
- Any one-element set has a uniquely determined monoid structure and is initial in Mon. (why?)

So initial and terminal objects co-incide in Mon

An object that is both initial and terminal in a category is sometimes called a zero object.

► A pre-ordered set (P, \sqsubseteq) , regarded as a category \mathbb{C}_P , has an initial object iff it has a least element \bot , that is: $\forall x \in P, \bot \sqsubseteq x$

(relevant to automata and formal languages)

The free monoid on a set Σ is (List Σ , @, nil) where

```
List \Sigma = set of finite lists of elements of \Sigma
```

37

(a) = list concatenation

nil = empty list

(relevant to automata and formal languages)

```
The free monoid on a set \Sigma is (List \Sigma, @, nil) where
```

```
List \Sigma = set of finite lists of elements of \Sigma

\overline{\omega} = list concatenation

\overline{nil} = empty list
```

The function

```
\eta_{\Sigma} : \Sigma \longrightarrow \text{List} \Sigma
a \mapsto [a] = a :: \text{nil} \text{ (one-element list)}
```

has the following "universal property"...

(relevant to automata and formal languages)

Theorem. For any monoid (M,\cdot,e) and function $\underline{f}:\Sigma\to M$, there is a unique monoid morphism $\overline{f}\in \operatorname{Mon}((\operatorname{List}\Sigma,@,\operatorname{nil}),(M,\cdot,e))$ making $\Sigma \xrightarrow{\eta_\Sigma} \operatorname{List}\Sigma$ commute in Set.

Proof...

(relevant to automata and formal languages)

```
Theorem. \forall M \in \mathbf{Mon}, \forall f \in \mathbf{Set}(\Sigma, M), \exists ! \overline{f} \in \mathbf{Mon}(\mathtt{List}\,\Sigma, M), \ \overline{f} \circ \eta_{\Sigma} = f
```

The theorem just says that $\eta_{\Sigma} : \Sigma \to \text{List} \Sigma$ is an initial object in the category Σ/Mon :

- ▶ objects: $((M, \cdot, e), f)$ where $(M, \cdot, e) \in \text{obj Mon}$ and $f \in \text{Set}(\Sigma, M)$
- ► morphisms in $\Sigma/\text{Mon}(((M_1, \cdot_1, e_1), f_1), ((M_2, \cdot_2, e_2), f_2))$ are $f \in \text{Mon}((M_1, \cdot_1, e_1), (M_2, \cdot_2, e_2))$ such that $f \circ f_1 = f_2$
- ▶ identities and composition as in Mon

(relevant to automata and formal languages)

Theorem. $\forall M \in \mathbf{Mon}, \forall f \in \mathbf{Set}(\Sigma, M), \exists ! \overline{f} \in \mathbf{Mon}(\mathtt{List}\,\Sigma, M), \ \overline{f} \circ \eta_{\Sigma} = f$

The theorem just says that $\eta_{\Sigma}: \Sigma \to \text{List} \Sigma$ is an initial object in the category Σ/Mon :

So this "universal property" determines the monoid $\mathtt{List}\Sigma$ uniquely up to isomorphism in \mathtt{Mon} .

We will see later that $\Sigma \mapsto \mathtt{List} \Sigma$ is part of a functor (= morphism of categories) which is left adjoint to the "forgetful functor" $\mathtt{Mon} \to \mathtt{Set}$.

L3

37