

Lambda calculus

Notions of computability

- Church (1936): λ -calculus
- Turing (1936): Turing machines.

Turing showed that the two very different approaches determine the same class of computable functions. Hence:

Church-Turing Thesis. Every algorithm [in intuitive sense of Lect. 1] can be realized as a Turing machine.

Function Definitions

Notation for *function definitions* in mathematical discourse:

Function Definitions

Notation for *function definitions* in mathematical discourse:

Named:

“Let f be the function $f(x) = x^2 + x + 1 \dots [f] \dots$ ”

Function Definitions

Notation for *function definitions* in mathematical discourse:

Named:

“Let f be the function $f(x) = x^2 + x + 1 \dots [f] \dots$ ”

Anonymous:

“the function $x \mapsto x^2 + x + 1 \dots$ ”

Function Definitions

Notation for *function definitions* in mathematical discourse:

Named:

“Let f be the function $f(x) = x^2 + x + 1 \dots [f] \dots$ ”

Anonymous:

“the function $x \mapsto x^2 + x + 1 \dots$ ”

“the function $\lambda x. x^2 + x + 1 \dots$ ”

Function Definitions

Notation for *function definitions* in mathematical discourse:

Named:

“Let f be the function $f(x) = x^2 + x + 1 \dots [f] \dots$ ”

Anonymous:

“the function $x \mapsto x^2 + x + 1 \dots$ ”

“the function $\lambda x. x^2 + x + 1 \dots$ ”



Lambda notation

λ -Terms, M

are built up from a given, countable collection of

- variables x, y, z, \dots

by two operations for forming λ -terms:

- λ -abstraction: $(\lambda x.M)$
(where x is a variable and M is a λ -term)
- application: $(M M')$
(where M and M' are λ -terms).

λ -Terms, M

are built up from a given, countable collection of

- **variables** x, y, z, \dots

by two operations for forming λ -terms:

- **λ -abstraction**: $(\lambda x.M)$
(where x is a variable and M is a λ -term)
- **application**: $(M M')$
(where M and M' are λ -terms).

Some random examples of λ -terms:

$$x \quad (\lambda x.x) \quad ((\lambda y.(xy))x) \quad (\lambda y.((\lambda y.(xy))x))$$

λ -Terms, M

Notational conventions:

- $(\lambda x_1 x_2 \dots x_n. M)$ means $(\lambda x_1. (\lambda x_2 \dots (\lambda x_n. M) \dots))$
- $(M_1 M_2 \dots M_n)$ means $(\dots (M_1 M_2) \dots M_n)$ (i.e. application is left-associative)
- drop outermost parentheses and those enclosing the body of a λ -abstraction. E.g. write $(\lambda x. (x(\lambda y. (y x))))$ as $\lambda x. x(\lambda y. y x)$.
- $x \# M$ means that the variable x does not occur anywhere in the λ -term M .

Free and bound variables

In $\lambda x.M$, we call x the **bound variable** and M the **body** of the λ -abstraction.

An occurrence of x in a λ -term M is called

- **binding** if in between λ and $.$
(e.g. $(\lambda x.y x) x$)
- **bound** if in the body of a binding occurrence of x
(e.g. $(\lambda x.y x) x$)
- **free** if neither binding nor bound
(e.g. $(\lambda x.y x)x$).

Free and bound variables

Sets of **free** and **bound** variables:

$$\begin{aligned}FV(x) &= \{x\} \\FV(\lambda x.M) &= FV(M) - \{x\} \\FV(MN) &= FV(M) \cup FV(N) \\BV(x) &= \emptyset \\BV(\lambda x.M) &= BV(M) \cup \{x\} \\BV(MN) &= BV(M) \cup BV(N)\end{aligned}$$

If $FV(M) = \emptyset$, M is called a **closed term**, or **combinator**.

α -Equivalence $M =_{\alpha} M'$

$\lambda x.M$ is intended to represent the function f such that
 $f(x) = M$ for all x .

So the name of the bound variable is immaterial: if
 $M' = M\{x'/x\}$ is the result of taking M and changing all
occurrences of x to some variable $x' \notin M$, then $\lambda x.M$ and $\lambda x'.M'$
both represent the same function.

For example, $\lambda x.x$ and $\lambda y.y$ represent the same function (the
identity function).

α -Equivalence $M =_\alpha M'$

is the binary relation inductively generated by the rules:

$$\frac{}{x =_\alpha x}$$

$$\frac{z \# (M N) \quad M\{z/x\} =_\alpha N\{z/y\}}{\lambda x.M =_\alpha \lambda y.N}$$

$$\frac{M =_\alpha M' \quad N =_\alpha N'}{M N =_\alpha M' N'}$$

where $M\{z/x\}$ is M with all occurrences of x replaced by z .

α -Equivalence $M =_{\alpha} M'$

For example:

$$\lambda x. (\lambda x x'. x) x' =_{\alpha} \lambda y. (\lambda x x'. x) x'$$

because $(\lambda z x'. z) x' =_{\alpha} (\lambda x x'. x) x'$

because $\lambda z x'. z =_{\alpha} \lambda x x'. x$ and $x' =_{\alpha} x'$

because $\lambda x'. u =_{\alpha} \lambda x'. u$ and $x' =_{\alpha} x'$

because $u =_{\alpha} u$ and $x' =_{\alpha} x'$.

α -Equivalence $M =_{\alpha} M'$

Fact: $=_{\alpha}$ is an equivalence relation (reflexive, symmetric and transitive).

We do not care about the particular names of bound variables, just about the distinctions between them. So α -equivalence classes of λ -terms are more important than λ -terms themselves.

- Textbooks (**and these lectures**) suppress any notation for α -equivalence classes and refer to an equivalence class via a representative λ -term (look for phrases like “we identify terms up to α -equivalence” or “we work up to α -equivalence”).
- For implementations and computer-assisted reasoning, there are various devices for picking canonical representatives of α -equivalence classes (e.g. de Bruijn indexes, graphical representations, ...).

Substitution $N[M/x]$

$$x[M/x] = M$$

$$y[M/x] = y \quad \text{if } y \neq x$$

$$(\lambda y.N)[M/x] = \lambda y.N[M/x] \quad \text{if } y \# (M/x)$$

$$(N_1 N_2)[M/x] = N_1[M/x] N_2[M/x]$$

Substitution $N[M/x]$

$$\begin{aligned}x[M/x] &= M \\y[M/x] &= y \quad \text{if } y \neq x \\(\lambda y.N)[M/x] &= \lambda y.N[M/x] \quad \text{if } y \# (M x) \\(N_1 N_2)[M/x] &= N_1[M/x] N_2[M/x]\end{aligned}$$

Side-condition $y \# (M x)$ (y does not occur in M and $y \neq x$) makes substitution “capture-avoiding”.

E.g. if $x \neq y$

$$(\lambda y.x)[y/x] \neq \lambda y.y$$

Substitution $N[M/x]$

$$\begin{aligned}x[M/x] &= M \\y[M/x] &= y \quad \text{if } y \neq x \\(\lambda y.N)[M/x] &= \lambda y.N[M/x] \quad \text{if } y \# (Mx) \\(N_1 N_2)[M/x] &= N_1[M/x] N_2[M/x]\end{aligned}$$

Side-condition $y \# (Mx)$ (y does not occur in M and $y \neq x$) makes substitution “capture-avoiding”.

E.g. if $x \neq y \neq z \neq x$

$$(\lambda y.x)[y/x] =_{\alpha} (\lambda z.x)[y/x] = \lambda z.y$$

In fact $N \mapsto N[M/x]$ induces a totally defined function from the set of α -equivalence classes of λ -terms to itself.

β -Reduction

Recall that $\lambda x.M$ is intended to represent the function f such that $f(x) = M$ for all x . We can regard $\lambda x.M$ as a function on λ -terms via substitution: map each N to $M[N/x]$.

So the natural notion of computation for λ -terms is given by stepping from a

β -redex $(\lambda x.M)N$

to the corresponding

β -reduct $M[N/x]$

β -Reduction

One-step β -reduction, $M \rightarrow M'$:

$$\frac{}{(\lambda x.M)N \rightarrow M[N/x]}$$

$$\frac{M \rightarrow M'}{\lambda x.M \rightarrow \lambda x.M'}$$

$$\frac{M \rightarrow M'}{MN \rightarrow M'N}$$

$$\frac{M \rightarrow M'}{NM \rightarrow NM'}$$

$$\frac{N =_{\alpha} M \quad M \rightarrow M' \quad M' =_{\alpha} N'}{N \rightarrow N'}$$