Assignment I

Using predicate logic, write the truth-conditional semantic representations of the following sentences.

- London Tube drivers to strike over pay
- We don't want to go on strike
- Aslef is seeking a pay agreement with London Underground.
- No trade union can accept any pay proposal
- about 10,000 of its members were involved in the dispute.
- The cost of living is always important to our finances.
- the rise is likely to be $\pounds10.50$ to $\pounds628$ a month
- Someone receiving attendance allowance will see an increase of about $\pounds 1.85$ a week in April.
- Why the benefit rise could have been higher
- Many people face higher monthly repayments

London Tube drivers to strike over pay

- $\forall x (\texttt{driver_LT}(x) \rightarrow \texttt{strike_over_pay}(x))$
- $\forall x (\texttt{driver}_{\mathsf{LT}}(x) \to \exists y (\texttt{payment}(y) \land \texttt{strike}(x, y)))$
- $\forall x (\texttt{driver}(x) \land \texttt{LT_Union}(x) \rightarrow \exists y (\texttt{payment}(y) \land \texttt{strike}(x, y)))$

We don't want to go on strike

- $\forall x(we(x) \rightarrow \neg strike(x))$. \otimes
- Better: $\forall x (\texttt{we}(x) \rightarrow (\neg\texttt{want}(x, e) \land \texttt{strike}(e)))$
- Better: $\forall x (\texttt{we}(x) \rightarrow (\neg\texttt{want}(x, e_1) \land \texttt{continue}(e_1, e_2) \land \texttt{strike}(e_2)))$

How should we analyse we?

- Expressions like *we*, *here*, and *now* are often analyzed as indexicals or deictic expressions.
- The meaning of such expressions depends on the context.
- We need a way to formalise contextual parameters like Speaker, Addressee, Location and Time.

Aslef is seeking a pay agreement with London Underground.

- $seek(aslef, pay_agreement(e, aslef, LU))$
- $\exists x \dots \texttt{aslef}(x) \dots \odot$ Proper names

No trade union can accept any pay proposal

• key structure: $\forall x (pay_proposal(x) \dots \rightarrow \neg accept(\dots x \dots))$

about 10,000 of its members were involved in the dispute.

- $about_10,000(x,member(x),\iota y(dispute(y) \land involve(x,y)))$
- Generalised quantifier is a standard way to analyse numbers.
- We don't cover ι . You get full mark if you use \exists instead.

The cost of living is always important to our finances.

- $\forall x \forall y (\texttt{cost_of_living}(x) \land \texttt{finance}(y) \rightarrow \texttt{important}(x, y)$
- We don't cover modification over events, so the above anlaysis is OK. the rise is likely to be $\pounds10.50$ to $\pounds628$ a month
 - *likely* is similar to *always*.