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## Course logistics

## Rough syllabus:

- Introduction to probability: 1 lecture
- Discrete and continuous random variables: 6 lectures
- Moments and limit theorems: 3 lectures
- Applications/statistics: 2 lectures


## Recommended reading:

- Ross, S.M. (2014). A First course in probability. Pearson (9th ed.).
- Dekking, F.M., et. al. (2005) A modern introduction to probability and statistics. Springer.
- Bertsekas, D.P. \& Tsitsiklis, J.N. (2008). Introduction to probability. Athena Scientific.
- Grimmett, G. \& Welsh, D. (2014). Probability: an Introduction. Oxford University Press (2nd ed.).


## Why probability?

- Gives us mathematical tools to deal with uncertain events.
- It is used everywhere, especially in applications of machine learning.
- Machine learning: use probability to compute predictions about and from data.
- Probability is not statistics:
- Both about random processes.
- Probability: logically self-contained, few rules for computing, one correct answer.
- Statistics: messier, more art, get experimental data and try to draw probabilistic conclusions, no single correct answer.


## Applications of probability

## Ranking Websites



Matching


Finance


Data Mining


Deep Learning



Medicine


## Particle Processes



## Prerequisite background

- Set theory
- Counting: product rule, sum rule, inclusion-exclusion
- Combinatorics: permutations
- Probability space: sample space, event space
- Axioms
- Union bound
- Look for revision material of above on the course website:
https://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/teaching/2324/IntroProb/
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## Definition

## Conditional probability

Consider an experiment with sample space $S$, and two events $E$ and $F$. Then, the (conditional) probability of event $E$ given $F$ has occurred (denoted $\mathbf{P}[E \mid F]$ ) with $\mathbf{P}[F]>0$ is defined by

$$
\mathbf{P}[E \mid F]=\frac{\mathbf{P}[E \cap F]}{\mathbf{P}[F]}=\frac{\mathbf{P}[E F]}{\mathbf{P}[F]}
$$

Sample space: all possible outcomes consistent with $F$ (i.e., $S \cap F=F$ ) Event space: all outcomes in $E$ consistent with $F$ (i.e., $E \cap F$ ) Note: we assume that all outcomes are equally likely

$$
\mathbf{P}[E \mid F]=\frac{\# \text { outcomes in } E \cap F}{\# \text { outcomes in } F}=\frac{\frac{\# \text { outcomes in } E \cap F}{\# \text { outcomes in } S}}{\frac{\# \text { outcomes in } F}{\# \text { outcomes in } S}}=\frac{\mathbf{P}[E \cap F]}{\mathbf{P}[F]}
$$

## Example

## Example

Two dice are rolled yielding value $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$. Let $E$ be event that $D_{1}+D_{2}=4$.

1. What is $\mathbf{P}[E]$ ?
2. Let event $F$ be $D_{1}=2$. What is $\mathbf{P}[E \mid F]$ ?

## Rules revisited

Chain rule
Rearranging the definition of conditional probability gives us:

$$
\mathbf{P}[E F]=\mathbf{P}[E \mid F] \mathbf{P}[F]
$$

Generalisation of the Chain rule:
Multiplication rule

$$
\mathbf{P}\left[E_{1} E_{2} \cdots E_{n}\right]=\mathbf{P}\left[E_{1}\right] \mathbf{P}\left[E_{2} \mid E_{1}\right] \mathbf{P}\left[E_{3} \mid E_{2} E_{1}\right] \cdots \mathbf{P}\left[E_{n} \mid E_{1} \cdots E_{n-1}\right]
$$

## Example

## Example

An ordinary deck of 52 playing cards is randomly divided into 4 piles of 13 cards each. What is the probability that each pile has exactly 1 ace?

Define:
$E_{1}=a c e$ is in any one pile
$E_{2}=a c e$ and ace $\downarrow$ are in different piles
$E_{3}=$ ace , ace and ace $\$$ are in different piles
$E_{4}=$ all aces are in different piles

$$
\mathbf{P}\left[E_{1} E_{2} E_{3} E_{4}\right]=\mathbf{P}\left[E_{1}\right] \mathbf{P}\left[E_{2} \mid E_{1}\right] \mathbf{P}\left[E_{3} \mid E_{1} E_{2}\right] \mathbf{P}\left[E_{4} \mid E_{1} E_{2} E_{3}\right]
$$

We have $\mathbf{P}\left[E_{1}\right]=1$. For rest we consider complement of next ace being in the same pile and thus have:
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## Law of total probability

The law of total probability (a.k.a. Partition theorem)
For events $E$ and $F$ where $\mathbf{P}[F]>0$, then for any event $E$

$$
\mathbf{P}[E]=\mathbf{P}[E F]+\mathbf{P}\left[E F^{c}\right]=\mathbf{P}[E \mid F] \mathbf{P}[F]+\mathbf{P}\left[E \mid F^{c}\right] \mathbf{P}\left[F^{c}\right]
$$

In general, for disjoint events $F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots F_{n}$ s.t. $F_{1} \cup F_{2} \cup \cdots \cup F_{n}=S$,

$$
\mathbf{P}[E]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{P}\left[E \mid F_{i}\right] \mathbf{P}\left[F_{i}\right]
$$

Intuition:
Want to know probability of $E$. There are two scenarios, $F$ and $F^{c}$. If we know these and the probability of $E$ conditioned on each scenario, we can compute the probability of $E$.

## Lightbulb example

## Example

There are 3 boxes each containing a different number of light bulbs.
The first box has 10 bulbs of which 4 are dead, the second has 6 bulbs of which 1 is dead, and the third box has 8 bulbs of which 3 are dead. What is the probability of a dead bulb being selected when a bulb is chosen at random from one of the 3 boxes (each box has equal chance of being picked)?

Let event $E=$ "dead bulb is picked", and $F_{1}=$ "bulb is picked from first box", $F_{2}=$ "bulb is picked from second box" and $F_{3}=$ "bulb is picked from third box". We know:

$$
\mathbf{P}\left[E \mid F_{1}\right]=\frac{4}{10}, \mathbf{P}\left[E \mid F_{2}\right]=\frac{1}{6}, \mathbf{P}\left[E \mid F_{3}\right]=\frac{3}{8}
$$

We need to compute $\mathbf{P}[E]$, and we know that $\mathbf{P}\left[F_{i}\right]=\frac{1}{3}$ :

## Bayes' theorem

How many spam emails contain the word "Dear"?

$$
\mathbf{P}[E \mid F]=\mathbf{P}[\text { "Dear"|spam }]
$$

But how about what is the probability that an email containing "Dear" is spam?

$$
\mathbf{P}[F \mid E]=\mathbf{P}[\text { spam|"Dear" }]
$$

## Bayes' theorem

For any events $E$ and $F$ where $\mathbf{P}[E]>0$ and $\mathbf{P}[F]>0$,

$$
\mathbf{P}[F \mid E]=\frac{\mathbf{P}[E \mid F] \mathbf{P}[F]}{\mathbf{P}[E]}
$$

and in expanded form,

$$
\mathbf{P}[F \mid E]=\frac{\mathbf{P}[E \mid F] \mathbf{P}[F]}{\mathbf{P}[E \mid F] \mathbf{P}[F]+\mathbf{P}\left[E \mid F^{c}\right] \mathbf{P}\left[F^{c}\right]}=\frac{\mathbf{P}[E \mid F] \mathbf{P}[F]}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{P}\left[E \mid F_{i}\right] \mathbf{P}\left[F_{i}\right]}
$$

using the Law of Total Probability. Note that all events $F_{i}$ must be mutually exclusive (non-overlapping) and exhaustive (their union is the complete sample space).

## Example

## Example

$60 \%$ of all email in 2022 is spam. 20\% of spam contains the word "Dear". 1\% of non-spam contains the word "Dear". What is the probability that an email is spam given it contains the word "Dear"?

Answer

- Let event $E=$ "Dear", event $F=$ spam.

Bayes' terminology

$F$ : hypothesis, $E$ : evidence
$\mathbf{P}[F]$ : "prior probability" of hypothesis
$\mathbf{P}[E \mid F]$ : probability of evidence given hypothesis (likelihood)
$\mathbf{P}[E]$ : calculated by making sure that probabilities of all outcomes sum to 1 (they are "normalised")

## Confusion matrix (error matrix)

Used in classification tasks for predicting output error.

|  |  | True condition |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total population | Condition positive F | Condition negative $F^{c}$ |
|  | Predicted condition positive $E$ | True positive $\mathbf{P}[E \mid F]$ | False positive $\mathbf{P}\left[E \mid F^{c}\right]$ |
|  | Predicted condition negative $E^{c}$ | False negative $\mathbf{P}\left[E^{c} \mid F\right]$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { True negative } \\ & \mathbf{P}\left[E^{c} \mid F^{c}\right] \end{aligned}$ |

## Medical testing example

## Example

- A test is $98 \%$ effective at detecting the disease COVID-19 ("true positive").
- The test has a "false positive" rate of $1 \%$.
- 0.5\% of the population has COVID-19.
- What is the likelihood you have COVID-19 if you test positive?
- Let $E$ : test positive, $F$ : actually have COVID-19.
- Need to find $\mathbf{P}[F \mid E]$.


## Bayesian intuition

- $33 \%$ chance of having COVID-19 after testing positive may seem surprising.
- But the space of facts is now conditioned on a positive test result (people who test positive and have COVID-19 and people who test positive and don't have COVID-19).

|  | $F$ yes disease | $F^{C}$ no disease |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E$ test+ | True positive | False positive |
|  | $\mathbf{P}[E \mid F]=0.98$ | $\mathbf{P}\left[E \mid F^{C}\right]=0.01$ |
| $E^{c}$ test- | False negative | True negative |
|  | $\mathbf{P}\left[E^{C} \mid F\right]=0.02$ | $\mathbf{P}\left[E^{C} \mid F^{C}\right]=0.99$ |

- But what is a chance of having COVID-19 if you test and it comes back negative?

$$
\mathbf{P}\left[F \mid E^{c}\right]=\frac{\mathbf{P}\left[E^{c} \mid F\right] \mathbf{P}[F]}{\mathbf{P}\left[E^{c} \mid F\right] \mathbf{P}[F]+\mathbf{P}\left[E^{c} \mid F^{c}\right] \mathbf{P}\left[F^{c}\right]} \approx 0.0001
$$

- We update our beliefs with Bayes' theorem:

I have $0.5 \%$ chance of having COVID-19. I take the test:

- Test is positive: I now have 33\% chance of having COVID-19.
- Test is negative: I now have $0.01 \%$ chance of having COVID-19.
- So it makes sense to take the test.
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## Independent events

## Independence

Two events $E$ and $F$ are independent if and only if

$$
\mathbf{P}[E F]=\mathbf{P}[E] \mathbf{P}[F]
$$

Otherwise, they are called dependent events.
In general, $n$ events $E_{1}, E_{2}, \ldots, E_{n}$ are mutually independent if for every subset of these events with $r$ elements (where $r \leq n$ ) it holds that

$$
\mathbf{P}\left[E_{a} E_{b} \cdots E_{r}\right]=\mathbf{P}\left[E_{a}\right] \mathbf{P}\left[E_{b}\right] \cdots \mathbf{P}\left[E_{r}\right]
$$

Therefore for 3 events $E, F, G$ to be independent, we must have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}[E F G] & =\mathbf{P}[E] \mathbf{P}[F] \mathbf{P}[G] \\
\mathbf{P}[E F] & =\mathbf{P}[E] \mathbf{P}[F] \\
\mathbf{P}[E G] & =\mathbf{P}[E] \mathbf{P}[G] \\
\mathbf{P}[F G] & =\mathbf{P}[F] \mathbf{P}[G]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Independence of complement

Notice an equivalent definition for independent events $E$ and $F(\mathbf{P}[F]>0)$

$$
\mathbf{P}[E \mid F]=\mathbf{P}[E]
$$

Proof:

Independence of complement
If events $E$ and $F$ are independent, then $E$ and $F^{c}$ are independent:

$$
\mathbf{P}\left[E F^{c}\right]=\mathbf{P}[E] \mathbf{P}\left[F^{c}\right]
$$

Proof:

## Example

## Example

Each roll of a die is an independent trial. We have two rolls of $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$. Let event $E: D_{1}=1, F: D_{2}=6$ and event $G: D_{1}+D_{2}=7$ (thus $G=\{(1,6),(2,5),(3,4),(4,3),(5,2),(6,1)\})$.

1. Are $E$ and $F$ independent?
2. Are $E$ and $G$ independent?
3. Are $E, F, G$ independent?

## Conditional independence

## Conditional independence

Two events $E$ and $F$ are called conditionally independent given a third event $G$ if

$$
\mathbf{P}[E F \mid G]=\mathbf{P}[E \mid G] \mathbf{P}[F \mid G]
$$

Or equivalently,

$$
\mathbf{P}[E \mid F G]=\mathbf{P}[E \mid G]
$$

Notice that:

- Dependent events can become conditionally independent.
- Independent events can become conditionally dependent.
- Knowing when conditioning breaks or creates independence is a big part of building complex probabilistic models.


## Example revisited

## Example

Each roll of a die is an independent trial. We have two rolls of $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$. Let event $E: D_{1}=1, F: D_{2}=6$ and event $G: D_{1}+D_{2}=7$ (thus $G=\{(1,6),(2,5),(3,4),(4,3),(5,2),(6,1)\})$.

1. Are $E$ and $F$ independent?
2. Are $E$ and $F$ independent given $G$ ?

## Summary of conditional probability

Conditioning on event $G$ :

| Name of rule | Original rule | Conditional rule |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1st axiom of probability | $0 \leq \mathbf{P}[E] \leq 1$ | $0 \leq \mathbf{P}[E \mid G] \leq 1$ |
| Complement | $\mathbf{P}[E]=1-\mathbf{P}\left[E^{c}\right]$ | $\mathbf{P}[E \mid G]=1-\mathbf{P}\left[E^{c} \mid G\right]$ |
| Chain rule | $\mathbf{P}[E F]=\mathbf{P}[E \mid F] \mathbf{P}[F]$ | $\mathbf{P}[E F \mid G]=\mathbf{P}[E \mid F G] \mathbf{P}[F \mid G]$ |
| Bayes' theorem | $\mathbf{P}[F \mid E]=\frac{\mathbf{P}[E \mid F] \mathbf{P}[F]}{\mathbf{P}[E]}$ | $\mathbf{P}[F \mid E G]=\frac{\mathbf{P}[E \mid F G] \mathbf{P}[F \mid G]}{\mathbf{P}[E \mid G]}$ |

