A little arithmetic

Lemma 27 For all positive integers p and natural numbers m, if m = 0 or m = p then $\binom{p}{m} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

PROOF: Let p be a pos. Int. and in a not. imber

Assume $m=0 \vee m=p$ RTP: $(P)=1 \pmod{p}$ $C_m = (p)=\frac{q!}{m!(p-m)!}$

Consider m=0. Then $\binom{p}{0} = 1$ and we seedone. Consider m=p. Then $\binom{p}{p} = 1$ and we are done.

Lemma 28 For all integers p and m, if p is prime and 0 < m < pthen $\binom{\mathfrak{p}}{\mathfrak{m}} \equiv \mathfrak{0} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$.

PROOF: Let pand us be integers.

Assume p prime and o < m < p.

PTP: (P) = 0 (nwd p)

 $\binom{P}{m} = \frac{P!}{m!(p-m)!}$

Then

en m!(p-m)!(p) = p.(p-1)! is a natural number

So p divides m!(p-m)!.(m). And p does not direde m!(p-m)! Therefore it divides (m).

WB: The argument hinger on the prime factorization theorem and P1(a.b) => (P1a or P1b) So that (P1(a.b)) and $P1a) \Rightarrow P1b$.

Proposition 29 For all prime numbers p and integers $0 \le m \le p$, either $\binom{p}{m} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ or $\binom{p}{m} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

PROOF: Let p be a prime and m on integer 05 m Sp.

Cose m=0 or m=p: Then $\binom{p}{m}=1$ (mod p)

Case O < m < p: Then $\binom{p}{m} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Therefore either $\binom{p}{m} \equiv 0$ or $\binom{p}{m} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$

Newton's Binomal Formula

$$(x+y)^{n} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} {n \choose i} x^{i} y^{n-i}$$
Say n is a prime, what's
$$(x+y)^{n} \equiv {n \choose i} y^{n} + {n \choose n} x^{n} \pmod{n}$$

$$\equiv y^{n} + x^{n}.$$

A little more arithmetic

Corollary 33 (The Freshman's Dream) For all natural numbers m, n and primes p,

$$(m+n)^p \equiv m^p + n^p \pmod{p}.$$
PROOF: Let m and n be natural numbers, and p
be a prime. Then
$$(m+n)^p = \sum_{i=0}^p \binom{p}{i} \text{ min } n^{p-i} = m^p + n^p + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{i} \text{ min } n^{p-i}$$
Since $\binom{p}{i} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $0 < i < p$. Then, we are

NB: $a=b \pmod{m}$ $x=y \pmod{m}$ $= x = b + y \pmod{m}$ $= x = b + y \pmod{m}$ $= x = b + y \pmod{m}$ Corollary 34 (The Dropout Lemma) For all natural numbers m and primes p,

$$(m+1)^p \equiv m^p + 1 \pmod{p}.$$

Proposition 35 (The Many Dropout Lemma) For all natural numbers m and i, and primes p,

PROOF: Let
$$m$$
 and i be natural numbers, and p be a prime. Consider
$$(m+i)^p = (m+1+1+\cdots+1)^p = (m+1+\cdots+1)^p + 1$$

$$= (m+1+1+\cdots+1)^p + 1+1$$

$$= (m+1+1+\cdots+1)^p + 1+1$$

$$= \cdots = (m+1+1+\cdots+1)^p + 1+\cdots+1$$

$$= (m+1+1+\cdots+1)^p + 1+1$$

$$= \cdots = (m+1+1+\cdots+1)^p + 1+\cdots+1$$

$$= (m+1+\cdots+1)^p + 1+\cdots+1$$

$$=$$

For k=i, we have $(m+i)^p \equiv m^p + i \pmod{p}$. For m=0, Then $i^p = i \pmod{p}$ $(iP_{-}i) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ p divides $i(i^{p-1}-1)$ From which we have p divides $(i^{p-1}-1)$ when are p does not divide i. Thus.

$$i^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

i p-1 = 1 (mrd p) whenever p does not direct i.

Then
$$i.(iP^{-2}) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

nhenerer i \$ 0 (mdp).

So modulo p, i p-2 is a reciprocal of i for $i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Fermat's Little Theorem

The Many Dropout Lemma (Proposition 35) gives the first part of the following very important theorem as a corollary.

Theorem 36 (Fermat's Little Theorem) For all natural numbers i and primes p,

- 1. $i^p \equiv i \pmod{p}$, and
- 2. $i^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ whenever i is not a multiple of p.

The fact that the first part of Fermat's Little Theorem implies the second one will be proved later on .

Every natural number i not a multiple of a prime number p has a *reciprocal* modulo p, namely i^{p-2} , as $i \cdot (i^{p-2}) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Btw

- 1. Fermat's Little Theorem has applications to:
 - (a) primality testing^a,
 - (b) the verification of floating-point algorithms, and
 - (c) cryptographic security.

^aFor instance, to establish that a positive integer m is not prime one may proceed to find an integer i such that $i^m \not\equiv i \pmod{m}$.

Negation

Negations are statements of the form

not P

or, in other words,

P is not the case

or

P is absurd

or

P leads to contradiction

or, in symbols,

A first proof strategy for negated goals and assumptions:

If possible, reexpress the negation in an *equivalent* form and use instead this other statement.

Logical equivalences

Theorem 37 For all statements P and Q,

Proof by contradiction

Amongst the equivalences for negation, we have postulated the somewhat controversial:

$$\neg \neg P \iff P$$

which is *classically* accepted.

In this light,

to prove P

one may equivalently

prove $\neg P \implies false$;

that is,

assuming ¬P leads to contradiction.

This technique is known as *proof by contradiction*.

The strategy for proof by contradiction:

To prove a goal P by contradiction is to prove the equivalent statement $\neg P \implies false$

Proof pattern:

In order to prove

P

- 1. Write: We use proof by contradiction. So, suppose P is false.
- 2. Deduce a logical contradiction.
- 3. Write: This is a contradiction. Therefore, P must be true.

Scratch work:

Before using the strategy

Assumptions

Goal

P

•

After using the strategy

Assumptions

Goal

contradiction

i

 $\neg P$

Theorem 39 For all statements P and Q,

$$(\neg Q \implies \neg P) \implies (P \implies Q)$$
.

PROOF: Let P and Q be state ments.

Assume 1 Q=7P

Assume 2 P

We proceed by contradiction Assume: 7 Q

RTP: folse By 940, we have TP. And 2 & F is a contradiction

Proof by contrapositive

Corollary 40 For all statements P and Q,

$$(P \Longrightarrow Q) \iff (\neg Q \Longrightarrow \neg P)$$
.

Btw Using the above equivalence to prove an implication is known as *proof by contrapositive*.

Corollary 41 For every positive irrational number x, the real number \sqrt{x} is irrational.