

**University of Cambridge**  
**2022/23 Part II / Part III / MPhil ACS**  
***Category Theory***  
**Exercise Sheet 2**  
**by Andrew Pitts**

1. Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be a category with binary products.

(a) For morphisms  $f \in \mathbf{C}(X, Y)$ ,  $g_1 \in \mathbf{C}(Y, Z_1)$  and  $g_2 \in \mathbf{C}(Y, Z_2)$ , show that

$$\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle \circ f = \langle g_1 \circ f, g_2 \circ f \rangle \in \mathbf{C}(X, Z_1 \times Z_2) \quad (1)$$

(b) For morphisms  $f_1 \in \mathbf{C}(X_1, Y_1)$  and  $f_2 \in \mathbf{C}(X_2, Y_2)$ , define

$$f_1 \times f_2 \triangleq \langle f_1 \circ \pi_1, f_2 \circ \pi_2 \rangle \in \mathbf{C}(X_1 \times X_2, Y_1 \times Y_2) \quad (2)$$

For any  $g_1 \in \mathbf{C}(Z, X_1)$  and  $g_2 \in \mathbf{C}(Z, X_2)$ , show that

$$(f_1 \times f_2) \circ \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle = \langle f_1 \circ g_1, f_2 \circ g_2 \rangle \in \mathbf{C}(Z, Y_1 \times Y_2) \quad (3)$$

(c) Show that the operation  $f_1, f_2 \mapsto f_1 \times f_2$  defined in part (1b) satisfies

$$(h_1 \times h_2) \circ (k_1 \times k_2) = (h_1 \circ k_1) \times (h_2 \circ k_2) \quad (4)$$

$$\text{id}_X \times \text{id}_Y = \text{id}_{X \times Y} \quad (5)$$

2. Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be a category with binary products  $\times$  and a terminal object  $1$ . Given objects  $X, Y, Z \in \mathbf{C}$ , construct isomorphisms

$$\alpha_{X,Y,Z} : X \times (Y \times Z) \cong (X \times Y) \times Z \quad (6)$$

$$\lambda_X : 1 \times X \cong X \quad (7)$$

$$\rho_X : X \times 1 \cong X \quad (8)$$

$$\tau_{X,Y} : X \times Y \cong Y \times X \quad (9)$$

3. A *pairing* for a monoid  $(M, \cdot, e)$  consists of elements  $p_1, p_2 \in M$  and a binary operation  $\langle \_, \_ \rangle : M \times M \rightarrow M$  satisfying for all  $x, y, z \in M$

$$p_1 \cdot \langle x, y \rangle = x \quad (10)$$

$$p_2 \cdot \langle x, y \rangle = y \quad (11)$$

$$\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle = e \quad (12)$$

$$\langle x, y \rangle \cdot z = \langle x \cdot z, y \cdot z \rangle \quad (13)$$

Given such a pairing, show that the monoid, when regarded as a one-object category, has binary products.

4. A monoid  $(M, \cdot_M, e_M)$  is said to be *abelian* if its multiplication is commutative:  $(\forall x, y \in M) x \cdot_M y = y \cdot_M x$ .

- (a) If  $(M, \cdot_M, e_M)$  is an abelian monoid, show that the functions  $m \in \mathbf{Set}(M \times M, M)$  and  $u \in \mathbf{Set}(1, M)$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} m(x, y) &= x \cdot_M y & (\text{all } x, y \in M) \\ u(0) &= e_M \end{aligned}$$

determine morphisms in the category  $\mathbf{Mon}$  of monoids,  $m \in \mathbf{Mon}(M \times M, M)$  and  $u \in \mathbf{Mon}(1, M)$  (where as usual we just write  $M$  for the monoid  $(M, \cdot_M, e_M)$  and  $1$  for the terminal monoid  $(1, \cdot_1, e_1)$  with  $1$  a one-element set,  $\{0\}$  say,  $0 \cdot_1 0 = 0$  and  $e_1 = 0$ ).

Show further that  $m$  and  $u$  make the monoid  $M$  into a “monoid object in the category  $\mathbf{Mon}$ ”, in the sense that the following diagrams in  $\mathbf{Mon}$  commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (M \times M) \times M & \xrightarrow{m \times \text{id}} & M \times M \xrightarrow{m} M \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \text{id} \quad (\text{associativity}) \\ \langle \pi_1 \circ \pi_1, \langle \pi_2 \circ \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle \rangle & & \\ M \times (M \times M) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times m} & M \times M \xrightarrow{m} M \end{array} \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 \times M & \xrightarrow{u \times \text{id}} & M \times M \xrightarrow{m} M \\ \pi_2 \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \text{id} \quad (\text{left unit}) \\ M & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & M \end{array} \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times 1 & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times u} & M \times M \xrightarrow{m} M \\ \pi_1 \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \text{id} \quad (\text{right unit}) \\ M & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & M \end{array} \quad (16)$$

- (b) Show that every monoid object in the category  $\mathbf{Mon}$  (in the above sense) arises as in (4a). [Hint: if necessary, search the internet for “Eckmann-Hilton argument”].

5. Let  $\mathbf{AbMon}$  be the category whose objects are abelian monoids (question 4) and whose morphisms, identity morphisms and composition are as in  $\mathbf{Mon}$ .

- (a) Show that the product in  $\mathbf{Mon}$  of two abelian monoids gives their product in  $\mathbf{AbMon}$ .  
 (b) Given  $M, N \in \mathbf{AbMon}$  define morphisms  $i \in \mathbf{AbMon}(M, M \times N)$  and  $j \in \mathbf{AbMon}(N, M \times N)$  that make  $M \times N$  into a *coproduct* in  $\mathbf{AbMon}$ .

6. The category  $\mathbf{Set}^\omega$  of ‘sets evolving through discrete time’ is defined as follows:

- Objects are triples  $(X, (-)^+, |-|)$ , where  $X \in \mathbf{Set}$ ,  $(-)^+ \in \mathbf{Set}(X, X)$  and  $|-| \in \mathbf{Set}(X, \mathbb{N})$  satisfy for all  $x \in X$

$$|x^+| = |x| + 1 \quad (17)$$

[Think of  $|x|$  as the instant of time at which  $x$  exists and  $x \mapsto x^+$  as saying how an element evolves from one instant to the next.]

- Morphisms  $f : (X, (-)^+, |-|) \rightarrow (Y, (-)^+, |-|)$  are functions  $f \in \mathbf{Set}(X, Y)$  satisfying for all  $x \in X$

$$(f x)^+ = f(x^+) \quad (18)$$

$$|f x| = |x| \quad (19)$$

- Composition and identities are as in the category **Set**.

Show that  $\mathbf{Set}^{\omega}$  has a terminal object and binary products.

7. Show that the category **PreOrd** of pre-ordered sets and monotone functions is a cartesian closed category.

**University of Cambridge**  
**2022/23 Part II / Part III / MPhil ACS**  
**Category Theory**  
**Exercise Sheet 2 – Solution Notes**  
**by Andrew Pitts**

**Question 1**

(a) For  $i = 1, 2$  we have  $\pi_i \circ (\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle \circ f) = (\pi_i \circ \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle) \circ f = g_i \circ f = \pi_i \circ \langle g_1 \circ f, g_2 \circ f \rangle$  and hence by the uniqueness part of the universal property for the product  $Z_1 \times Z_2$ , it is the case that  $\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle \circ f = \langle g_1 \circ f, g_2 \circ f \rangle$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(b)} \quad (f_1 \times f_2) \circ \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle &\triangleq \langle f_1 \circ \pi_1, f_2 \circ \pi_2 \rangle \circ \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle (f_1 \circ \pi_1) \circ \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle, (f_2 \circ \pi_2) \circ \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle \rangle \quad \text{(by part (a))} \\ &= \langle f_1 \circ (\pi_1 \circ \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle), f_2 \circ (\pi_2 \circ \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle) \rangle \\ &= \langle f_1 \circ g_1, f_2 \circ g_2 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(c)} \quad (h_1 \times h_2) \circ (k_1 \times k_2) &\triangleq (h_1 \times h_2) \circ \langle k_1 \circ \pi_1, k_2 \circ \pi_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle h_1 \circ (k_1 \circ \pi_1), h_2 \circ (k_2 \circ \pi_2) \rangle \quad \text{(by part (b))} \\ &= \langle (h_1 \circ k_1) \circ \pi_1, (h_2 \circ k_2) \circ \pi_2 \rangle \\ &\triangleq (h_1 \circ k_1) \times (h_2 \circ k_2) \end{aligned}$$

For the second identity, note that  $\text{id}_X \times \text{id}_Y \triangleq \langle \text{id}_X \circ \pi_1, \text{id}_Y \circ \pi_2 \rangle = \langle \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle$ . Since  $\pi_i \circ \text{id}_{X \times Y} = \pi_i = \pi_i \circ \langle \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle$ , by the uniqueness part of the universal property for the product  $X \times Y$ , we have  $\text{id}_{X \times Y} = \langle \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle$ . Therefore  $\text{id}_X \times \text{id}_Y = \langle \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle = \text{id}_{X \times Y}$ .

**Question 2** Define

$$\begin{array}{ll} \alpha_{X,Y,Z} \triangleq \langle \text{id}_X \times \pi_1, \pi_2 \circ \pi_2 \rangle & \alpha_{X,Y,Z}^{-1} \triangleq \langle \pi_1 \circ \pi_1, \pi_2 \times \text{id}_Z \rangle \\ \lambda_X \triangleq \pi_2 & \lambda_X^{-1} \triangleq \langle \langle \rangle_X, \text{id}_X \rangle \\ \rho_X \triangleq \pi_1 & \rho_X^{-1} \triangleq \langle \text{id}_X, \langle \rangle_X \rangle \\ \tau_{X,Y} \triangleq \langle \pi_2, \pi_1 \rangle & \tau_{X,Y}^{-1} \triangleq \langle \pi_2, \pi_1 \rangle \end{array}$$

Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{X,Y,Z} \circ \alpha_{X,Y,Z}^{-1} &\triangleq \langle \text{id}_X \times \pi_1, \pi_2 \circ \pi_2 \rangle \circ \langle \pi_1 \circ \pi_1, \pi_2 \times \text{id}_Z \rangle \\ &= \langle (\text{id}_X \times \pi_1) \circ \langle \pi_1 \circ \pi_1, \pi_2 \times \text{id}_Z \rangle, \pi_2 \circ \pi_2 \circ \langle \pi_1 \circ \pi_1, \pi_2 \times \text{id}_Z \rangle \rangle && \text{by (1)} \\ &= \langle \langle \pi_1 \circ \pi_1, \pi_1 \circ (\pi_2 \times \text{id}_Z) \rangle, \pi_2 \circ \pi_2 \circ \langle \pi_1 \circ \pi_1, \pi_2 \times \text{id}_Z \rangle \rangle && \text{by (3)} \\ &= \langle \langle \pi_1 \circ \pi_1, \pi_2 \circ \pi_1 \rangle, \pi_2 \rangle && \text{by (2)} \\ &= \langle \langle \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle \circ \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle && \text{by (1)} \end{aligned}$$

and since  $\langle \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle = \text{id}$  (see the proof of question 1c), we get  $\alpha_{X,Y,Z} \circ \alpha_{X,Y,Z}^{-1} = \langle \text{id}_{X \times Y} \circ \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle = \text{id}_{(X \times Y) \times Z}$ . Similar tedious calculations using the properties from question 1 give

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^{-1} \circ \alpha &= \text{id} \\ \lambda \circ \lambda^{-1} &= \text{id} \\ \lambda^{-1} \circ \lambda &= \text{id} \\ \rho \circ \rho^{-1} &= \text{id} \\ \rho^{-1} \circ \rho &= \text{id} \\ \tau \circ \tau^{-1} &= \text{id} \\ \tau^{-1} \circ \tau &= \text{id}\end{aligned}$$

**Question 3** Regarding  $M$  as a category with a single object,  $*$  say, it suffices to show that  $*$   $\xleftarrow{p_1} *$   $\xrightarrow{p_2} *$  is a product in  $M$ , that is: for all  $x, y \in M$ , there is a unique  $z \in M$  with  $p_1 \cdot z = x$  and  $p_2 \cdot z = y$ . But  $\langle x, y \rangle$  is such a  $z$ ; and it is unique since if  $p_1 \cdot z = x$  and  $p_2 \cdot z = y$ , then  $z = e \cdot z = \langle p_1, p_2 \rangle \cdot z = \langle p_1 \cdot z, p_2 \cdot z \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$ .

#### Question 4

- (a) Recall that the product monoid  $(M, \cdot_M, e_M) \times (M, \cdot_M, e_M)$  is  $(M \times M, \cdot, (e_M, e_M))$  where the binary operation  $\cdot : (M \times M) \times (M \times M) \rightarrow (M \times M)$  is given by:

$$(x, y) \cdot (x', y') = (x \cdot_M x', y \cdot_M y')$$

Thus for all  $x, x', y, y' \in M$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}m((x, y) \cdot (x', y')) &= m(x \cdot_M x', y \cdot_M y') \\ &\triangleq (x \cdot_M x') \cdot_M (y \cdot_M y') \\ &= x \cdot_M ((x' \cdot_M y) \cdot_M y') && \text{since } \cdot_M \text{ is associative} \\ &= x \cdot_M ((y \cdot_M x') \cdot_M y') && \text{since } \cdot_M \text{ is commutative} \\ &= (x \cdot_M y) \cdot_M (x' \cdot_M y') && \text{since } \cdot_M \text{ is associative} \\ &\triangleq m(x, y) \cdot_M m(x', y') \\ m(e_M, e_M) &\triangleq e_M \cdot_M e_M \\ &= e_M && \text{since } e_M \text{ is a unit for } \cdot_M\end{aligned}$$

so  $m$  is a monoid morphism; and  $u$  is one too because  $u(0 \cdot 0) = u(0) = e_M = e_M \cdot_M e_M = u(0) \cdot_M u(0)$ .

To see that  $m$  and  $u$  make  $M$  into a monoid object in **Mon**, just note that diagram (14) commutes because  $(\forall x, y, z \in M) x \cdot_M (y \cdot_M z) = (x \cdot_M y) \cdot_M z$ , (15) commutes because  $(\forall x \in M) e_M \cdot_M x = x$  and (16) commutes because  $(\forall x \in M) x \cdot_M e_M = x$ .

- (b) Suppose we are given monoid morphisms  $m \in \mathbf{Mon}(M \times M, M)$  and  $u \in \mathbf{Mon}(1, M)$  that make (14)–(16) commute. Since  $u$  is a monoid morphism we have  $u(0) = e_M$  and therefore from the commutation of (15) and (16) we deduce that for all  $x \in M$

$$m(e_M, x) = x = m(x, e_M) \tag{20}$$

Now by definition of the monoid multiplication operation for the product monoid  $(M, \cdot_M, e_M) \times (M, \cdot_M, e_M)$  we have

$$(x, e_M) \cdot (e_M, y) = (x \cdot_M e_M, e_M \cdot_M y) = (x, y) = (e_M \cdot_M x, y \cdot_M e_M) = (e_M, y) \cdot (x, e_M)$$

Therefore since  $m$  is a monoid homomorphism, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m(x, e_M) \cdot_M m(e_M, y) &= m((x, e_M) \cdot (e_M, y)) = m(x, y) = \\ &= m((e_M, y) \cdot (x, e_M)) = m(e_M, y) \cdot_M m(x, e_M) \end{aligned}$$

and hence from (20) we get  $x \cdot y = m(x, y) = y \cdot x$ . Therefore  $(M, \cdot_M, e_M)$  is abelian and the monoid object  $((M, \cdot_M, e_M), m, u)$  in **Mon** coincides with the one from part (a).

### Question 5

- (a) If  $M$  and  $N$  are both abelian monoids, then the product operation of the monoid  $M \times N$  satisfies for all  $x, x' \in M$  and  $y, y' \in N$

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) \cdot (x', y') &\triangleq (x \cdot x', y \cdot y') \\ &= (x' \cdot x, y' \cdot y) && \text{since } M \text{ and } N \text{ are abelian} \\ &\triangleq (x', y') \cdot (x, y) \end{aligned}$$

so that  $M \times N$  is also abelian. Therefore the universal property of  $M \xleftarrow{\pi_1} M \times N \xrightarrow{\pi_2} N$  in **Mon** restricts to give the correct universal property for a product in **AbMon**.

- (b) The functions

$$\begin{aligned} i(x) &\triangleq (x, e) \\ j(y) &\triangleq (e, y) \end{aligned}$$

clearly give morphisms  $M \xrightarrow{i} M \times N \xleftarrow{j} N$  in **AbMon**. We show that it is a coproduct diagram. Given any morphisms  $M \xrightarrow{f} P \xleftarrow{g} N$  in **AbMon**, consider the function  $h : M \times N \rightarrow P$  defined by

$$h(x, y) \triangleq (f x) \cdot (g y)$$

It is a morphism in **AbMon** $(M \times N, P)$  because  $h(e, e) = (f e) \cdot (g e) = e \cdot e = e$  and

$$\begin{aligned} h((x, y) \cdot (x', y')) &\triangleq f(x \cdot x') \cdot g(y \cdot y') \\ &= (f x \cdot f x') \cdot (g y \cdot g y') && \text{since } f \text{ and } g \text{ are morphisms} \\ &= f x \cdot (f x' \cdot g y) \cdot g y' && \text{associativity} \\ &= f x \cdot (g y \cdot f x') \cdot g y' && \text{since } P \text{ is abelian} \\ &= (f x \cdot g y) \cdot (f x' \cdot g y') && \text{associativity} \\ &\triangleq h(x, y) \cdot h(x', y') \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, since  $h(i x) = h(x, e) = f x \cdot g e = f x \cdot e = f x$  and  $h(j y) = h(e, y) = f e \cdot g y = e \cdot g y = g y$ , we have that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M & \xrightarrow{i} & M \times N & \xleftarrow{j} & N \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow h & \swarrow g & \\ & & P & & \end{array}$$

commutes. Finally,  $h$  is the unique such morphism, since if  $h' \in \mathbf{AbMon}(M \times N, P)$  also satisfies  $h' \circ i = f$  and  $h' \circ j = g$ , then

$$h'(x, y) = h'((x, e) \cdot (e, y)) = h'(x, e) \cdot h'(e, y) = h'(ix) \cdot h'(jy) = f x \cdot g y \triangleq h(x, y).$$

so that  $h' = h$ .

### Question 6

- *Terminal object* is  $(\mathbb{N}, (-)^+, |-|)$ , where for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n^+ \triangleq n + 1$  and  $|n| \triangleq n$ , which trivially have the required property (17). For each object  $(X, (-)^+, |-|) \in \mathbf{Set}^\omega$ , the unique morphism  $(X, (-)^+, |-|) \rightarrow (\mathbb{N}, (-)^+, |-|)$  is given by  $|-|$ .
- *Binary product* of  $(X, (-)^+, |-|)$  and  $(Y, (-)^+, |-|)$  is  $(X, (-)^+, |-|) \xleftarrow{\pi_1} (P, (-)^+, |-|) \xrightarrow{\pi_2} (Y, (-)^+, |-|)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} P &\triangleq \{(x, y) \in X \times Y \mid |x| = |y|\} \\ (x, y)^+ &\triangleq (x^+, y^+) \\ |(x, y)| &\triangleq |x| (= |y|) \\ \pi_1(x, y) &\triangleq x \\ \pi_2(x, y) &\triangleq y \end{aligned}$$

Given morphisms  $(X, (-)^+, |-|) \xleftarrow{f} (Z, (-)^+, |-|) \xrightarrow{g} (Y, (-)^+, |-|)$ , the unique morphism  $\langle f, g \rangle : (Z, (-)^+, |-|) \rightarrow (P, (-)^+, |-|)$  with  $\pi_1 \circ \langle f, g \rangle = f$  and  $\pi_2 \circ \langle f, g \rangle = g$  maps each  $z \in Z$  to

$$\langle f, g \rangle z \triangleq (f z, g z)$$

(which does lie in  $P$  because  $|f z| = |z| = |g z|$ ).

**Question 7** I do not give the proof that a one-element poset is terminal in **PreOrd**, or that the binary product of  $(P, \leq)$  and  $(Q, \leq)$  in **PreOrd** is given by the cartesian product of underlying sets together with the partial order

$$(p_1, q_1) \leq (p_2, q_2) \triangleq p_1 \leq p_2 \wedge q_1 \leq q_2 \quad \text{for all } p_1, p_2 \in P \text{ and } q_1, q_2 \in Q.$$

Let us show that the exponential of  $(P, \leq)$  and  $(Q, \leq)$  is given by:

$$P \rightarrow Q \triangleq \{f \in Q^P \mid (\forall p, p') p \leq p' \in P \Rightarrow f p \leq f p' \in Q\} \quad (21)$$

$$f \leq f' \in P \rightarrow Q \triangleq (\forall p \in P) f p \leq f' p \quad (22)$$

$$\text{app}(f, p) \triangleq f p \quad (23)$$

Two things need checking (that we don't do here):

- (22) does define a partial order on the set (21), and
- (23) does give a monotone function.

So we have a morphism  $\text{app} : (P \rightarrow Q, \leq) \times (P, \leq) \rightarrow (Q, \leq)$  in **PreOrd** and we need to see that it has the universal property of the exponential of  $(P, \leq)$  and  $(Q, \leq)$ .

Given  $f : (R, \leq) \times (P, \leq) \rightarrow (Q, \leq)$  in **PreOrd**, since  $f \in \mathbf{Set}(R \times P, Q)$  we have the function  $\text{cur } f \in \mathbf{Set}(R, Q^P)$ , where as usual,  $\text{cur } f r p = f(r, p)$  for all  $r \in R$  and  $p \in P$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} p \leq p' \in P &\Rightarrow (r, p) \leq (r, p') \in R \times P \\ &\Rightarrow \text{cur } f r p = f(r, p) \leq f(r, p') = \text{cur } f r p' \quad \text{since } f \text{ is monotone} \end{aligned}$$

so that for each  $r \in R$ , we have  $\text{cur } f r \in P \rightarrow Q$ . In other words,  $\text{cur } f \in \mathbf{Set}(R, P \rightarrow Q)$ . Furthermore  $\text{cur } f$  is a monotone function, because

$$\begin{aligned} r \leq r' \in R &\Rightarrow (\forall p \in P) (r, p) \leq (r', p) \in R \times P \\ &\Rightarrow (\forall p \in P) \text{cur } f r p = f(r, p) \leq f(r', p) = \text{cur } f r' p \quad \text{since } f \text{ is monotone} \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\text{app} \circ (\text{cur } f \times \text{id}_P) = f \in \mathbf{PreOrd}((R, \leq) \times (P, \leq), (Q, \leq))$ , because for all  $(r, p) \in R \times P$

$$(\text{app} \circ (\text{cur } f \times \text{id}_P))(r, p) = \text{app}((\text{cur } f \times \text{id}_P)(r, p)) = \text{app}(\text{cur } f r, p) = \text{cur } f r p = f(r, p)$$

Finally,  $\text{cur } f$  is the only element  $g \in \mathbf{PreOrd}((R, \leq), (P \rightarrow Q, \leq))$  satisfying  $\text{app} \circ (g \times \text{id}_P) = f$ , since the latter equation implies that  $g r p = (\text{app} \circ (g \times \text{id}_P))(r, p) = f(r, p) = \text{cur } f r p$  for all  $(r, p) \in R \times P$ . Hence for any  $r \in R$ ,  $g r$  and  $\text{cur } f r$  are equal functions from  $P$  to  $Q$ ; and therefore  $g = \text{cur } f$ .