FoCS Lecture 5: SriogntSorting

Anil Madhavapeddy 17th Oct 2022

Applications of sorting

- fast search
- fast merging
- finding duplicates
- inverting tables
- graphics algorithms

Applications of sorting

- fast search
- fast merging
- finding duplicates
- inverting tables
- graphics algorithms

Once a set of items is sorted, it simplifies many other problems in computer science.

Complexity of Comparison Sort?

- typically count the number of comparisons C(n)
- there are n! permutations of n elements
- each comparison eliminates *half* of the permutations $2^{C(n)} > n!$
- therefore $C(n) \ge \log(n!) \approx n \log n 1.44n$
- The lower bound of comparison is $O(n \log n)$

Common sorting algorithms

We begin by examining three in detail:

- Insertion sort
- Quicksort
- Mergesort

Input is inserted in the output in the right place to be sorted

Input is inserted in the output in the right place to be sorted

Then continue to process the remainder of the input

- Items from input are copied to the output
- Inserted in order, so the output is always sorted

- Items from input are copied to the output
- Inserted in order, so the output is always sorted

Complexity is $O(n^2)$ comparisons vs the theoretical best case of $O(n \log n)$

- Choose a pivot element a
- Divide: partition the input into two sublists
 - those at most a in value
 - those exceeding a
- Conquer: using recursive calls to sort sublists
- Combine: sorted lists by appending them

"Divide"

"Divide"

"Conquer"

"Divide"

"Conquer"

"Combine"

Complexity is $O(n \log n)$ in the average case

Complexity is $O(n \log n)$ in the average case but $O(n^2)$ in the worst case!

Append-free Quicksort

Comparing both quicksorts

Comparing both quicksorts

Call "quick" twice and then append results

Call "quik" once, cons "a" to it, then call "quik" again

Mergesort

Merge Two Lists

Merge Two Lists

- Does at most (m + n − 1)
 comparisons where m and n
 are length of input lists
- Fast if lists are roughly equal and >1 length

Useful as the basis for several other divide-and-conquer algorithms.

- Unlike quicksort, no need to pick a pivot
- Count half the list and divide using take and drop

- Unlike quicksort, no need to pick a pivot
- Count half the list and divide using take and drop

- Complexity of mergesort is $O(n \log n)$
- But unlike quicksort, is always that even in the worst case.
- So why not always use mergesort?

Sorting through sorting algorithms

Optimal is $O(n \log n)$ comparisons

Sorting through sorting algorithms

Optimal is $O(n \log n)$ comparisons

Insertion sort: simple to code, quadratic complexity

Quicksort: fast on average, quadratic complexity in worst case

Mergesort: optimal in theory, often slower than quicksort in practise

Sorting through sorting algorithms

Optimal is $O(n \log n)$ comparisons

Insertion sort: simple to code, quadratic complexity

Quicksort: fast on average, quadratic complexity in worst case

Mergesort: optimal in theory, often slower than quicksort in practise

Match the algorithm to the application

Exercises

Optimal is $O(n \log n)$ comparisons

Insertion sort: simple to code, quadratic complexity

Quicksort: fast on average, quadratic complexity in worst case

Mergesort: optimal in theory, often slower than quicksort in practise

Work through selection sort and bubblesort, and examine the complexity and runtime tradeoffs of their approaches