Recall that a dictionary of \((key, value)\) pairs can be represented by a binary search tree. Define the union of two binary search trees to be any binary search tree consisting of every node of the given trees.

(a) Write an OCaml function `union` to return the union of two given binary search trees. \([Note: You may assume that they have no keys in common.]\) \([6 \text{ marks}]\)

```
let rec update k v = function
  | Lf -> Br ((k, v), Lf, Lf)
  | Br ((a, x), t1, t2) ->
      if k < a then
        Br ((a, x), update k v t1, t2)
      else if a < k then
        Br ((a, x), t1, update k v t2)
      else (* a = k *)
        Br ((a, v), t1, t2)

let rec union l r =
  match l, r with
  | (Lf, r) -> r
  | (Br ((k, v), t1, t2), r) ->
      union t1 (union t2 (update k v r))
```

Define a slice of a binary search tree to be a binary search tree containing every \((key, value)\) node from the original tree such that \(x \leq key \leq y\), where \(x\) and \(y\) are the given endpoints.

(b) Write an OCaml function `takeSlice` to return a slice – specified by a given pair of endpoints – from a binary search tree. \([4 \text{ marks}]\)

```
let rec takeSlice x y = function
  | Lf -> Lf
  | Br ((k, v), t1, t2) ->
     if y < k then
       takeSlice x y t1
     else if k < x then
       takeSlice x y t2
     else
       Br ((k, v), takeSlice x y t1, takeSlice x y t2)
```

This can also be done with `when` (pattern guards):

```
let rec takeSlice (x, y) = function
```

— Solution notes —

Lf -> Lf
Br ((k, v), t1, t2) when y < k ->
   takeSlice (x, y) t1
Br ((k, v), t1, t2) when k < x ->
   takeSlice (x, y) t2
Br ((k, v), t1, t2) ->
   Br ((k, v), takeSlice (x, y) t1, takeSlice (x, y) t2)

(c) Write an OCaml function dropSlice to remove a slice from a binary search tree: given a tree and a pair of endpoints, it should return the binary search tree consisting of precisely the nodes such that $x > key$ or $key > y$. [Hint: First consider the simpler task of deleting a node from a binary search tree.]

[8 marks]

Answer: Deletion is not straightforward. The problem is to combine the remaining subtrees while preserving the ordering. A simple approach is to attach the right-hand tree at the far-right end of the left-hand tree, but inevitably, the resulting tree will be unbalanced.

Given deletion, the solution is once again a straightforward recursion.

let rec join l r =
  match l r with
  | (Lf, r) -> r
  | (Br (x, t1, t2), r) ->
    Br (x, t1, join t2 r)

let rec dropSlice (x, y) = function
  | Lf -> Lf
  | Br ((k, v), t1, t2) ->
    if y < k then
      Br ((k, v), dropSlice (x, y) t1, t2)
    else if k < x then
      Br ((k, v), t1, dropSlice (x, y) t2)
    else
      join (dropSlice (x, y) t1) (dropSlice (x, y) t2)

(d) The tree $t$ need not be identical to that returned by

union (takeSlice (x, y) t) (dropSlice (x, y) t)

Briefly explain how such an outcome is possible. [2 marks]

Answer: They will represent equivalent dictionaries, in that they map the same values to the same keys. However, many distinct binary search trees can represent any particular dictionary. It’s highly unlikely that the operation described in the question would preserve the exact structure of a binary search tree.

[Note: All OCaml code must be explained clearly and should be free of needless complexity.]