

Topic 7

Relating Denotational and Operational Semantics

Adequacy

For any closed PCF terms M and V of *ground* type $\gamma \in \{\mathit{nat}, \mathit{bool}\}$ with V a value

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \in \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket \implies M \Downarrow_{\gamma} V .$$

Adequacy

For any closed PCF terms M and V of *ground* type $\gamma \in \{nat, bool\}$ with V a value

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \in \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket \implies M \Downarrow_{\gamma} V .$$

NB. Adequacy does not hold at function types

Adequacy

For any closed PCF terms M and V of *ground* type $\gamma \in \{nat, bool\}$ with V a value

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \in \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket \implies M \Downarrow_{\gamma} V.$$

NB. Adequacy does not hold at function types:

$$\llbracket \mathbf{fn} \ x : \tau. (\mathbf{fn} \ y : \tau. y) \ x \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathbf{fn} \ x : \tau. x \rrbracket : \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$$

Adequacy

For any closed PCF terms M and V of *ground* type $\gamma \in \{nat, bool\}$ with V a value

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \in \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket \implies M \Downarrow_{\gamma} V.$$

NB. Adequacy does not hold at function types:

$$\llbracket \mathbf{fn} \ x : \tau. (\mathbf{fn} \ y : \tau. y) \ x \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathbf{fn} \ x : \tau. x \rrbracket : \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$$

but

$$\mathbf{fn} \ x : \tau. (\mathbf{fn} \ y : \tau. y) \ x \not\Downarrow_{\tau \rightarrow \tau} \mathbf{fn} \ x : \tau. x$$

Adequacy proof idea

Adequacy proof idea

1. We cannot proceed to prove the adequacy statement by a straightforward induction on the structure of terms.

▶ Consider M to be $M_1 M_2$, $\mathbf{fix}(M')$.

Adequacy proof idea

1. We cannot proceed to prove the adequacy statement by a straightforward induction on the structure of terms.
 - ▶ Consider M to be $M_1 M_2$, $\mathbf{fix}(M')$.
2. So we proceed to prove a stronger statement that applies to terms of arbitrary types and implies adequacy.

Adequacy proof idea

1. We cannot proceed to prove the adequacy statement by a straightforward induction on the structure of terms.

▶ Consider M to be $M_1 M_2$, $\mathbf{fix}(M')$.

2. So we proceed to prove a stronger statement that applies to terms of arbitrary types and implies adequacy.

This statement roughly takes the form:

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket \triangleleft_{\tau} M \text{ for all types } \tau \text{ and all } M \in \text{PCF}_{\tau}$$

where the *formal approximation relations*

$$\triangleleft_{\tau} \subseteq \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \times \text{PCF}_{\tau}$$

are *logically* chosen to allow a proof by induction.

Requirements on the formal approximation relations, I

We want that, for $\gamma \in \{nat, bool\}$,

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket \triangleleft_{\gamma} M \text{ implies } \underbrace{\forall V (\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \implies M \downarrow_{\gamma} V)}_{\text{adequacy}}$$

Definition of $d \triangleleft_{\gamma} M$ ($d \in \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket, M \in \text{PCF}_{\gamma}$)
for $\gamma \in \{\text{nat}, \text{bool}\}$

$$n \triangleleft_{\text{nat}} M \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} (n \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow M \Downarrow_{\text{nat}} \mathbf{succ}^n(\mathbf{0}))$$

$$b \triangleleft_{\text{bool}} M \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} (b = \text{true} \Rightarrow M \Downarrow_{\text{bool}} \mathbf{true}) \\ \& (b = \text{false} \Rightarrow M \Downarrow_{\text{bool}} \mathbf{false})$$

Proof of: $\llbracket M \rrbracket \triangleleft_\gamma M$ implies adequacy

Case $\gamma = \text{nat}$.

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket$$

$$\implies \llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket \text{succ}^n(\mathbf{0}) \rrbracket \quad \text{for some } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\implies n = \llbracket M \rrbracket \triangleleft_\gamma M$$

$$\implies M \Downarrow \text{succ}^n(\mathbf{0}) \quad \text{by definition of } \triangleleft_{\text{nat}}$$

Case $\gamma = \text{bool}$ is similar.

Requirements on the formal approximation relations, II

We want to be able to proceed by induction.

▶ Consider the case $M = M_1 M_2$.

\rightsquigarrow *logical* definition

Definition of

$$f \triangleleft_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'} M \quad (f \in (\llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket), M \in \text{PCF}_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'})$$

Definition of

$$f \triangleleft_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'} M \quad (f \in ([\tau] \rightarrow [\tau']), M \in \text{PCF}_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'})$$

$$f \triangleleft_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'} M$$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \forall x \in [\tau], N \in \text{PCF}_{\tau}$$

$$(x \triangleleft_{\tau} N \Rightarrow f(x) \triangleleft_{\tau'} M N)$$

Requirements on the formal approximation relations, III

We want to be able to proceed by induction.

▶ Consider the case $M = \mathbf{fix}(M')$.

\rightsquigarrow *admissibility* property

Admissibility property

Lemma. For all types τ and $M \in \text{PCF}_\tau$, the set

$$\{ d \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \mid d \triangleleft_\tau M \}$$

is an admissible subset of $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket$.

Further properties

Lemma. For all types τ , elements $d, d' \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$, and terms $M, N, V \in \text{PCF}_\tau$,

1. If $d \sqsubseteq d'$ and $d' \triangleleft_\tau M$ then $d \triangleleft_\tau M$.
2. If $d \triangleleft_\tau M$ and $\forall V (M \Downarrow_\tau V \implies N \Downarrow_\tau V)$ then $d \triangleleft_\tau N$.

Requirements on the formal approximation relations, IV

We want to be able to proceed by induction.

▶ Consider the case $M = \mathbf{fn} \ x : \tau . M'$.

\rightsquigarrow *substitutivity* property for open terms

Fundamental property

Theorem. For all $\Gamma = \langle x_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto \tau_n \rangle$ and all $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$, if $d_1 \triangleleft_{\tau_1} M_1, \dots, d_n \triangleleft_{\tau_n} M_n$ then $[[\Gamma \vdash M]][x_1 \mapsto d_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto d_n] \triangleleft_{\tau} M[M_1/x_1, \dots, M_n/x_n]$.

Fundamental property

Theorem. For all $\Gamma = \langle x_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto \tau_n \rangle$ and all $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$, if $d_1 \triangleleft_{\tau_1} M_1, \dots, d_n \triangleleft_{\tau_n} M_n$ then $[[\Gamma \vdash M]][x_1 \mapsto d_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto d_n] \triangleleft_{\tau} M[M_1/x_1, \dots, M_n/x_n]$.

NB. The case $\Gamma = \emptyset$ reduces to

$$[[M]] \triangleleft_{\tau} M$$

for all $M \in \text{PCF}_{\tau}$.

Fundamental property of the relations \triangleleft_{τ}

Proposition. *If $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ is a valid PCF typing, then for all Γ -environments ρ and all Γ -substitutions σ*

$$\rho \triangleleft_{\Gamma} \sigma \Rightarrow \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M \rrbracket(\rho) \triangleleft_{\tau} M[\sigma]$$

-
- $\rho \triangleleft_{\Gamma} \sigma$ means that $\rho(x) \triangleleft_{\Gamma(x)} \sigma(x)$ holds for each $x \in \text{dom}(\Gamma)$.
 - $M[\sigma]$ is the PCF term resulting from the simultaneous substitution of $\sigma(x)$ for x in M , each $x \in \text{dom}(\Gamma)$.

Contextual preorder between PCF terms

Given PCF terms M_1, M_2 , PCF type τ , and a type environment Γ , the relation $\Gamma \vdash M_1 \leq_{\text{ctx}} M_2 : \tau$ is defined to hold iff

- Both the typings $\Gamma \vdash M_1 : \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash M_2 : \tau$ hold.
- For all PCF contexts \mathcal{C} for which $\mathcal{C}[M_1]$ and $\mathcal{C}[M_2]$ are closed terms of type γ , where $\gamma = \text{nat}$ or $\gamma = \text{bool}$, and for all values $V \in \text{PCF}_\gamma$,

$$\mathcal{C}[M_1] \Downarrow_\gamma V \implies \mathcal{C}[M_2] \Downarrow_\gamma V .$$

Extensionality properties of \leq_{ctx}

At a ground type $\gamma \in \{\text{bool}, \text{nat}\}$,

$M_1 \leq_{\text{ctx}} M_2 : \gamma$ holds if and only if

$$\forall V \in \text{PCF}_\gamma (M_1 \Downarrow_\gamma V \implies M_2 \Downarrow_\gamma V) .$$

At a function type $\tau \rightarrow \tau'$,

$M_1 \leq_{\text{ctx}} M_2 : \tau \rightarrow \tau'$ holds if and only if

$$\forall M \in \text{PCF}_\tau (M_1 M \leq_{\text{ctx}} M_2 M : \tau') .$$