Example sheet 2<br>Bayesian inference<br>Data Science - DJW—2021/2022

All Bayesian questions are the same: first write out the likelihood of the observed data $\operatorname{Pr}(x \mid \Theta=\theta)$, then the prior likelihood $\operatorname{Pr}_{\Theta}(\theta)$, then apply Bayes's rule to get the posterior likelihood $\operatorname{Pr}_{\Theta}(\theta \mid X=$ $x$ ). Questions 3-8 are repetitions of this idea, in progressively more complex settings. You needn't attempt them all: instead, work through enough of the earlier questions for you to be confident in answering question 8 .

What's more important than the algebra is getting used to reformulating high-level questions into mathematical questions about distributions of random variables. Even if you don't answer a question, read it carefully, and add it to your repertoire of "how data scientists ask questions".

Question 1. Define a function $r x y()$ that produces a random pair of values $(X, Y)$ which, when shown in a scatterplot, produces a smiley face like this. Also plot the marginal distributions of $X$ and $Y$.


Question 2. Sketch the cumulative distribution function, and calculate the density function, for this continuous random variable:

```
def rx():
    u = random.random()
    return u*(1-u)
```

Question 3. I sample $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ from Uniform $[0, \theta]$. The parameter $\theta$ is unknown, and I shall use $\Theta \sim \operatorname{Pareto}\left(b_{0}, \alpha_{0}\right)$ as my prior, where $b_{0}>0$ and $\alpha_{0}>1$ are known. This has the cumulative distribution function

$$
\mathbb{P}(\Theta \leq \theta)= \begin{cases}1-\left(b_{0} / \theta\right)^{\alpha_{0}} & \text { if } \theta \geq b_{0} \\ 0 & \text { if } \theta<b_{0}\end{cases}
$$

(a) Calculate the prior likelihood for $\Theta$.
(b) Show that the posterior distribution of $\left(\Theta \mid x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is Pareto, and find its parameters.
(c) Find a $95 \%$ posterior confidence interval for $\Theta$.
(d) Find a different $95 \%$ posterior confidence interval. Which is better? Why?

Question 4. I have a collection of numbers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ which I take to be independent samples from the $\operatorname{Normal}\left(\mu, \sigma_{0}^{2}\right)$ distribution. Here $\sigma_{0}$ is known, and $\mu$ is unknown. Using the prior distribution $M \sim \operatorname{Normal}\left(\mu_{0}, \rho_{0}^{2}\right)$ for $\mu$, show that the posterior density is

$$
\operatorname{Pr}_{M}\left(\mu \mid x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\kappa e^{-(\mu-c)^{2} / 2 \tau^{2}}
$$

where $\kappa$ is a normalizing constant, and where you should find formulae for $c$ and $\tau$ in terms of $\sigma_{0}$, $\mu_{0}$, and $\rho_{0}$, and the $x_{i}$. Hence deduce that the posterior distribution is $\operatorname{Normal}\left(c, \tau^{2}\right)$. [Note: ' $M$ ' is the upper-case form of the Greek letter ' $\mu$ '.]

Question 5 (Leaky priors). I repeatedly attempt a task, and each time I attempt it I succeed with probability $\theta$ and fail with probability $1-\theta$. The parameter $\theta$ is unknown, so I model it as
a random variable $\Theta$. Ever the optimist, my prior for $\Theta$ is heavily biased in favour of large values for $\theta$ :

$$
\operatorname{Pr}_{\Theta}(\theta)=\varepsilon 1_{\theta \leq 1 / 2}+(2-\varepsilon) 1_{\theta>1 / 2}
$$

for some known small value $\varepsilon>0$; this implies $\mathbb{P}(\Theta \leq 1 / 2)=\varepsilon / 2$.
But I experience an unbroken run of $n$ failures. How big does $n$ need to be, for me to concede there's a $50 \%$ posterior probability that $\Theta \leq 1 / 2$ ? How big would it need to be, if $\varepsilon=0$ ?

Question 6. I have a collection of numbers

$$
[4.3,2.8,3.9,4.1,9,4.5,3.3]
$$

which look like they mostly come from a Gaussian distribution, but with the occasional outlier. Model the data as

$$
X \text { is } \begin{cases}\operatorname{Normal}\left(\mu, 0.5^{2}\right) & \text { with probability } 99 \% \\ \text { Cauchy } & \text { with probability } 1 \%\end{cases}
$$

Use a $\operatorname{Normal}\left(0,5^{2}\right)$ prior distribution for $\mu$. Give pseudocode to plot the posterior distribution. [Note. The Cauchy random variable occasionally generates wildly huge values. The library function scipy.stats.cauchy.pdf(x) computes its pdf.]

Question 7. In lecture notes section 2.6 we investigated a dataset of police stop-and-search actions. Let the outcome for record $i$ be $y_{i} \in\{0,1\}$, where 1 denotes that the police found something and 0 denotes that they found nothing. Consider the probability model $Y_{i} \sim \operatorname{Binom}\left(1, \beta_{\text {eth }_{i}}\right)$ where eth ${ }_{i}$ is the recorded ethnicity for the individual involved in record $i$, and where the parameters $\beta_{\mathrm{As}}$, $\beta_{\mathrm{BIk}}, \beta_{\mathrm{Mix}}, \beta_{\mathrm{Oth}}, \beta_{\mathrm{Wh}}$ are unknown. As a prior distribution, suppose that the five $\beta$ parameters are all independent $\operatorname{Beta}(1 / 2,1 / 2)$ random variables.
(a) Write down the joint prior density for $\left(\beta_{\mathrm{As}}, \beta_{\mathrm{Blk}}, \beta_{\mathrm{Mix}}, \beta_{\mathrm{Oth}}, \beta_{\mathrm{Wh}}\right)$.
(b) Find the joint posterior distribution of $\left(\beta_{\mathrm{As}}, \beta_{\mathrm{BIk}}, \beta_{\mathrm{Mix}}, \beta_{\mathrm{Oth}}, \beta_{\mathrm{Wh}}\right)$ given the $y$ data.

Question 8. I am prototyping a diagnostic test for a disease. In healthy patients, the test result is $\operatorname{Normal}\left(0,2.1^{2}\right)$. In sick patients it is $\operatorname{Normal}\left(\mu, 3.2^{2}\right)$, but I have not yet established a firm value for $\mu$. In order to estimate $\mu$, I trialled the test on 30 patients whom I know to be sick, and the mean test result was 10.3. I subsequently apply the test to a new patient, and get the answer 8.8. I wish to know whether this new patient is healthy or sick.
(a) In this question there are two unknown quantities: $\mu$, and $h \in\{$ healthy, sick $\}$ the status of the new patient. Model the former as a random variable $M$ with prior distribution $\operatorname{Normal}\left(5,3^{2}\right)$ and the latter as a random variable $H$ with prior distribution

$$
\operatorname{Pr}_{H}(h)=0.99 \times 1_{h=\text { healthy }}+0.01 \times 1_{h=\text { sick }} .
$$

Write down the joint prior likelihood for $(M, H)$.
(b) In this question the data consists of 31 values, test results $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{30}$ from the known sick patients and test result $y$ from the new patient. Write down the data likelihood $\operatorname{Pr}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{30}, y \mid \mu, h\right)$.
(c) Find the posterior density of $(M, H)$. Leave your answer as an unnormalized density function. It should simplify to be a function of $\bar{x}$ and $y$, where $\bar{x}$ is the mean test result for the known sick patients.
(d) Give pseudocode to compute the posterior distribution of $H$, i.e. compute $\mathbb{P}(H=h \mid$ data $)$ for both $h=$ healthy and $h=$ sick.

Question 9. In the lecture notes on linear modelling, we proposed a linear model for temperature increase:

$$
\text { temp } \approx \alpha+\beta_{1} \sin (2 \pi \mathrm{t})+\beta_{2} \cos (2 \pi \mathrm{t})+\gamma(\mathrm{t}-2000)
$$

Suggest a probability model for temp. Suggest Bayesian prior distributions for the unknown parameters $\alpha, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$, and $\gamma$. Give pseudocode to find a $95 \%$ confidence interval for $\gamma$.

## Hints and comments

Question 1. Try extending the Gaussian mixture model from section 1. For plotting, here's some code. It assumes that you have stored your samples in a numpy array of shape $n \times 2$, one row per sample point, columns for $x$ and $y$.

```
fig,((ax_x,dummy),(ax_xy,ax_y)) = plt.subplots(2,2, figsize=(4,4),
    sharex='col', sharey='row', gridspec_kw='height_ratios':[1,2], 'width_ratios':[2,1])
dummy.remove()
ax_xy.scatter(xy[:,0], xy[:,1], s=3, alpha=.1)
ax_x.hist(???, density=True, bins=60) # fill in the ???
ax_y.hist(???, density=True, bins=60, orientation='horizontal') # fill in the ???
plt.show()
```

Question 2. See the similar example from section 4.3. Sketch a graph of $u(1-u)$ as a function of $u$. For what ranges of $u$ is $u(1-u) \leq y$ ? What is the probability that the random variable $U \sim U[0,1]$ lies in these ranges?

Question 3. For part (a), just differentiate the cdf to get the pdf, i.e. the likelihood. Write it out using indicator function notation, $1_{\theta \geq b_{0}}$. This is often a good idea, when we're working with parameters that affect boundaries.

For the rest: all Bayesian calculations start in exactly the same way. First write out the likelihood of the observed data $\operatorname{Pr}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \mid \Theta=\theta\right)$, then (1) write down the prior likelihood $\operatorname{Pr}_{\Theta}(\theta)$, (2) apply Bayes's rule which says that the posterior likelihood is

$$
\operatorname{Pr}_{\Theta}\left(\theta \mid x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\kappa \operatorname{Pr}_{\Theta}(\theta) \operatorname{Pr}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \mid \Theta=\theta\right)
$$

In this question, write out the likelihood of the data using indicator notation, as in example sheet 1 question 4. Once you have the posterior density, gather together the $\theta$ terms, and you should end up with the density of another Pareto.

For the posterior confidence interval: the definition of a posterior confidence interval is in lecture notes section 7.4. You just have to solve the equations for lo and hi, using the cumulative distribution function for the Pareto.

Question 4. All Bayesian calculations start in exactly the same way. First write out the likelihood of the observed data $\operatorname{Pr}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \mid M=\mu\right)$, then (1) write down the prior likelihood $\operatorname{Pr}_{M}(\mu),(2)$ apply Bayes's rule which says that the posterior likelihood is

$$
\operatorname{Pr}_{M}\left(\mu \mid x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\kappa \operatorname{Pr}_{M}(\mu) \operatorname{Pr}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \mid M=\mu\right)
$$

Remember, this is a density function for a random variable $M$, and the argument is $\mu$. Write your answer to gather together all the $\mu$ terms as much as you can. This involves expanding quadratic terms and completing the square. Any terms that don't involve $\mu$ can be amalgamated with the constant factor $\kappa$. What you end up with should look like a Normal density function, as a function of $\mu$, and this lets you conclude that the posterior distribution is Normal.

When a question asks "find the posterior distribution", you should start by calculating the posterior density, leaving it unnormalized i.e. including a constant factor, call it $\kappa$. Then (a) if you recognize this as a standard density function, as in this case, just give its name; (b) if it's easy to find $\kappa$ using "densities sum to one" then do so; (c) otherwise leave your answer as an unnormalized density function

Question 5. Let $x$ be the number of successes, modelled as $X \sim \operatorname{Bin}(n, \theta)$. We observe $x=0$. Use the usual Bayesian method to find the posterior likelihood $\operatorname{Pr}_{\Theta}(\theta \mid X=0)$; you can find the normalizing constant $\kappa$ with simple integration, splitting the integral over $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ into two parts, $0 \leq \theta \leq 1 / 2$ and $1 / 2<\theta \leq 1$. Once you've calculated the posterior likelihood, the posterior
probability that the question is referring to is $\mathbb{P}(\Theta \leq 1 / 2 \mid X=x)$, which you can find by integrating the posterior likelihood.

Question 6. All Bayesian computations start in exactly the same way. First write out the likelihood of the data, $\operatorname{Pr}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \mid M=\mu\right)$. The probability model here is very similar to a Gaussian mixture model, which we analysed in mock exam question 1. You'll need the cdf for the Cauchy, but you don't actually need to know a formula for it: just write $\operatorname{cdf}_{\text {Cauchy }}(x)$ and $\operatorname{pdf}_{\text {Cauchy }}(x)$. Then, (1) take a sample $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}$ from the prior distribution, (2) compute weights by evaluating the likelihood of the data at each one of these sampled $\mu$-values, and rescaling so they sum to one.

For plotting the posterior distribution, see the examples in section 7.3.
Question 7. This is a Bayesian question with multiple unknown parameters. You need to start with a joint prior density for all of them,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(\beta_{\mathrm{As}}, \beta_{\mathrm{BIk}}, \beta_{\mathrm{Mix}}, \beta_{\mathrm{Oth}}, \beta_{\mathrm{Wh}}\right) .
$$

See the mathematical solution to exercise 7.3.2 in lecture notes.
Bayes's rule, in its general form, says that

$$
\operatorname{Pr}_{\Theta}(\theta \mid x)=\kappa \operatorname{Pr}_{\Theta}(\theta) \operatorname{Pr}_{X}(x \mid \Theta=\theta)
$$

where $\theta$ denotes all the unknown parameters and $x$ denotes all the dataset. Again, see exercise 7.3.2 in lecture notes. Leave your answer with $\kappa$.

After you've found the joint posterior density function, see if you can recognize it from the list of standard random variables.

Question 8. This is a question about multiple unknowns, using both the mathematical and the computational solutions. See exercise 7.3.2 from lecture notes.

For part (b), for the likelihood $\operatorname{Pr}_{Y}(y \mid \mu, h)$, see the Gaussian mixture model in exercise 4.3.5 in lecture notes.

For part (c), your formula for the posterior distribution will involve equations very similar to question 4.

Part (d) is a question about using marginalization to ignore nuisance parameters. See exercise 7.3.2 from lecture notes for an example of marginalization, and exercise 7.3 .3 for a similar calculation about posteriors over binary outcomes.

Question 9. You should implement your proposed Bayesian model, and find a numerical value for the confidence interval. You can find a code skeleton at https://github.com/damonjw/ datasci/blob/master/ex2.ipynb.

It's up to you to invent whatever probability distribution you like for temp; the simplest choice is to assume Gaussian errors as in section 2.4, and to pluck the noise parameter out of thin air. If you truly are uncertain about the noise parameter, then treat it as a random variable and invent a prior distribution for it.

It's up to you to invent whatever priors you like for the unknown parameters. It may seem totally arbitrary, but that's Bayesianism for you.

# Supplementary question sheet 2 <br> Bayesian inference 

Data Science - DJW—2021/2022

These questions are not intended for supervision (unless your supervisor directs you otherwise). Some of require careful maths, some are best answered with coding, some are philosophical.

Question 10. (a) For the random variables $X \sim \operatorname{Uniform}[-1,1]$ and $Y \sim \operatorname{Normal}\left(X^{2}, 0.1^{2}\right)$, compute the conditional distribution of $(X \mid Y \in[0.5,0.7])$. [Hint. Let $Z=1_{Y \in[0.5,0.7]}$ and plot a histogram of $(X \mid Z=1)$.]
(b) Let $X \sim \operatorname{Normal}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$. Calculate the pdf and the cdf of $(X \mid X \geq 0)$. Leave your answer in terms of the pdf and cdf for the Normal distribution.

Question 11 (Cardinality estimation).
(a) Let $T$ be the maximum of $m$ independent Uniform $[0,1]$ random variables. Show that $\mathbb{P}(T \leq t)=t^{m}$. Find the density function $\operatorname{Pr}_{T}(t)$. Hint. For two independent random variables $U$ and $V$,

$$
\mathbb{P}(\max (U, V) \leq x)=\mathbb{P}(U \leq x \text { and } V \leq x)=\mathbb{P}(U \leq x) \mathbb{P}(V \leq x)
$$

(b) A common task in data processing is counting the number of unique items in a collection. When the collection is too large to hold in memory, we may wish to use fast approximation methods, such as the following: Given a collection of items $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots$, compute the hash of each item $x_{1}=$ $h\left(a_{1}\right), x_{2}=h\left(a_{2}\right), \ldots$, then compute $t=\max _{i} x_{i}$.
If the hash function is well designed, then each $x_{i}$ can be treated as if it were sampled from Uniform $[0,1]$, and unequal items will yield independent samples..
The more unique items there are, the larger we expect $t$ to be. Given an observed value $t$, find the maximum likelihood estimator for the number of unique items. [Hint. This is about finding the mle from a single observation, as in lecture notes section 1.1.]
http://blog.notdot.net/2012/09/Dam-Cool-Algorithms-Cardinality-Estimation
Question 12. A point lightsource at coordinates $(0,1)$ sends out a ray of light at an angle $\Theta$ chosen uniformly in $[-\pi / 2, \pi / 2]$. Let $X$ be the point where the ray intersects the horizontal line through the origin. What is the density of $X$ ? [Hint. See exercise 3.3, from lecture 2.]

Note: This random variable is known as the Cauchy distribution. It is unusual in that it has no mean.


Question 13. Suppose we're given a function $f(x) \geq 0$ and we want to evaluate

$$
\int_{x=a}^{b} f(x) d x
$$

Here's an approximation method: (i) draw a box that contains $f(x)$ over the range $x \in[a, b]$, (ii) scatter points uniformly at random in this box, (iii) return $A \times p$ where $A$ is the area of the box and $p$ is the fraction of points that are under the curve. Explain why this is a special case of Monte Carlo integration.


Do NOT give a wishy-washy qualitative argument along the lines of "there are random points, and we're evaluating an integral, so it's a type of Monte Carlo". Monte Carlo has a precise meaning: $\mathbb{E} h(X) \approx n^{-1} \sum_{i} h\left(x_{i}\right)$. In your answer you should (a) explain the random variable in question, (b) specify the $h$ function, (c) give an explanation along the lines of section 5.1 of lecture notes.

Question 14 (Sequential Bayes). I have a biased coin, with unknown probability of heads $\theta$. I toss it $n$ times, with outcomes $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ where $x_{n}=1$ indicates heads and $x_{n}=0$ indicates tails. My prior belief is $\Theta \sim$ Uniform $[0,1]$. Here are two approaches to applying Bayes's rule:

- One-shot Bayes. Use Bayes's rule to compute the posterior of $\Theta$, given data $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, using prior $\Theta \sim$ Uniform $[0,1]$, and assumimg that coin tosses are independent.
- Sequential Bayes. Use Bayes's rule to compute the posterior of $\Theta$ given data $x_{1}$, using the uniform prior; let the posterior density be $p_{1}(\theta)$. Apply Bayes's rule again to compute the posterior of $\Theta$ given data $x_{2}$, but this time using $p_{1}(\theta)$ as the prior; let the posterior density be $p_{2}(\theta)$. Continue applying Bayes's rule in this way, until we have found $p_{n}(\theta)$.
State the posterior distribution found by one-shot Bayes. Prove by induction on $n$ that sequential Bayes gives the same answer.

Sequential Bayes and one-shot Bayes give the same answer for any inference problem, not just this coin-tossing example. Can you prove the general case?

Question 15. In the setting of question 7 , I wish to measure the amount of police bias. Given a 5 -tuple of parameters $\beta=\left(\beta_{\mathrm{As}}, \beta_{\mathrm{BIk}}, \beta_{\mathrm{Mix}}, \beta_{\mathrm{Oth}}, \beta_{\mathrm{Wh}}\right)$, I define the overall bias score to be

$$
d(\beta)=\max _{e, e^{\prime}}\left|\beta_{e}-\beta_{e^{\prime}}\right|
$$

If $d(\beta)$ is large, then there is some pair of ethnicities with very unequal treatment.
As a Bayesian I view $\beta$ as a random variable taking values in $[0,1]^{5}$, therefore $d(\beta)$ is a random variable also. To investigate its distribution, I sample $\beta$ from the posterior distribution that I found in question 7 , I compute $d(\beta)$, and I plot a histogram. The output, shown on the left, is bizarre. To help me understand what's going on, I plot histograms of each of the individual $\beta_{e}$ coefficients, shown on the right.

Explain the results. [Hint. Explore the Beta distribution numerically. For what parameters does it have a bimodal distribution? What are the posterior distributions in this question?]


Question 16. Consider the outlier model from question 6. How likely is it that the datapoint with value 9 is an outlier? [Hint. Treat this as a two-parameter problem, like question 8.]

Question 17. I have a coin, which might be biased. I toss it $n$ times and get $x$ heads.
I am uncertain whether or not the coin is biased. Let $m \in\{$ fair, biased $\}$ indicate which of the two cases is correct; and if it is biased let $\theta$ be the probability of heads. The probabilty of observing $x$ heads is thus

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(x \mid m, \theta)= \begin{cases}\binom{n}{x} \theta^{x}(1-\theta)^{n-x} & \text { if } m=\text { biased } \\ \binom{n}{x}(1 / 2)^{x}(1-1 / 2)^{n-x} & \text { if } m=\text { unbiased }\end{cases}
$$

As a Bayesian I shall represent my uncertainty about $m$ with a prior distribution, $\operatorname{Pr}_{M}($ fair $)=p$, $\operatorname{Pr}_{M}($ biased $)=1-p$. If it is biased, my prior belief is that the probability of heads is $\Theta \sim$ Uniform $[0,1]$.
(a) Write down the prior distribution for the pair $(M, \Theta)$, assuming independence as usual.
(b) Find the posterior distribution of $(M, \Theta)$ given $x$.
(c) Find $\mathbb{P}(M=$ unbiased $\mid x)$, i.e. the posterior probability that the coin is unbiased.

This is a Bayesian question, and it's answered in the same way as any other Bayesian question: write down the prior density $\operatorname{Pr}_{M, \Theta}(m, \theta)$, write down the data density $\operatorname{Pr}(x \mid m, \theta)$, and multiply them together
(times a constant factor) to get the posterior $\operatorname{Pr}_{M, \Theta}(m, \theta \mid x)$. To keep track of all the cases, it may be helpful to use indicator functions, both for $\operatorname{Pr}_{M}$ and for $\operatorname{Pr}(x \mid m, \theta)$.

Part (c) is about nuisance parameters, as in exercise 7.4 in lecture notes (look at the mathematical solution of that exercise). Once we've found the posterior density, say $\operatorname{Pr}_{M, \Theta}(m, \theta)=\kappa f(m, \theta)$ where $\kappa$ is the normalizing constant, we have to integrate out $\theta$ to find the marginal distribution, as in exercise 7.4:

$$
\mathbb{P}(M=\text { fair } \mid x)=\int_{\theta} \kappa f(\text { fair }, \theta) d \theta \quad \mathbb{P}(M=\text { biased } \mid x)=\int_{\theta} \kappa f(\text { biased }, \theta) d \theta
$$

Then solve for $\kappa$, using the "densities sum to one" rule, as in exercise 7.5 from lecture notes.
This question is an illustration of Bayesian model selection, which you can read about in section 7.4 of lecture notes.

Question 18. (a) Suppose we have a single observation $x$, drawn from $\operatorname{Normal}\left(\mu+\nu, \sigma^{2}\right)$, where $\mu$ and $\nu$ are unknown parameters, and $\sigma^{2}$ is known. Explain why the maximum likelihood estimates for $\mu$ and $\nu$ are non-identifiable.
(b) For $\mu$ use $\operatorname{Normal}\left(\mu_{0}, \rho_{0}^{2}\right)$ as prior, and for $\nu$ use $\operatorname{Normal}\left(\nu_{0}, \rho_{0}^{2}\right)$, where $\mu_{0}, \nu_{0}$, and $\rho_{0}$ are known. Find the posterior density of $(\mu, \nu)$. Calculate the parameter values $(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\nu})$ where the posterior density is maximum. (These are called maximum a posteriori estimates or MAP estimates.)
(c) An engineer friend tells you "Bayesianism is the Apple of inference. You just work out the posterior, and everything Just Works ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$, and you don't need to worry about irritating things like non-identifiability." What do you think?

Question 19. Here's my answer to question 1:

```
k = np.random.choice(4, p=[.6,.3,.05,.05], size=n)
t = np.random.uniform(size=n)
x = np.column_stack([np.sin\pi(2**t), 0.55*np.sin\pi(2**(0.4*t+0.3)), -0.3*np.ones(n), 0.3*np.ones(n)])
y = np.column_stack([np.cos\pi(2**t), 0.55*np.cos\pi(2**(0.4*t+0.3)), 0.3*np.ones(n), 0.3*np.ones(n)])
xy = np.column_stack([x[np.arange(n), k], y[np.arange(n), k]])
xy = np.random.normal(loc=xy, scale=.08)
```

Compute the distribution of ( $X \mid Y=0.3$ ). Give your answer as a histogram.
You will need to derive your own method for sampling, along the lines of the derivation of computational Bayes in section 5.2. The difference here is that instead of using Bayes's rule

$$
\operatorname{Pr}_{X}(x \mid Y=y)=\kappa \operatorname{Pr}_{X, Y}(x, y)=\kappa \operatorname{Pr}_{X}(x) \operatorname{Pr}_{Y}(y \mid X=x)
$$

you will need to use a version more suited to the generation method used here,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}_{X, Y}(x, y)=\sum_{k} \int_{t} \operatorname{Pr}(x, y, k, t) d t=\sum_{k} \int_{t} \operatorname{Pr}_{K}(k) \operatorname{Pr}_{T}(t) \operatorname{Pr}_{X}(x \mid k, t) \operatorname{Pr}_{Y}(y \mid k, t) d t
$$

You should end up with a Monte Carlo integration that uses ( $K, T, X$ ) samples.

