

Complexity Theory

Lecture 5

Anuj Dawar

<http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/teaching/2122/Complexity>

Completeness

The usefulness of reductions is that they allow us to establish the *relative* complexity of problems, even when we cannot prove absolute lower bounds.

Cook (1972) first showed that there are problems in **NP** that are maximally difficult.

A language L is said to be *NP-hard* if for every language $A \in \text{NP}$, $A \leq_P L$.

A language L is *NP-complete* if it is in **NP** and it is **NP-hard**.

SAT is NP-complete

Cook and Levin independently showed that the language SAT of satisfiable Boolean expressions is NP-complete.

To establish this, we need to show that for every language L in NP, there is a polynomial time reduction from L to SAT.

Since L is in NP, there is a nondeterministic Turing machine

$$M = (Q, \Sigma, s, \delta)$$

and a bound k such that a string x of length n is in L if, and only if, it is accepted by M within n^k steps.

Boolean Formula

We need to give, for each $x \in \Sigma^*$, a Boolean expression $f(x)$ which is satisfiable if, and only if, there is an accepting computation of M on input x .

$f(x)$ has the following variables:

$$\begin{array}{ll} S_{i,q} & \text{for each } i \leq n^k \text{ and } q \in Q \\ T_{i,j,\sigma} & \text{for each } i,j \leq n^k \text{ and } \sigma \in \Sigma \\ H_{i,j} & \text{for each } i,j \leq n^k \end{array}$$

Intuitively, these variables are intended to mean:

- $S_{i,q}$ – the state of the machine at time i is q .
- $T_{i,j,\sigma}$ – at time i , the symbol at position j of the tape is σ .
- $H_{i,j}$ – at time i , the tape head is pointing at tape cell j .

We now have to see how to write the formula $f(x)$, so that it enforces these meanings.

Consistency

The head is never in two places at once

$$\bigwedge_i \bigwedge_j (H_{i,j} \rightarrow \bigwedge_{j' \neq j} (\neg H_{i,j'}))$$

The machine is never in two states at once

$$\bigwedge_q \bigwedge_i (S_{i,q} \rightarrow \bigwedge_{q' \neq q} (\neg S_{i,q'}))$$

Each tape cell contains only one symbol

$$\bigwedge_i \bigwedge_j \bigwedge_\sigma (T_{i,j,\sigma} \rightarrow \bigwedge_{\sigma' \neq \sigma} (\neg T_{i,j,\sigma'}))$$

Computation

The tape does not change except under the head

$$\bigwedge_i \bigwedge_j \bigwedge_{j' \neq j} \bigwedge_\sigma (H_{i,j} \wedge T_{i,j',\sigma}) \rightarrow T_{i+1,j',\sigma}$$

Each step is according to δ .

$$\bigwedge_i \bigwedge_j \bigwedge_\sigma \bigwedge_q (H_{i,j} \wedge S_{i,q} \wedge T_{i,j,\sigma}) \\ \rightarrow \bigvee_{\Delta} (H_{i+1,j'} \wedge S_{i+1,q'} \wedge T_{i+1,j,\sigma'})$$

where Δ is the set of all triples (q', σ', D) such that $((q, \sigma), (q', \sigma', D)) \in \delta$ and

$$j' = \begin{cases} j & \text{if } D = S \\ j - 1 & \text{if } D = L \\ j + 1 & \text{if } D = R \end{cases}$$

Finally, the accepting state is reached

$$\bigvee_i S_{i, \text{acc}}$$

Initialization

Initial state is s and the head is initially at the beginning of the tape.

$$S_{1,s} \wedge H_{1,1}$$

The initial tape contents are x

$$\bigwedge_{j \leq n} T_{1,j,x_j} \wedge \bigwedge_{n < j} T_{1,j,\sqcup}$$

CNF

A Boolean expression is in *conjunctive normal form* if it is the conjunction of a set of *clauses*, each of which is the disjunction of a set of *literals*, each of these being either a *variable* or the *negation* of a variable.

For any Boolean expression ϕ , there is an equivalent expression ψ in conjunctive normal form.

ψ can be exponentially longer than ϕ .

However, **CNF-SAT**, the collection of satisfiable **CNF** expressions, is **NP**-complete.

3SAT

A Boolean expression is in **3CNF** if it is in conjunctive normal form and each clause contains at most **3** literals.

3SAT is defined as the language consisting of those expressions in **3CNF** that are satisfiable.

3SAT is **NP**-complete, as there is a polynomial time reduction from **CNF-SAT** to **3SAT**.

Composing Reductions

Polynomial time reductions are clearly closed under composition.
So, if $L_1 \leq_P L_2$ and $L_2 \leq_P L_3$, then we also have $L_1 \leq_P L_3$.

If we show, for some problem A in NP that

$$\text{SAT} \leq_P A$$

or

$$3\text{SAT} \leq_P A$$

it follows that A is also NP -complete.