

Lecture 9

STLC equations

take the form $\Gamma \vdash s = t : A$ where $\Gamma \vdash s : A$ and $\Gamma \vdash t : A$ are provable.

Such an equation is satisfied by the semantics in a ccc if $M[\Gamma \vdash s : A]$ and $M[\Gamma \vdash t : A]$ are equal \mathbf{C} -morphisms $M[\Gamma] \rightarrow M[A]$.

Qu: which equations are always satisfied in any ccc?

Ans: $\beta\eta$ -equivalence...

STLC $\beta\eta$ -Equality

The relation $\Gamma \vdash s =_{\beta\eta} t : A$ (where Γ ranges over typing environments, s and t over terms and A over types) is inductively defined by the following rules:

STLC $\beta\eta$ -Equality

The relation $\Gamma \vdash s =_{\beta\eta} t : A$ (where Γ ranges over typing environments, s and t over terms and A over types) is inductively defined by the following rules:

► β -conversions

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : B \quad \Gamma \vdash s : A}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : A. t)s =_{\beta\eta} t[s/x] : B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash s : A \quad \Gamma \vdash t : B}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fst}(s, t) =_{\beta\eta} s : A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash s : A \quad \Gamma \vdash t : B}{\Gamma \vdash \text{snd}(s, t) =_{\beta\eta} t : B}$$

STLC $\beta\eta$ -Equality

The relation $\Gamma \vdash s =_{\beta\eta} t : A$ (where Γ ranges over typing environments, s and t over terms and A over types) is inductively defined by the following rules:

- ▶ β -conversions
- ▶ η -conversions

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \rightarrow B \quad x \text{ does not occur in } t}{\Gamma \vdash t =_{\beta\eta} (\lambda x : A. t x) : A \rightarrow B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \times B}{\Gamma \vdash t =_{\beta\eta} (\text{fst } t, \text{snd } t) : A \times B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \text{unit}}{\Gamma \vdash t =_{\beta\eta} () : \text{unit}}$$

STLC $\beta\eta$ -Equality

The relation $\Gamma \vdash s =_{\beta\eta} t : A$ (where Γ ranges over typing environments, s and t over terms and A over types) is inductively defined by the following rules:

- ▶ β -conversions
- ▶ η -conversions
- ▶ congruence rules

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash t =_{\beta\eta} t' : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. t =_{\beta\eta} \lambda x : A. t' : A \rightarrow B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash s =_{\beta\eta} s' : A \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash t =_{\beta\eta} t' : A}{\Gamma \vdash s t =_{\beta\eta} s' t' : B}$$

etc

STLC $\beta\eta$ -Equality

The relation $\Gamma \vdash s =_{\beta\eta} t : A$ (where Γ ranges over typing environments, s and t over terms and A over types) is inductively defined by the following rules:

- ▶ β -conversions
- ▶ η -conversions
- ▶ congruence rules
- ▶ $=_{\beta\eta}$ is reflexive, symmetric and transitive

$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A}{\Gamma \vdash t =_{\beta\eta} t : A}$	$\frac{\Gamma \vdash s =_{\beta\eta} t : A}{\Gamma \vdash t =_{\beta\eta} s : A}$
---	---

$\frac{\Gamma \vdash r =_{\beta\eta} s : A \quad \Gamma \vdash s =_{\beta\eta} t : A}{\Gamma \vdash r =_{\beta\eta} t : A}$

STLC $\beta\eta$ -Equality

Soundness Theorem for semantics of STLC in a ccc.
If $\Gamma \vdash s =_{\beta\eta} t : A$ is provable, then in any ccc

$$M[\Gamma \vdash s : A] = M[\Gamma \vdash t : A]$$

are equal **C**-morphisms $M[\Gamma] \rightarrow M[A]$.

Proof is by induction on the structure of the proof of $\Gamma \vdash s =_{\beta\eta} t : A$.

Here we just check the case of β -conversion for functions.

So suppose we have $\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : B$ and $\Gamma \vdash s : A$. We have to see that

$$M[\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : A. t)s : B] = M[\Gamma \vdash t[s/x] : B]$$

Suppose

$$M[\Gamma] = X$$

$$M[A] = Y$$

$$M[B] = Z$$

$$M[\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : B] = f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$$

$$M[\Gamma \vdash s : A] = g : X \rightarrow Z$$

Then

$$M[\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. t : A \rightarrow B] = \text{cur } f : X \rightarrow Z^Y$$

and hence

$$M[\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : A. t)s : B]$$

$$= \text{app} \circ \langle \text{cur } f, g \rangle$$

$$= \text{app} \circ (\text{cur } f \times \text{id}_Y) \circ \langle \text{id}_X, g \rangle$$

$$= f \circ \langle \text{id}_X, g \rangle$$

$$= M[\Gamma \vdash t[s/x] : B]$$

since $(a \times b) \circ \langle c, d \rangle = \langle a \circ c, b \circ d \rangle$

by definition of $\text{cur } f$

by the Substitution Theorem

as required.

The internal language of a ccc, \mathbf{C}

- ▶ one ground type for each \mathbf{C} -object X
- ▶ for each $X \in \mathbf{C}$, one constant f^X for each \mathbf{C} -morphism $f : 1 \rightarrow X$ (“global element” of the object X)

The types and terms of STLC over this language usefully describe constructions on the objects and morphisms of \mathbf{C} using its cartesian closed structure, but in an “element-theoretic” way.

For example...

Example

In any ccc \mathbf{C} , for any $X, Y, Z \in \mathbf{C}$ there is an isomorphism

$$Z^{(X \times Y)} \cong (Z^Y)^X$$

Example

In any ccc \mathbf{C} , for any $X, Y, Z \in \mathbf{C}$ there is an isomorphism

$$Z^{(X \times Y)} \cong (Z^Y)^X$$

which in the internal language of \mathbf{C} is described by the terms

$$\diamond \vdash s : ((X \times Y) \rightarrow Z) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow (Y \rightarrow Z))$$

$$\diamond \vdash t : (X \rightarrow (Y \rightarrow Z)) \rightarrow ((X \times Y) \rightarrow Z)$$

where $\begin{cases} s & \triangleq \lambda f : (X \times Y) \rightarrow Z. \lambda x : X. \lambda y : Y. f(x, y) \\ t & \triangleq \lambda g : X \rightarrow (Y \rightarrow Z). \lambda z : X \times Y. g(\text{fst } z) (\text{snd } z) \end{cases}$ and

which satisfy $\begin{cases} \diamond, f : (X \times Y) \rightarrow Z \vdash t(s f) =_{\beta\eta} f \\ \diamond, g : X \rightarrow (Y \rightarrow Z) \vdash s(t g) =_{\beta\eta} g \end{cases}$

Free cartesian closed categories

The Soundness Theorem has a converse—completeness.

In fact for a given set of ground types and typed constants there is a single ccc **F** (the **free ccc** for that language) with an interpretation function M so that $\Gamma \vdash s =_{\beta\eta} t : A$ is provable iff $M[\Gamma \vdash s : A] = M[\Gamma \vdash t : A]$ in **F**.

Free cartesian closed categories

The Soundness Theorem has a converse—completeness.

In fact for a given set of ground types and typed constants there is a single ccc \mathbf{F} (the **free ccc** for that language) with an interpretation function M so that $\Gamma \vdash s =_{\beta\eta} t : A$ is provable iff $M[\Gamma \vdash s : A] = M[\Gamma \vdash t : A]$ in \mathbf{F} .

- ▶ \mathbf{F} -objects are the STLC types over the given set of ground types
- ▶ \mathbf{F} -morphisms $A \rightarrow B$ are equivalence classes of STLC terms t satisfying $\diamond \vdash t : A \rightarrow B$ (so t is a *closed* term—it has no free variables) with respect to the equivalence relation equating s and t if $\diamond \vdash s =_{\beta\eta} t : A \rightarrow B$ is provable.
- ▶ identity morphism on A is the equivalence class of $\diamond \vdash \lambda x : A. x : A \rightarrow A$.
- ▶ composition of a morphism $A \rightarrow B$ represented by $\diamond \vdash s : A \rightarrow B$ and a morphism $B \rightarrow C$ represented by $\diamond \vdash t : B \rightarrow C$ is represented by $\diamond \vdash \lambda x : A. t(s\ x) : A \rightarrow C$.

Curry-Howard correspondence

Logic		Type Theory
propositions	\leftrightarrow	types
proofs	\leftrightarrow	terms

E.g. IPL *versus* STLC.

Curry-Howard for IPL vs STLC

Proof of $\diamond, \varphi \Rightarrow \psi, \psi \Rightarrow \theta \vdash \varphi \Rightarrow \theta$ in IPL

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\dots (AX)}{\Phi \vdash \psi \Rightarrow \theta} (WK) \quad \frac{\frac{\dots (AX)}{\Phi \vdash \varphi \Rightarrow \psi} (WK) \quad \frac{\dots (AX)}{\Phi \vdash \varphi} (AX)}{\Phi \vdash \psi} (\Rightarrow E)}{\Phi \vdash \theta} (\Rightarrow E)}{\diamond, \varphi \Rightarrow \psi, \psi \Rightarrow \theta \vdash \varphi \Rightarrow \theta} (\Rightarrow I)$$

where $\Phi = \diamond, \varphi \Rightarrow \psi, \psi \Rightarrow \theta, \varphi$

Curry-Howard for IPL vs STLC

and a corresponding STLC term

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\dots (AX)}{\Phi \vdash z : \psi \Rightarrow \theta} (WK) \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\dots (AX)}{\Phi \vdash y : \varphi \Rightarrow \psi} (WK) \quad \frac{\dots (WK)}{\Phi \vdash yx : \psi} (WK)}{\Phi \vdash yx : \psi} (\Rightarrow E) \quad \frac{\dots (AX)}{\Phi \vdash x : \varphi} (\Rightarrow E)}{\Phi \vdash z(yx) : \theta} (\Rightarrow E)}{\diamond, y : \varphi \Rightarrow \psi, z : \psi \Rightarrow \theta \vdash \lambda x : \varphi. z(yx) : \varphi \Rightarrow \theta} (\Rightarrow I)$$

where $\Phi = \diamond, y : \varphi \Rightarrow \psi, z : \psi \Rightarrow \theta, x : \varphi$

Curry-Howard-Lawvere/Lambek correspondence

Logic		Type Theory		Category Theory
propositions	\leftrightarrow	types	\leftrightarrow	objects
proofs	\leftrightarrow	terms	\leftrightarrow	morphisms

E.g. IPL *versus* STLC *versus* CCCs

Curry-Howard-Lawvere/Lambek correspondence

Logic		Type Theory		Category Theory
propositions	\leftrightarrow	types	\leftrightarrow	objects
proofs	\leftrightarrow	terms	\leftrightarrow	morphisms

E.g. IPL *versus* STLC *versus* CCCs

These correspondences can be made into category-theoretic equivalences—we first need to define the notions of **functor** and **natural transformation** in order to define the notion of **equivalence of categories**.