14: Clique Finding
Machine Learning and Real-world Data (MLRD)

Simone Teufel
Last session: betweenness centrality

- You implemented betweenness centrality.
- This let you find “gatekeeper” nodes in the Facebook network.
- We will now turn to the task of finding clusters in networks.
Clustering and Classification

- **Clustering**: automatically grouping data according to some notion of closeness or similarity.
- **Classification** (e.g., sentiment classification): assigning data items to predefined classes.
- Clustering: groupings can emerge from data, unsupervised.
- Clustering for documents, images etc: anything where there’s a notion of similarity between items.
- Most famous technique for hard clustering is **k-means**: very general (also variant for graphs).
- Also soft clustering: clusters have graded membership
Agglomerative vs. divisive clustering

- **agglomerative clustering** works bottom-up.
- **divisive clustering** works top-down, by splitting.
- Newman-Girvan method — a form of divisive clustering.
- Criterion for breaking links is edge betweenness centrality.
Dolphin data: different clustering layers

- squares vs circles: first split
- shades of blue: further splits

Facebook circles dataset: McAuley and Leskovec (2012)

- Profile and network data from 10 Facebook ego-networks.
- An ego network is a network emanating from one person.
- Circles are defined as Facebook friends in a particular social group.
- Gold-standard circles are manually identified by the egos themselves.
Facebook Circles task

- Complete network consists of 4,039 nodes in 193 circles.
- Average: 19 circles per ego, each circle with average of 22 alters.
- You will cluster only a small network derived from one ego.
Doing the full Facebook Circles task

25% of circles are contained completely within another circle
50% overlap with another circle
25% have no members in common with any other circle

Requires more sophisticated methods than Newman-Girvan:

- Nodes may be in multiple circles, so we need soft clustering.
- Use sociological/demographic data from outside the network data.
Evaluating simple clustering

- Assume data sets with gold standard or ground truth clusters.
- But: unlike classification, we don’t have labels for clusters, number of clusters found may not equal true classes.
- **purity**: assign label corresponding to majority class found in each cluster, then count correct assignments, divide by total elements (cf accuracy).
  

- But best evaluation (if possible) is **extrinsic**: use the system to do a task and evaluate that.
Newman-Girvan method

\textbf{while} number of connected subgraphs $<$ specified number of clusters (and there are still edges):

1. calculate edge betweenness for every edge in the graph
2. remove edge(s) with highest betweenness
3. recalculate number of connected components

\textbf{Note:}

- Treatment of tied edges: either remove all (today) or choose one randomly.
Newman-Girvan Method: Stopping Criterion

- The image below is called a dendrogram.
- Either: stop at prespecified level (tick).
- Or: complete process and choose best level by ‘modularity’ (Newman, 2004; starred tick).

Edge betweenness centrality

- Previously: $\sigma(s, t|v)$ — the number of shortest paths between $s$ and $t$ going through node $v$.
- Now: $\sigma(s, t|e)$ — the number of shortest paths between $s$ and $t$ going through edge $e$.
- Algorithm only changes in the bottom-up (accumulation) phase: $\delta(v)$ much as before, but $c_B[(v, w)]$ is now
Edge Betweenness (Brandes 2008)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{\textbf{accumulation}} & \quad // \quad \text{back-propagation of dependencies} \\
\text{for } v \in V & \text{ do } \delta[v] \leftarrow 0 \\
\text{while } S \text{ not empty do} & \\
& \quad \text{pop } w \leftarrow S \\
& \quad \text{for } v \in \text{Pred}[w] \text{ do } \delta[v] \leftarrow \delta[v] + \frac{\sigma[v]}{\sigma[w]} \cdot (1 + \delta[w]) \\
& \quad \text{if } w \neq s \text{ then } c_B[w] \leftarrow c_B[w] + \delta[w]
\end{align*}
\]

Edge betweenness

output: betweenness $c_B[q]$ for $q \in V \cup E$ (initialized to 0)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{\textbf{accumulation}} \\
\text{for } v \in V & \text{ do } \delta[v] \leftarrow 0 \\
\text{while } S \text{ not empty do} & \\
& \quad \text{pop } w \leftarrow S \\
& \quad \text{for } v \in \text{Pred}[w] \text{ do} \\
& \quad & c \leftarrow \frac{\sigma[v]}{\sigma[w]} \cdot (1 + \delta[w]) \\
& \quad & c_B[(v, w)] \leftarrow c_B[(v, w)] + c \\
& \quad & \delta[v] \leftarrow \delta[v] + c \\
& \quad & \text{if } w \neq s \text{ then } c_B[w] \leftarrow c_B[w] + \delta[w]
\end{align*}
\]
Final Task

Task 12:

- Determine connected components
- Change code for betweenness centrality (from node to edge)
- Implement the Newman-Girvan method to discover clusters in the network provided.
Code for determining connected components

- Today’s graph is disconnected: there are five connected components.
- Finding connected components: depth-first search, start at an arbitrary node and mark the other nodes you reach.
- Repeat with unvisited nodes, until all are visited.
- Implementation hint: depth-first, so use recursion (the program stack stores the search state).
End of Course

- Thanks for your attention
- Please fill in the evaluation questionnaire (we actually read these carefully)
- Two catch-up sessions to follow – everybody must get every tick
- Last-chance session in Easter term TBA
- A pen will be waiting for you upon successful completion; pick up at student reception once full access is restored.