# Asymptotic Equipartition Property and Data Compression Exercises

all exercises are by Cover and Thomas except where noted otherwise

#### Exercise 3.3:

The AEP and source coding. A discrete memoryless source emits a sequence of statistically independent binary digits with probabilities p(1) = 0.005 and p(0) = 0.995. The digits are taken 100 at a time and a binary codeword is provided for every sequence of 100 digits containing three or fewer ones.

- (a) Assuming that all codewords are the same length, find the minimum length required to provide codewords for all sequences with three or fewer ones.
- (b) Calculate the probability of observing a source sequence for which no codeword has been assigned.

## Exercise 5.4:

Huffman Coding. Consider the random variable

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & x_5 & x_6 & x_7 \\ 0.49 & 0.26 & 0.12 & 0.04 & 0.04 & 0.03 & 0.02 \end{pmatrix}$$
(1)

- (a) Find a binary Huffman code for **X**.
- (b) Find the expected codelength for this encoding.
- (c) Find a ternary Huffman code for **X** (a ternary code is one which uses three symbols, e.g.  $\{0, 1, 2\}$ , instead of a binary code's two symbols  $\{0, 1\}$ ).

## Exercise from Lectures:

Fano and Huffman codes. Construct Fano and Huffman codes for  $\{0.2, 0.2, 0.18, 0.16, 0.14, 0.12\}$ . Compare the expected number of bits per symbol in the two codes with each other and with the entropy. Which code is best?

#### Exercise 5.21:

Optimal codes for uniform distributions. Consider a random variable with m equiprobable outcomes. The entropy of this information sources is obviously  $\log_2 m$  bits.

- (a) Describe the optimal instantaneous binary code for this source and compute the average codeword length  $L_m$ .
- (b) For what values of m does the average codeword length  $L_m$  equal the entropy  $H = \log_2 m$ ?
- (c) We know that L < H + 1 for any probability distribution. The redundancy of a variable length code is defined to be  $\rho = L H$ . For what value(s) of m, where  $2^k \le m \le 2^{k+1}$ , is the redundancy of the code maximised? What is the limiting value of this worst case redundancy as  $m \to \infty$ ?

### Exercise 5.25:

Shannon code. Consider the following method for generating a code for a random variable X which takes on m values  $\{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$  with probabilities  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_m$ . Assume that the probabilities are ordered so that  $p_1 \ge p_2 \ge \cdots \ge p_m$ . Define

$$F_i = \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} p_i,$$
 (2)

the sum of the probabilities of all symbols less than *i*. Then the codeword for *i* is the number  $F_i \in [0, 1]$  rounded off to  $l_i$  bits, where  $l_i = \lceil \log \frac{1}{p_i} \rceil$ .

(a) Show that the code constructed by this process is prefix-free and the average length satisfies

$$H(X) \le L < H(X) + 1 \tag{3}$$

(b) Construct the code for the probability distribution (0.5, 0.25, 0.125, 0.125).