

## Complexity Theory

Easter 2001

### Suggested Exercises 3

1. We say that a propositional formula  $\phi$  is in **2CNF** if it is a conjunction of clauses, each of which contains exactly 2 literals. The point of this problem is to show that the satisfiability problem for formulas in **2CNF** can be solved by a polynomial time algorithm.

First note that any clause with 2 literals can be written as an implication in exactly two ways. For instance  $(p \vee \neg q)$  is equivalent to  $(q \rightarrow p)$  and  $(\neg p \rightarrow \neg q)$ , and  $(p \vee q)$  is equivalent to  $(\neg p \rightarrow q)$  and  $(\neg q \rightarrow p)$ .

For any formula  $\phi$ , define the directed graph  $G_\phi$  to be the graph whose set of vertices is the set of all literals that occur in  $\phi$ , and in which there is an edge from literal  $x$  to literal  $y$  if, and only if, the implication  $(x \rightarrow y)$  is equivalent to one of the clauses in  $\phi$ .

- (a) If  $\phi$  has  $n$  variables and  $m$  clauses, give an upper bound on the number of vertices and edges in  $G_\phi$ .
  - (b) Show that  $\phi$  is *unsatisfiable* if, and only if, there is a literal  $x$  such that there is a path in  $G_\phi$  from  $x$  to  $\neg x$  and a path from  $\neg x$  to  $x$ .
  - (c) Give an algorithm for verifying that a graph  $G_\phi$  satisfies the property stated in (b) above. What is the complexity of your algorithm?
  - (d) From (c) deduce that there is a polynomial time algorithm for testing whether or not a **2CNF** propositional formula is satisfiable.
  - (e) Why does this idea not work if we have 3 literals per clause?
2. A clause (i.e. a disjunction of literals) is called a *Horn* clause, if it contains *at most one* positive literal. Such a clause can be written as an implication:  $(x \vee (\neg y) \vee (\neg w) \vee (\neg z))$  is equivalent to  $((y \wedge w \wedge z) \rightarrow x)$ . **HORNSAT** is the problem of deciding whether a given Boolean expression that is a conjunction of Horn clauses is satisfiable.
    - (a) Show that there is a polynomial time algorithm for solving **HORNSAT**. (Hint: if a variable is the only literal in a clause, it must be set to **true**; if all the negative variables in a clause have been set to **true**, then the positive one must also be set to **true**. Continue this procedure until a contradiction is reached or a satisfying truth assignment is found).
    - (b) In the proof of the **NP-completeness** of **SAT** it was shown how to construct, for every nondeterministic machine  $M$ , integer  $k$  and string  $x$  a Boolean expression  $\phi$  which is satisfiable if, and only if,  $M$  accepts

$x$  within  $n^k$  steps. Show that, if  $M$  is deterministic, then  $\phi$  can be chosen to be a conjunction of Horn clauses.

(c) Conclude from (b) that the problem HORNSAT is P-complete under L-reductions.

3. In general  $k$ -colourability is the problem of deciding, given a graph  $G = (V, E)$ , whether there is a colouring  $\chi : V \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$  of the vertices such that if  $(u, v) \in E$ , then  $\chi(u) \neq \chi(v)$ . That is, adjacent vertices do not have the same colour.

(a) Show that there is a polynomial time algorithm for solving 2-colourability.

(b) Show that, for each  $k$ ,  $k$ -colourability is reducible to  $k + 1$ -colourability. What can you conclude from this about the complexity of 4-colourability?

4. POLYLOGSPACE is the complexity class

$$\bigcup_k \text{SPACE}((\log n)^k).$$

(a) Show that, for any  $k$ , if  $A \in \text{SPACE}((\log n)^k)$  and  $B \leq_L A$ , then  $B \in \text{SPACE}((\log n)^k)$ .

(b) Show that there are no POLYLOGSPACE-complete problems with respect to  $\leq_L$ . (Hint: use (a) and the space hierarchy theorem).

(c) Which of the following might be true:  $\text{P} \subseteq \text{POLYLOGSPACE}$ ,  $\text{P} \supseteq \text{POLYLOGSPACE}$ ,  $\text{P} = \text{POLYLOGSPACE}$ ?