

Probability and Computation: Problem Sheet 5 Solutions

You are encouraged to submit your solutions at student reception or by emailing them to luca.zanetti@cl.cam.ac.uk by 2pm Friday 28th of February

Question 1.

- (i) Prove that for every $n \geq 2$ there is an unweighted, undirected n -vertex graph with conductance 1.
(ii) (Open-Ended Bonus Question): Can you characterise all graphs with that property?

Solution: Item (i): Let G be the star graph (that is, a tree of diameter 2 – or equivalently, the tree where $n - 1$ of its n vertices have degree 1). As explained in the lecture, the conductance can be alternatively defined as

$$\phi(G) = \min_{\substack{\emptyset \neq S \subseteq V: \\ \text{vol}(S) \leq \text{vol}(V)/2}} \phi(S), \quad \text{where } \phi(S) = \frac{|E(S, V \setminus S)|}{\text{vol}(S)}.$$

(Since G is unweighted, $w(S, V \setminus S) = |E(S, V \setminus S)|$.) Note that for any subset $S \subseteq V$ which does not include the center vertex denoted by c , $|E(S, V \setminus S)| = |S|$, $\text{vol}(S) = |S| \cdot 1 = |S|$ and $\phi(S) = 1$. Furthermore, if $S = \{c\}$, then $|E(S, V \setminus S)| = n - 1$, $\text{vol}(S) = n - 1 = \text{vol}(V)/2$ and $\phi(S) = 1$. Any set S that includes c cannot contain more vertices since then the volume of S would exceed $\text{vol}(V)/2$. Hence $\Phi(G) = 1$.

Item (ii): First, note that for $n = 3$, the complete graph is another example that has conductance 1, and thus, any connected graph with $n = 3$ has conductance 1.

Suppose $n \geq 4$ and $\phi(G) = 1$. Let u, v, w, t be 4 unique vertices such that $(u, v) \in E(G)$.

Since $(u, v) \in E(G)$, then $d(u) + d(v) > \text{vol}(V)/2$, because otherwise $\phi(\{u, v\}) < 1$. Additionally, $d(u) + d(v) \leq \text{vol}(V) - (d(w) + d(t))$. It implies that

$$d(w) + d(t) < \text{vol}(V)/2.$$

Therefore, $(w, t) \notin E(G)$, otherwise for set $\phi(\{w, t\}) < 1$.

Thus, we have established that for every edge (u, v) , all other edges of the graph have either u or v as one of its endpoints. Additionally, it is not possible to have edges (w_1, u) and (w_2, v) for $w_1 \neq w_2$, as then the statement would not hold for the edge (w_1, u) . Thus, either u or v have to be an endpoint for all edges of G . Thus, G is a star graph.

Question 2. Recall the 8-vertex graph from Lecture 11, slide 11, which has $1 - \lambda_2(P) \approx 0.13$. To get some idea of how small (or large) this value is, prove the following bounds on the conductance of any unweighted, connected, 3-regular graph with 8 vertices:

- (i) Show that for any such graph G , $\phi(G) \leq \frac{1}{2}$
(ii) Show that for any such graph G , $\phi(G) \geq 1/12$
(iii) Which lower and upper bounds on $1 - \lambda_2$, where λ_2 is associated with the transition matrix of the lazy walk on G , can you deduce from (i) and (ii) using Cheeger's inequality?

Solution: Item (i): We apply a method that is similar to the guessing algorithm for MAX-CUT. Let $V = \{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$. Let S be a random subset of size 4, and for any $1 \leq i \leq 7$, let $X_i = 1$ iff $i \in S$ and

$X_i = 0$ otherwise. As G is 3-regular, $\text{vol}(S) = 4 \cdot 3 = 12$; this holds for any set S . Consider now the number of cut edges. By linearity of expectation,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}[|E(S, V \setminus S)|] &= \sum_{\{u,v\} \in E(G)} \mathbf{P}[(u \in S \cap v \notin S) \cup (u \notin S \cap v \in S)] \\ &= \sum_{\{u,v\} \in E(G)} \frac{4}{7} \\ &= \frac{4}{7} \cdot |E(G)| \\ &= \frac{4}{7} \cdot 4 \cdot 3 = \frac{48}{7}. \end{aligned}$$

Now using the probabilistic method there exists a set S with $|E(S, V \setminus S)| \leq \lfloor \frac{48}{7} \rfloor = 6$. Since $\text{vol}(S) = 12$, this implies $\phi(G) \leq 1/2$, and thus the conductance of the lazy/weighted graph is at most $1/4$.

(As a side remark, for the complete graph all sets S have the same number of edges, and a slight modification of the above approach would establish that the complete graph has the largest conductance among all regular graphs.)

Furthermore, the same bound can be also derived by taking S as a connected set of size 4. Since S is connected, it must have at least 3 edges inside and thus $|E(S, V \setminus S)| \leq 4 \cdot 3 - 2 \cdot 3 = 6$. Thus we again conclude that $\phi(G) \leq 1/2$ (for the unweighted graph). However, for larger degree, the bound obtained by the probabilistic method is superior as it remains $1/2$, while the bound derived from a connected set would converge towards 1.

Item (ii): Since the graph is connected, for any set S , $|E(S, V \setminus S)| \geq 1$. Furthermore, $\text{vol}(S) \leq |S| \cdot 3$. Hence,

$$\phi(G) \geq \min_{1 \leq |S| \leq 4} \frac{1}{|S| \cdot 3} = \frac{1}{12}.$$

(Actually it might be possible to argue that any 3-regular graphs with 8 vertices is 2-connected, and if this was true the lower bound could be improved by a factor of 2.)

Item (iii): Recall that Cheeger's inequality states:

$$\frac{1 - \lambda_2}{2} \leq \phi(G) \leq \sqrt{2(1 - \lambda_2)},$$

and rearranging yields

$$\frac{\Phi(G)^2}{2} \leq 1 - \lambda_2 \leq 2\Phi(G).$$

Using our estimates from (i) and (ii) yields

$$\frac{1}{1152} \leq 1 - \lambda_2 \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Another try is to apply the bound from Lecture 10 (last line in slide 12). For that we need an upper bound on the diameter of G . It is not hard to prove that the diameter δ of any d -regular graph satisfies $\delta \leq 3n/d$. Therefore,

$$1 - \lambda_2 \geq \frac{1}{2 \cdot d \cdot (3n/d) \cdot n} = \frac{1}{6n^2} = \frac{1}{294},$$

which is a bit better, although probably still far from the truth (i.e., the worst possible 3-regular graph). We may conclude by saying that proving both general and "useful" lower bounds on $1 - \lambda_2$ is not easy...

Question 3. Find the conductance of the following graphs

1. The n -vertex path.
2. The 2-dimensional $n \times m$ grid.

3. The complete binary tree of height h .

Solution: We will give only approximate solutions and we won't prove that these sets actually minimise the conductance.

1. The conductance of the path is minimised by the a partition that cuts the path halfway through. It has conductance $\Theta(1/n)$
 2. Let w.l.o.g. $n \leq m$. Then, consider a set containing the first $\lfloor m/2 \rfloor$ columns. It has conductance $\Theta(1/m)$.
 3. Let r be the root of the tree and u, v its two children. Consider the subtree rooted at u . It has conductance $\Theta(2^{-h})$.
-

Question 4. Prove the following: A finite, irreducible, aperiodic Markov chain with transition matrix P is reversible if and only if its transition probabilities satisfy

$$P(j_1, j_2)P(j_2, j_3) \cdots P(j_{n-1}, j_n)P(j_n, j_1) = P(j_1, j_n)P(j_n, j_{n-1}) \cdots P(j_3, j_2)P(j_2, j_1),$$

for any sequence of states j_1, \dots, j_n .

Solution: This result is known as *Kolmogorov's criterion*.

Since our Markov chain X_t is finite, irreducible and aperiodic it has a unique stationary distribution π , what is more X_t converges to π as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

(\implies): Assume that P is reversible, thus for any states $i, j \in \Omega$ we have

$$P(i, j) = \frac{\pi(j)P(j, i)}{\pi(i)}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & P(j_1, j_2)P(j_2, j_3) \cdots P(j_{n-1}, j_n)P(j_n, j_1) \\ &= \left(\frac{\pi(j_2)P(j_2, j_1)}{\pi(j_1)} \right) \left(\frac{\pi(j_3)P(j_3, j_2)}{\pi(j_2)} \right) \cdots \left(\frac{\pi(j_n)P(j_n, j_{n-1})}{\pi(j_{n-1})} \right) \left(\frac{\pi(j_1)P(j_1, j_n)}{\pi(j_n)} \right) \\ &= P(j_1, j_n)P(j_n, j_{n-1}) \cdots P(j_3, j_2)P(j_2, j_1), \end{aligned}$$

(\impliedby): Assume that

$$P(j_1, j_2)P(j_2, j_3) \cdots P(j_{n-1}, j_n)P(j_n, j_1) = P(j_1, j_n)P(j_n, j_{n-1}) \cdots P(j_3, j_2)P(j_2, j_1),$$

holds for any sequence of states j_1, \dots, j_n .

Now fix any two states x and y and observe that for any sequence of states j_1, \dots, j_{n-1} we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & P(y, x) \cdot \mathbf{P}[X_n = y, X_{n-1} = j_{n-1}, X_{n-2} = j_{n-2}, \dots, X_0 = x \mid X_0 = x] \\ &= P(y, x) \cdot P(x, j_1)P(j_1, j_2) \cdots P(j_{n-1}, y) \\ &= P(x, y) \cdot P(y, j_{n-1})P(j_{n-1}, j_{n-2}) \cdots P(j_1, x) \\ &= P(x, y) \cdot \mathbf{P}[X_n = x, X_{n-1} = j_1, X_{n-2} = j_2, \dots, X_0 = y \mid X_0 = y]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if we sum both sides of the equality above over all possible sequences j_1, \dots, j_{n-1} we obtain

$$P(y, x) \cdot P^{(n)}(x, y) = P(x, y) \cdot P^{(n)}(y, x).$$

Now since for any $u, v \in \Omega$ we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P^{(n)}(u, v) = \pi(v)$ we can take limits in n to conclude that

$$\pi(x)P(x, y) = \pi(y)P(y, x),$$

as claimed.

Question 5. Let G be a connected graph and P be the transition matrix of the simple random walk on G .

1. Show that if -1 is an eigenvalue of P then the walk is periodic.
2. Show that if G is bipartite and μ is an eigenvalue of P then $-\mu$ is also an eigenvalue of G , and that μ and $-\mu$ have the same multiplicity.

Solution:

1. Let f be any nonzero function such that $Pf = -f$. Notice that, for any u ,

$$f(u) = - \sum_{v: u \sim v} \frac{f(v)}{d(u)}$$

Choose $u = \arg \max_w |f(w)|$. From the equation above it is clear that, for any $v \sim u$, $f(u) = -f(v)$. Since the graph is connected, we can deduce that $|f(x)| = |f(y)| \neq 0$ for any pair of vertices x, y . Moreover, if there exists an edge between x and y , it must hold that $f(x) = -f(y)$. Since f is nonzero everywhere, this condition can be only satisfied if G is bipartite, which implies that P is periodic.

2. We need to show that if f is such that $Pf = \mu f$, then there exists g such that $Pg = -\mu g$. Moreover, to show that multiplicities are preserved, we need to show that if pairwise orthogonal (w.r.t. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\pi$ inner-product, where π is the stationary distribution of P) functions f^1, \dots, f^k are such that $Pf^i = \mu f^i$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$), then there exist pairwise orthogonal g^1, \dots, g^k such that $Pg^i = -\mu g^i$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$).

Since the graph is bipartite, we can write P (potentially after permuting the vertices) as a block matrix

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P_2 \\ P_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where each block corresponds to one side of the bipartition. Let now $f = (f_1, f_2)$ be such that $Pf = \mu f$ (notice that this implies $Pf = (P_2 f_2, P_1 f_1) = (\mu f_1, \mu f_2)$). Let $g = (f_1, -f_2)$. then,

$$Pg = (-P_2 f_2, P_1 f_1) = (-\mu f_1, \mu f_2) = -\mu g.$$

Moreover, assume we have pairwise orthogonal f^1, \dots, f^k such that $Pf^i = \mu f^i$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$). Then, for any $1 \leq i \leq k$, we can construct $g^i = (f_1^i, -f_2^i)$. We have shown that with this construction $Pg^i = -\mu g^i$. We just need to show that, for any $i \neq j$, $g^i \perp g^j$. This can be shown as follows:

$$\langle g^i, g^j \rangle_\pi = \sum_x g^i(x) g^j(x) \pi(x) = \sum_x f^i(x) f^j(x) \pi(x) = \langle f^i, f^j \rangle_\pi.$$

Question 6. Recall the definition of the ℓ_1 -mixing time τ and the ℓ_2 -mixing time τ_2 . Prove that $\tau_2(\epsilon) \geq \tau(2\epsilon)$ $\tau_2(2\epsilon) \geq \tau(\epsilon)$ for any $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2]$. (There was a typo.)

Solution: This follows simply by the convexity of $(\cdot)^2$, which means we can apply *Jensen's inequality* to show that, for any function f , $\|f\|_{2,\pi} \geq \|f\|_1$.

Hints

Hint (Question 2(i)). *Use a randomised algorithm similar to the one for MAX-CUT to find a subset with conductance at most $1/2$.*

Hint (Question 4). *Recall the Convergence Theorem for finite Markov chains.*