Probability and Computation: Problem Sheet 3

You are encouraged to submit your solutions at student reception or by emailing them to jas289 by 2pm Friday 14th of February

Question 1 (Schöning: tighter analysis). Use the following version of Schöning's Algorithm:

- (1) Start with a random truth assignment.
- (2) Repeat up to 3n times, terminating if all clauses are satisfied:
 - (a) Choose an arbitrary clause that is not satisfied
 - (b) Choose one of it's literals UAR and switch the variables value.
- (3) If a valid solution is found return it. O/W return unsatisfiable
- (i) Fix some satisfying assignment α . Let A_k be the event that the random assignment from step (1) disagrees with α on exactly k literals/variables. What is $\mathbf{P}[A_k]$?
- (ii) Let P_k be the probability that we make $\leq k$ incorrect steps within our first 3k steps. Prove

$$P_k \ge \binom{3k}{k} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^k \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{2k}$$

- (iii) Recall Stirling's inequality $\sqrt{2\pi} \leq \frac{n!}{n^{n+1/2}e^{-n}} \leq e$, and show that $P_k \geq \frac{2^{-k}}{3\sqrt{k}}$.
- (iv) Show that if a solution exists, Schöning's Algorithm succeeds with probability at least $\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n/(3\sqrt{n})$
- (v) Deduce a bound on the time to find a solution w.h.p. using Schöning's Algorithm as above.

Question 2. Consider the following Markov Chains

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/9 & 2/9 & 2/3 \\ 1/7 & 1/7 & 5/7 & 0 \\ 2/9 & 5/9 & 0 & 2/9 \\ 3/5 & 0 & 1/5 & 1/5 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2/3 & 1/3 \\ 0 & 1/3 & 1/3 & 1/3 \\ 1/3 & 1/3 & 1/3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2/3 & 0 & 1/3 & 0 & 0 \\ 2/5 & 0 & 0 & 3/5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2/3 & 1/3 \\ 1/4 & 3/4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2/3 & 0 & 0 & 1/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/3 & 0 & 1/3 & 1/3 \end{pmatrix}$$

(i) Which of the above are irreducible?

- (ii) Which of the above are reversible?
- (iii) Calculate the stationary distribution of the reversible irreducible chain(s) above.
- (iv) In lectures we showed that any finite irreducible chain has a unique stationary distribution. Give an example of a (finite :p) reducible chain with more than one stationary distribution.

Question 3. Recall that an undirected weighted graph G = (V, E, w) is an undirected graph with a weight function $w : E \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which is positive and symmetric, that is for any $ij \in E$, w(ij) = w(ji) > 0.

- (i) Let P be a Markov chain. Show that P is reversible if and only if P is a simple random walk on an undirected weighted graph G.
- (ii) Show that a simple random walk on an undirected graph G = (V, E, w) has stationary distribution

$$\pi(x) = \frac{\sum_{xy \in E} w(xy)}{2\sum_{e \in E} w(e)}, \quad \text{for all } x \in V$$

(iii) Given a reversible Markov chain P, show that P is irreducible if and only if the associated undirected weighted graph G is connected.

Question 4. Recall that a probability vector (distribution) is a non-negative real vector whose elements sum to 1. A stochastic matrix is a real square matrix, where each row is a probability vector. Observe every Stochastic matrix gives rise to a Markov chain and vice versa.

(i) Let $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ be a probability vector and $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}_+$ be a stochastic matrix. Show that νM is a probability vector.

A doubly stochastic matrix is a real square matrix, where each row and column is a probability vector.

(ii) Prove that the uniform distribution is stationary for any Markov chain whose transition matrix is doubly stochastic.

Question 5. Show that if P is the transition matrix of a reversible Markov chain then the matrix P^t also defines a reversible Markov chain.

Question 6. Consider the Complete graph K_n which is the graph on n vertices where each pair of vertices is connected by an edge. Let $x, y \in V$ where $x \neq y$.

- (i) Show that $\mathbf{E}_x[\tau_y^+] = n 1$.
- (ii) What is the distribution of τ_u^+ ?

Question 7. State j is accessible from state i if, for some integer $n \ge 0$, $P_{i,j}^n > 0$. If two states i and j are accessible from each other, we say that they communicate and we write $i \sim j$. Prove that communicating relation \sim defines an equivalence relation.

Question 8. Prove rigorously the claim made in lecture that the expected time for RAND 2-SAT to find a given solution is at most the hitting time h(0,n) of the random walk on a path.