Topics in Logic and Complexity Handout 6

Anuj Dawar

http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/teaching/1920/L15

An (undirected) *forest* is an *acyclic* graph and a *tree* is a connected forest.

We next aim to show that there is an algorithm that decides, given a tree T and an MSO sentence ϕ whether

 $T \models \phi$

and runs in time O(f(l)n) where l is the length of ϕ and n is the size of T.

Rooted Directed Trees

A rooted, directed tree (T, a) is a directed graph with a distinguished vertex *a* such that for every vertex *v* there is a *unique* directed path from *a* to *v*.

We will actually see that $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MSO}}$ satisfaction is $\ensuremath{\mathsf{FPT}}$ for rooted, directed trees.

The result for undirected trees follows, as any undirected tree can be turned into a rooted directed one by choosing any vertex as a root and directing edges away from it.

Sums of Rooted Trees

Given rooted, directed trees (T, a) and (S, b) we define the sum

 $(T,a) \oplus (S,b)$

to be the rooted directed tree which is obtained by taking the *disjoint union* of the two trees while *identifying* the roots.

That is,

- the set of vertices of $(T, a) \oplus (S, b)$ is $V(T) \uplus V(S) \setminus \{b\}$.
- the set of edges is $E(T) \cup E(S) \cup \{(a, v) \mid (b, v) \in E(S)\}$.

Congruence

If $(T_1, a_1) \equiv_m^{MSO} (T_2, a_2)$ and $(S_1, b_1) \equiv_m^{MSO} (S_2, b_2)$, then $(T_1, a_1) \oplus (S_1, b_1) \equiv_m^{MSO} (T_2, a_2) \oplus (S_2, b_2)$.

This can be proved by an application of Ehrenfeucht games.

Moreover (though we skip the proof), $\text{Type}_m^{\text{MSO}}((T, a) \oplus (S, b))$ can be computed from $\text{Type}_m^{\text{MSO}}((T, a))$ and $\text{Type}_m^{\text{MSO}}((S, b))$.

Anuj Dawar

Add Root

For any rooted, directed tree (T, a) define r(T, a) to be the rooted directed tree obtained by adding to (T, a) a new vertex, which is the root and whose only child is a.

That is,

- the vertices of r(T, a) are $V(T) \cup \{a'\}$ where a' is not in V(T);
- the root of r(T, a) is a'; and
- the edges of r(T, a) are $E(T) \cup \{(a', a)\}$.

Again, Type^{MSO}_m(r(T, a)) can be computed from Type^{MSO}_m(T, a).

MSO satisfaction is FPT on Trees

Any rooted, directed tree (T, a) can be obtained from singleton trees by a sequence of applications of \oplus and r.

The length of the sequence is linear in the size of T. We can compute $\text{Type}_m^{\text{MSO}}(T, a)$ in linear time.

The Method of Decompositions

Suppose C is a class of graphs such that there is a finite class B and a finite collection Op of operations such that:

- \mathcal{C} is contained in the closure of \mathcal{B} under the operations in Op;
- there is a polynomial-time algorithm which computes, for any $G \in C$, an Op-decomposition of G over \mathcal{B} ; and
- for each *m*, the equivalence class ≡^{MSO}_m is an *effective* congruence with respect to to all operations o ∈ Op (i.e., the ≡^{MSO}_m-type of o(G₁,..., G_s) can be computed from the ≡^{MSO}_m-types of G₁,..., G_s). Then, MSO satisfaction is fixed-parameter tractable on C.

The *treewidth* of an undirected graph is a measure of how tree-like the graph is.

A graph has treewidth k if it can be covered by subgraphs of at most k + 1 nodes in a tree-like fashion.



This gives a *tree decomposition* of the graph.

Treewidth is a measure of how *tree-like* a graph is.

For a graph G = (V, E), a *tree decomposition* of G is a relation $D \subset V \times T$ with a tree T such that:

- for each $v \in V$, the set $\{t \mid (v, t) \in D\}$ forms a connected subtree of T; and
- for each edge $(u, v) \in E$, there is a $t \in T$ such that $(u, t), (v, t) \in D$.

The *treewidth* of G is the least k such that there is a tree T and a tree decomposition $D \subset V \times T$ such that for each $t \in T$,

 $|\{v \in V \mid (v,t) \in D\}| \le k+1.$

Dynamic Programming

It has long been known that graphs of small treewidth admit efficient *dynamic programming* algorithms for intractable problems.

In general, these algorithms proceed bottom-up along a tree decomposition of G.

At any stage, a small set of vertices form the "*interface*" to the rest of the graph.

This allows a recursive decomposition of the problem.

Looking at the decomposition *bottom-up*, a graph of treewidth k is obtained from graphs with at most k + 1 nodes through a finite sequence of applications of the operation of taking *sums over sets* of at most k elements.



We let \mathcal{T}_k denote the class of graphs G such that $tw(G) \leq k$.

More formally,

Consider graphs with up to k + 1 distinguished vertices $C = \{c_0, \ldots, c_k\}$.

Define a merge operation $(G \oplus_C H)$ that forms the union of G and H disjointly apart from C.

Also define $erase_i(G)$ that erases the name c_i .

Then a graph G is in \mathcal{T}_k if it can be formed from graphs with at most k+1 vertices through a sequence of such operations.

Congruence

- Any $G \in \mathcal{T}_k$ is obtained from \mathcal{B}_k by finitely many applications of the operations erase_i and \bigoplus_C .
- If $G_1, \rho_1 \equiv^{\mathsf{MSO}}_m G_2, \rho_2$, then

 $erase_i(G_1, \rho_1) \equiv_m^{MSO} erase_i(G_2, \rho_2)$

• If
$$G_1, \rho_1 \equiv_m^{MSO} G_2, \rho_2$$
, and $H_1, \sigma_1 \equiv_m^{MSO} H_2, \sigma_2$ then
 $(G_1, \rho_1) \oplus_C (H_1, \sigma_1) \equiv_m^{MSO} (G_2, \rho_2) \oplus_C (H_2, \sigma_2)$

Note: a special case of this is that \equiv_m^{MSO} is a congruence for disjoint union of graphs.

Courcelle's Theorem

Theorem (Courcelle)

For any MSO sentence ϕ and any k there is a linear time algorithm that decides, given $G \in \mathcal{T}_k$ whether $G \models \phi$.

Given $G \in \mathcal{T}_k$ and ϕ , compute:

- from G a labelled tree T; and
- from ϕ a bottom-up tree automaton ${\cal A}$

such that \mathcal{A} accepts \mathcal{T} if, and only if, $G \models \phi$.

Bounded Degree Graphs

In a graph G = (V, E) the *degree* of a vertex $v \in V$ is the number of neighbours of v, i.e.

 $|\{u \in V \mid (u, v) \in E\}|.$

We write $\delta(G)$ for the *smallest* degree of any vertex in G. We write $\Delta(G)$ for the *largest* degree of any vertex in G.

 \mathcal{D}_k —the class of graphs G with $\Delta(G) \leq k$.

Bounded Degree Graphs

Theorem (Seese)

For every sentence ϕ of FO and every k there is a linear time algorithm which, given a graph $G \in \mathcal{D}_k$ determines whether $G \models \phi$.

A proof is based on *locality* of first-order logic. To be precise a strengthening of *Hanf's theorem*.

Note: this is not true for MSO unless P = NP. Construct, for any graph G, a graph G' such that $\Delta(G') \leq 5$ and G' is 3-colourable iff G is, and the map $G \mapsto G'$ is polynomial-time computable.

Hanf Types

For an element a in a structure A, define

 $N_r^{\mathbb{A}}(a)$ —the substructure of \mathbb{A} generated by the elements whose distance from a (in GA) is at most r.

We say \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} are *Hanf equivalent* with radius *r* and threshold *q* $(\mathbb{A} \simeq_{r,q} \mathbb{B})$ if, for every $a \in A$ the two sets

 $\{a' \in a \mid N_r^{\mathbb{A}}(a) \cong N_r^{\mathbb{A}}(a')\}$ and $\{b \in B \mid N_r^{\mathbb{A}}(a) \cong N_r^{\mathbb{B}}(b)\}$

either have the same size or both have size greater than q; and, similarly for every $b \in B$.

Hanf Locality Theorem

Theorem (Hanf)

For every vocabulary σ and every m there are $r \leq 3^m$ and $q \leq m$ such that for any σ -structures \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} : if $\mathbb{A} \simeq_{r,q} \mathbb{B}$ then $\mathbb{A} \equiv_m \mathbb{B}$.

In other words, if $r \ge 3^m$, the equivalence relation $\simeq_{r,m}$ is a refinement of \equiv_m .

For $\mathbb{A} \in \mathcal{D}_k$:

 $N_r^{\mathbb{A}}(a)$ has at most $k^r + 1$ elements

each $\simeq_{r,m}$ has finite index.

Each $\simeq_{r,m}$ -class t can be characterised by a finite table, I_t , giving isomorphism types of neighbourhoods and numbers of their occurrences up to threshold m.

Satisfaction on \mathcal{D}_k

For a sentence ϕ of FO, we can compute a set of tables $\{I_1, \ldots, I_s\}$ describing $\simeq_{r,m}$ -classes consistent with it. This computation is independent of any structure A.

```
Given a structure \mathbb{A} \in \mathcal{D}_k,
```

for each *a*, determine the isomorphism type of $N_r^{\mathbb{A}}(a)$ construct the table describing the $\simeq_{r,m}$ -class of \mathbb{A} . compare against $\{I_1, \ldots, I_s\}$ to determine whether $\mathbb{A} \models \phi$. For fixed *k*, *r*, *m*, this requires time *linear* in the size of \mathbb{A} .

Note: satisfaction for FO is in O(f(l, k)n).