Modular arithmetic

For every positive integer m, the *integers modulo* m are:

\mathbb{Z}_m : 0, 1, ..., m-1.

with arithmetic operations of addition $+_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and multiplication $\cdot_{\mathfrak{m}}$ defined as follows

$$k +_{m} l = [k + l]_{m} = \operatorname{rem}(k + l, m) ,$$

$$k \cdot_{m} l = [k \cdot l]_{m} = \operatorname{rem}(k \cdot l, m)$$

for all $0 \leq k, l < m$.

r.

Example 49 The addition and multiplication tables for \mathbb{Z}_4 are:

$+_{4}$	0	1	2	3	•4	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	2	3	0	1	0	1	2	3
2	2	3	0	1	2	0	2	0	2
3	3	0	1	2	3	0	3	2	

Note that the addition table has a cyclic pattern, while there is no obvious pattern in the multiplication table.

3.43=1

From the addition and multiplication tables, we can readily read tables for additive and multiplicative inverses:

	additive inverse		multiplicative inverse
0	0	0	
1	3	1	1
2	2	2	_
3	1	3	3

Interestingly, we have a non-trivial multiplicative inverse; namely, 3.

Example 50 The addition and multiplication tables for \mathbb{Z}_5 are:

$+_{5}$	0	1	2	3	4	•5	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	1	2	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	2	3	4	0	1	0	D	2	3	4
2	2	3	4	0	1	2	0	2	4		3
3	3	4	0	1	2	3	0	3		4	2
4	4	0	1	2	3	4	0	4	3	2	J

Again, the addition table has a cyclic pattern, while this time the multiplication table restricted to non-zero elements has a permutation pattern.

From the addition and multiplication tables, we can readily read tables for additive and multiplicative inverses:

	additive inverse		multiplicative inverse
0	0	0	
1	4	1	1
2	3	2	3
3	2	3	2
4	1	4	4

Surprisingly, every non-zero element has a multiplicative inverse.

$$(7Lm_1 \circ_1 t_m)$$
 she lish group
= df commutative wonsid with inverses
Proposition 51 For all natural numbers $m > 1$, the
modular-arithmetic structure + distributive law.
 $(\mathbb{Z}_m, 0, t_m, 1, t_m)$
is a commutative ring.

NB Quite surprisingly, modular-arithmetic number systems have further mathematical structure in the form of multiplicative inverses

•

Important mathematical jargon: (Sets)

Very roughly, sets are the mathematicians' data structures. Informally, we will consider a <u>set</u> as a (well-defined, unordered) collection of mathematical objects, called the <u>elements</u> (or <u>members</u>) of the set.

Set membership

that are true whenever it is the case that the object x is an element of the set A, and false otherwise.

Defining sets

of even primes $\{2\}$ The setof booleansis $\{true, false\}$ [-2..3] $\{-2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3\}$

 $2 \in \{2\}$ true while $3 \in \{2\}$ folse. $\{ \text{true}, \text{folse} \} = \{ \text{folse}, \text{true} \}$

 $a \in \{x \in A \mid P(x)\} \iff (a \in A \land P(a))$

Set comprehension

The basic idea behind set comprehension is to define a set by means of a property that precisely characterises all the elements of the set.

Notations:

$$\{x \in A \mid P(x)\}$$
, $\{x \in A : P(x)\}$

Greatest common divisor

Given a natural number n, the set of its *divisors* is defined by set comprehension as follows

 $D(\mathbf{n}) = \left\{ d \in \mathbb{N} : d \mid \mathbf{n} \right\} .$

Example 53

1.
$$D(0) = \mathbb{N}$$

2. $D(1224) = \begin{cases} 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 12, 17, 18, 24, 34, 36, 51, 68, \\ 72, 102, 136, 153, 204, 306, 408, 612, 1224 \end{cases}$

Remark Sets of divisors are hard to compute. However, the computation of the greatest divisor is straightforward. :)

Going a step further, what about the *common divisors* of pairs of natural numbers? That is, the set $\int f(m, n) = \{ d \in \mathbb{N} : d \mid m \land d \mid n \}$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Example 54

 $CD(1224, 660) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 12\}$

Since CD(n, n) = D(n), the computation of common divisors is as hard as that of divisors. But, what about the computation of the greatest common divisor? E.g. gcd(1224,660) = 12

Assume:
$$\mathbb{Q}_{n-m} = kn$$
 for kint.
Lemma 56 (Key Lemma) Let m and m' be-natural numbers and
let n be a positive integer such that $m \equiv m' \pmod{n}$. Then,
albaalc $\Rightarrow alib+jc$ $CD(m,n) = CD(m',n)$.
PROOF: $\sum deal: d|m \wedge d|n^{2}$ $\sum een!: e|m| \wedge e|n^{2}$
 $\sum FTP:$
 $\forall deal: (d|m \wedge a|n) \Rightarrow (d|m' \wedge d|n) (1)$
 $\wedge \forall een!. (e|m| \wedge e|n) \Rightarrow (e|m \wedge e|n)(2)$
(1) Let deal: Assume d|m and d|n.
 $RTP: (i) d|m|$ $RTP: (i) d|n holds by assumption
 $\exists From (1) m = m - kn$
 $\exists From (2) d|m_{1} from (3) d|n_{1} & \delta d| m - kn = m!$.$

To compute

$$CD(m,n) = CD(m_1, n)$$
 $m_1 = m$
 $= CD(m_2, n)$ $m_2 = m_1$
 \vdots
 $How do we chose m_i ?
 $CD(m,n) = CD(m-n, n)$ $m_2 = m-n (mod n)$
 $\int CD(max(m, n) - min(m, n), min(m, n))$
 $CD(m, n) = CD(m+n, n)$$

Lemma 58 For all positive integers
$$m$$
 and n ,
 $q_{restruct} = h$, if $n \mid m$
 $Q_{restruct} = h$, if $n \mid m$
 $CD(n, rem(m, n))$, otherwise
 $q_{restruct}$
 $M \equiv M(m_{l}n)$ (Much)

Lemma 58 For all positive integers m and n,

$$CD(m,n) = \begin{cases} D(n) & , \text{ if } n \mid m \\ CD(n, rem(m,n)) & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Since a positive integer n is the greatest divisor in D(n), the lemma suggests a recursive procedure:

$$gcd(m,n) = \begin{cases} n & , \text{ if } n \mid m \\ gcd(n, rem(m,n)) & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for computing the *greatest common divisor*, of two positive integers m and n. This is

```
Euclid's Algorithm
```

```
gcd
fun gcd( m , n )
  = let
      val ( q , r ) = divalg( m , n )
     in
       if r = 0 then n
      else gcd( n , r )
     end
```

$$gcd(m,n) = gcd(n,m)$$

$$jm(n)$$
Example 59 (gcd(13,34) = 1)
$$gcd(13,34) = gcd(34,13)$$

$$= gcd(13,8)$$

$$= gcd(8,5)$$

$$= gcd(8,5)$$

$$= gcd(5,3)$$

$$= gcd(2,1)$$

$$gcd(15,34) = 1$$

$$Gcd(15,34) = 1$$

$$Gcd(15,34) = 1$$

Theorem 60 Euclid's Algorithm gcd terminates on all pairs of positive integers and, for such m and n, gcd(m, n) is the greatest common divisor of m and n in the sense that the following two properties hold:

- (i) both gcd(m, n) | m and gcd(m, n) | n, and
- (ii) for all positive integers d such that $d \mid m$ and $d \mid n$ it necessarily follows that $d \mid gcd(m, n)$.

PROOF: By another than CD(m,n) = D(g.d.(m,n))which is equivalent to (i) and (ii').

gcd(m, n) $m = q \cdot n + r$ n|m 0 < m < nq > 0, 0 < r < ngcd(n,r)r $\langle n$ gcd(n,m)n $n = q' \cdot r + r'$ r|n q' > 0, 0 < r' < r| r' < r < ngcd(r, r')Clein rkn while remaining pointre. The elgorith termates in O(max(m,n)) O(log(max(m,n)))193