Scratch Proofs.

Assumptions.

Goel



P = A = BMrdus Romens Rule for using in plications

Logical Deduction – Modus Ponens –

A main rule of *logical deduction* is that of *Modus Ponens*:

From the statements P and P  $\implies$  Q, the statement Q follows.

or, in other words,

If P and P  $\implies$  Q hold then so does Q.

or, in symbols,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{P} & \mathsf{P} \implies \mathsf{Q} \\ & \mathsf{Q} \end{array}$$

— 53 —

#### The use of implications:

To use an assumption of the form  $P \implies Q$ , aim at establishing P. Once this is done, by Modus Ponens, one can conclude Q and so further assume it.

Theorem 11 Let 
$$P_1$$
,  $P_2$ , and  $P_3$  be statements. If  $P_1 \implies P_2$  and  
 $P_2 \implies P_3$  then  $P_1 \implies P_3$ .  
PROOF:  $P_1 \stackrel{1}{\Rightarrow} P_2$ ,  $P_3$  statements  
Assume  $P_1 \stackrel{1}{\Rightarrow} P_2$  and  $P_2 \stackrel{1}{\Rightarrow} P_3$   
Assume  $P_1 \stackrel{1}{\Rightarrow} P_2 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_3$   
 $RTP: P_1 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_2 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_3$   
 $RTP: P_1 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_2 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_3 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_3$   
 $RTP: P_1 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_2 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_3 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_3$   
 $RTP: P_1 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_2 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_3 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_3$   
 $P_1 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_2 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_3$   
 $P_1 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_2 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_3$   
 $P_1 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_2 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_3$   
 $P_1 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_3 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_3$   
 $P_1 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_3$   
 $P_2 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_3$   
 $P_1 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_3$   
 $P_1 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_3$   
 $P_1 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_3$   
 $P_2 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_3$   
 $P_1 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_3$   
 $P_2 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_3$   
 $P_1 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_3$   
 $P_2 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_3$   
 $P_2 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_3$   
 $P_1 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_3$   
 $P_2 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_3$   
 $P_1 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_3$   
 $P_2 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P_3$   
 $P_1 \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} P$ 

# **Bi-implication**

Some theorems can be written in the form

P is equivalent to Q

or, in other words,

P implies Q, and vice versa

or

Q implies P, and vice versa

or

P if, and only if, Q

P iff Q

or, in symbols,



# Proof pattern: In order to prove that $P \iff Q$ 1. Write: ( $\Longrightarrow$ ) and give a proof of $P \implies Q$ .

2. Write: ( $\Leftarrow$ ) and give a proof of  $Q \implies P$ .

**Proposition 12** Suppose that n is an integer. Then, n is even iff  $n^2$  is even.

(=) n<sup>L</sup>lren =) n eren By contrapositive, show nodd =) n<sup>2</sup> odd which is a corollary of the proposition That The product of odd in bers is odd.

## Divisibility and congruence

**Definition 13** Let d and n be integers. We say that d divides n, and write  $d \mid n$ , whenever there is an integer k such that  $n = k \cdot d$ .

**Example 14** The statement 2 | 4 is true, while 4 | 2 is not.

**Definition 15** Fix a positive integer m. For integers a and b, we say that a is congruent to b modulo m, and write  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ , whenever  $m \mid (a - b)$ .

Example 16

- **1.**  $18 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$
- **2.**  $2 \equiv -2 \pmod{4}$
- *3.*  $18 \equiv -2 \pmod{4}$

### Proposition 17 For every integer n,

- 1. n is even if, and only if,  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , and
- 2. n is odd if, and only if,  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ .

**PROOF:** 



#### The use of bi-implications:

To use an assumption of the form P  $\iff$  Q, use it as two separate assumptions P  $\implies$  Q and Q  $\implies$  P.

Cfifun 
$$f(x) = x+1$$
  
fun  $f(y) = y$ niversal quantification

Universal statements are of the form

for all individuals x of the universe of discourse, the property P(x) holds

or, in other words,

no matter what individual x in the universe of discourse one considers, the property P(x) for it holds

or, in symbols, What about  $\forall y. P(y) ?$ 

 $\forall x. P(x)$ 

- 66 -

## Example 18

- 2. For every positive real number x, if x is irrational then so is  $\sqrt{x}$ .
- 3. For every integer n, we have that n is even iff so is  $n^2$ .

### The main proof strategy for universal statements:

To prove a goal of the form

## $\forall x. P(x)$

let x stand for an arbitrary individual and prove P(x).



2. Show that P(x) holds.

#### Scratch work:



After using the strategy

Assumptions

-

Goal P(x) (for a new (or fresh) x)

— **7**0 —



### The use of universal statements:

To use an assumption of the form  $\forall x. P(x)$ , you can plug in any value, say a, for x to conclude that P(a) is true and so further assume it.

— **71** —

This rule is called *universal instantiation*.

**Proposition 19** Fix a positive integer m. For integers a and b, we have that  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  if, and only if, for all positive integers n, we have that  $n \cdot a \equiv n \cdot b \pmod{n \cdot m}$ .

R

# Equality axioms

Just for the record, here are the axioms for *equality*.

► Every individual is equal to itself.

 $\forall x. x = x$ 

For any pair of equal individuals, if a property holds for one of them then it also holds for the other one.

— **7**4 —

$$\forall x. \forall y. x = y \implies (P(x) \implies P(y))$$

**NB** From these axioms one may deduce the usual intuitive properties of equality, such as

$$\forall x. \forall y. x = y \implies y = x$$

and

$$\forall x. \forall y. \forall z. x = y \implies (y = z \implies x = z)$$

However, in practice, you will not be required to formally do so; rather you may just use the properties of equality that you are already familiar with.