Topic 5

PCF

PCF syntax

Types

$$\tau ::= nat \mid bool \mid \tau \rightarrow \tau$$

Expressions

```
egin{array}{lll} M &::= & \mathbf{0} & | & \mathbf{succ}(M) & | & \mathbf{pred}(M) \ & | & \mathbf{true} & | & \mathbf{false} & | & \mathbf{zero}(M) \ & | & x & | & \mathbf{if} & M & \mathbf{then} & M & \mathbf{else} & M \ & | & \mathbf{fn} & x : 	au . & M & | & M & | & \mathbf{fix}(M) \end{array}
```

where $x \in V$, an infinite set of variables.

Technicality: We identify expressions up to α -conversion of bound variables (created by the **fn** expression-former): by definition a PCF term is an α -equivalence class of expressions.

PCF typing relation (sample rules)

$$(:_{\mathrm{fn}}) \quad \frac{\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau] \vdash M : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{fn} \, x : \tau \, . \, M : \tau \to \tau'} \quad \text{if } x \notin dom(\Gamma)$$

PCF typing relation (sample rules)

$$(:_{\mathrm{fn}}) \quad \frac{\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau] \vdash M : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{fn} \, x : \tau \cdot M : \tau \to \tau'} \quad \text{if } x \notin dom(\Gamma)$$

$$(:_{app}) \frac{\Gamma \vdash M_1 : \tau \to \tau' \quad \Gamma \vdash M_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash M_1 M_2 : \tau'}$$

$$(:_{\text{fix}}) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \tau \to \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{fix}(M) : \tau}$$

Partial recursive functions in PCF

Primitive recursion.

$$\begin{cases} h(x,0) = f(x) \\ h(x,y+1) = g(x,y,h(x,y)) \end{cases}$$

H: nat-inat-inat

$$H \propto y = if zero(y) Thin F(x)$$
else $G \propto (pred y) (H \times (pred y))$
 $(h \times (pred y))$

H= offer(hh. dx. dy. if zero(y) the Fx else Gx(predy)

Partial recursive functions in PCF

Primitive recursion.

$$\begin{cases} h(x,0) = f(x) \\ h(x,y+1) = g(x,y,h(x,y)) \end{cases}$$

Minimisation.

$$m(x) = \text{the least } y \ge 0 \text{ such that } k(x,y) = 0$$

$$H \times y = \text{if } \text{dero}(k x y) \text{ Then } y$$

$$\text{else } H \times \text{(succ } y)$$

PCF evaluation relation

takes the form

$$M \downarrow_{\tau} V$$

where

- τ is a PCF type
- $M, V \in \mathrm{PCF}_{\tau}$ are closed PCF terms of type τ
- V is a value,

$$V ::= \mathbf{0} \mid \mathbf{succ}(V) \mid \mathbf{true} \mid \mathbf{false} \mid \mathbf{fn} \ x : \tau . M.$$

PCF evaluation (sample rules)

$$(\Downarrow_{\mathrm{val}})$$
 $V \Downarrow_{\tau} V$ $(V \text{ a value of type } \tau)$

$$(\downarrow_{\text{cbn}}) \frac{M_1 \downarrow_{\tau \to \tau'} \mathbf{fn} \, x : \tau . M_1' \qquad M_1' [M_2/x] \downarrow_{\tau'} V}{M_1 M_2 \downarrow_{\tau'} V}$$

PCF evaluation (sample rules)

$$(\downarrow_{\mathrm{val}})$$
 $V \downarrow_{\tau} V$ $(V \text{ a value of type } \tau)$

$$(\downarrow_{\text{cbn}}) \frac{M_1 \downarrow_{\tau \to \tau'} \mathbf{fn} \, x : \tau . M_1' \qquad M_1' [M_2/x] \downarrow_{\tau'} V}{M_1 M_2 \downarrow_{\tau'} V}$$

$$(\Downarrow_{\text{fix}}) \quad \frac{M \operatorname{fix}(M) \Downarrow_{\tau} V}{\operatorname{fix}(M) \Downarrow_{\tau} V}$$

There is no V s.t. fx (fnx: 2.x) UV $\left(\text{fn 2: 7.. z. } | \text{Np D} \rightarrow \text{Td} \right)$ $\left(\text{fn (rd.) = 1.} \right)$ fox (fn 2:7.2) $\chi \left[f\chi(f_{1}x;7.x)/\chi \right] \psi_{-}$ fnx: 7-x & fnx: 7-x (fn x: Z.x) (fx (fnx: Z.x)) ! __

fix (fnx:7.2) U-

Contextual equivalence

Two phrases of a programming language are contextually equivalent if any occurrences of the first phrase in a complete program can be replaced by the second phrase without affecting the <u>observable results</u> of executing the program.

Contextual equivalence of PCF terms

Given PCF terms M_1, M_2 , PCF type au, and a type environment Γ , the relation $\Gamma \vdash M_1 \cong_{\operatorname{ctx}} M_2 : au$ is defined to hold iff

- ullet Both the typings $\Gamma \vdash M_1 : au$ and $\Gamma \vdash M_2 : au$ hold.
- For all PCF contexts $\mathcal C$ for which $\mathcal C[M_1]$ and $\mathcal C[M_2]$ are closed terms of type γ , where $\gamma=nat$ or $\gamma=bool$, and for all values $V:\gamma$,

$$\mathcal{C}[M_1] \Downarrow_{\gamma} V \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{C}[M_2] \Downarrow_{\gamma} V.$$

PCF denotational semantics — aims

• PCF types $\tau \mapsto \text{domains } \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$.

(new)

PCF denotational semantics — aims

- PCF types $\tau \mapsto \text{domains } \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$.
- Closed PCF terms $M: \tau \mapsto \text{elements } [\![M]\!] \in [\![\tau]\!].$ Denotations of open terms will be continuous functions.

$$x_1: z_1, x_2: z_2, ..., x_n: z_n \mapsto M: z_1$$

$$[[z_1]] \times [[z_2]] \times ... \times [[z_n]] \longrightarrow [[z_1]]$$

$$[[z_1]] \times [[z_2]] \times ... \times [[z_n]]$$

PCF denotational semantics — aims

- PCF types $\tau \mapsto \text{domains } \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$.
- Closed PCF terms $M: \tau \mapsto \text{elements } \llbracket M \rrbracket \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$. Denotations of open terms will be continuous functions.
- Compositionality.

In particular:
$$\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket M' \rrbracket \Rightarrow \llbracket \mathcal{C}[M] \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathcal{C}[M'] \rrbracket$$
.

Soundness.

For any type
$$\tau$$
, $M \downarrow_{\tau} V \Rightarrow \llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket$.

Adequacy.

For
$$\tau = bool$$
 or nat , $\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \implies M \Downarrow_{\tau} V$.

Theorem. For all types τ and closed terms $M_1, M_2 \in \mathrm{PCF}_{\tau}$, if $\llbracket M_1 \rrbracket$ and $\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket$ are equal elements of the domain $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket$, then $M_1 \cong_{\mathrm{ctx}} M_2 : \tau$.

$$C[M_1] \downarrow V \Rightarrow [[C[M_1]]] = [[V]]$$

$$\Rightarrow [[C[M_2]] \downarrow = [[V]]$$

$$\Rightarrow C[M_2] \downarrow \downarrow V$$

Theorem. For all types τ and closed terms $M_1, M_2 \in \mathrm{PCF}_{\tau}$, if $\llbracket M_1 \rrbracket$ and $\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket$ are equal elements of the domain $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket$, then $M_1 \cong_{\mathrm{ctx}} M_2 : \tau$.

Proof.

and symmetrically.

$$\mathcal{C}[M_1] \Downarrow_{nat} V \Rightarrow \llbracket \mathcal{C}[M_1] \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \quad ext{(soundness)}$$
 $\Rightarrow \llbracket \mathcal{C}[M_2] \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \quad ext{(compositionality on } \llbracket M_1 \rrbracket = \llbracket M_2 \rrbracket ext{)}$ $\Rightarrow \mathcal{C}[M_2] \Downarrow_{nat} V \quad ext{(adequacy)}$

71

Proof principle

To prove

$$M_1 \cong_{\operatorname{ctx}} M_2 : \tau$$

it suffices to establish

$$\llbracket M_1
rbracket = \llbracket M_2
rbracket$$
 in $\llbracket au
rbracket$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\mathbb{I} M_1 \mathcal{Y} = \widehat{A} M_2 \mathcal{Y} \\
M_1 \cong M_2
\end{array}$$

Proof principle

To prove

$$M_1 \cong_{\operatorname{ctx}} M_2 : \tau$$

it suffices to establish

$$\llbracket M_1
rbracket = \llbracket M_2
rbracket$$
 in $\llbracket au
rbracket$

? The proof principle is sound, but is it complete? That is, is equality in the denotational model also a necessary condition for contextual equivalence?