## Visualization

## LAST LECTURE

## chart literacy

- 1. anatomy of a plot
- 2. scale theory
- 3. scale perception
- 4. making comparisons
- 5. atomic plots

## TODAY

## embedding

- 6. unsupervised learning
- 7. dimension reduction / PCA
- 8. self-supervised learning / tSNE
- 9. content scales

### the "grammar" of plots

 plots for data exploration Nominal: no comparison is meaningful

Algeria

Argentina Bolivia Brazil Canada Chile CostaRica Ecuador Ethiopia France Gambia Germany Guinea Haiti Hungary Iraq Italy Jamaica Libya Malaysia Mali Pakistan Somalia Spain Sweden Turkey

Yemen

Country

This is dumb. How can I say "no comparison is meaningful" and at the same time render onto a y scale?

## 6. Supervised versus unsupervised plotting

Some plots are associated with supervised learning, some with unsupervised.



Supervised learning

- What is the conditional distribution of Y|X?
- How do I build a predictor / classifier?

### Generative (unsupervised) learning

- What is the joint distribution of (X, Y)?
- How do I generate new random datapoints?

### Who decides which variable is to be the predictor and which is to be the response?

#### Data set: World Bank country / demographic data

Country Name	GDP per Capita (PPP USD)	Population Density (persons per sq km)	Population Growth Rate (%)	Urban Population (%)	Life Expectancy at Birth (avg years)
Afghanistan	1560.67	44.62	2.44	23.86	60.07
Albania	9403.43	115.11	0.26	54.45	77.16
Algeria	8515.35	15.86	1.89	73.71	70.75



Some of the linear models from Lecture 2

A splom (scatter plot matrix) shows all pairs of variables. If you don't have a definite prediction task in mind, this is a good starting point.



### A splom is unwieldy for 12 variables. And what if we had 1200 or 12000?



PCA is a tool that takes in high-dimensional data and compresses it lossily into fewer dimensions.



In linear regression, we model the data by  $y_i = \alpha x_i + \beta + \varepsilon_i$ and choose the parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  to minimize  $L(\alpha,\beta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \varepsilon_i^2$ Compress 2d (21, y) intro 1d (2) In PCA, we model the data by  $\begin{pmatrix} x_i \\ y_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_x \\ \mu_y \end{pmatrix} + \lambda_i \begin{pmatrix} \delta_x \\ \delta_y \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{x,i} \\ \varepsilon_{y,i} \end{pmatrix}$ and choose the parameters  $\mu$  and  $\delta$  to minimize  $L(\mu, \delta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{x,i} \\ \varepsilon_{y,i} \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2$ 

Why do these two PCA plots, on two different data features, come out so different?



Because we're minimizing  $\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\varepsilon_{x,i} + \varepsilon_{y,i})^2$ 

and the x and y units are so different.

Solution: scale the x and y columns so they have the same standard deviation, before doing the fit.



- PCA is a tool that takes in high-dimensional data and compresses it lossily into fewer dimensions.
- This avoids an arbitrary choice of predictor vs response variables.
- We've shown it for  $2d \rightarrow 1d$  compression but it also works for arbitrary dimensions  $N \rightarrow K$ .

### How to run PCA

We model N-dimensional data by picking a K-dimensional subspace, and representing each point by its projection onto that subspace,

$$\vec{x}_i = \vec{\mu} + \sum_{k=1}^{\kappa} \lambda_{k,i} \, \vec{\delta}_k + \vec{\varepsilon}_i$$

We pick the subspace so as to minimize the mean square error  $\sum \|\vec{\varepsilon}_i\|^2$ .

Magic linear algebra trick:

- the optimal subspaces are nested,  $S_1 \subset S_2 \subset \cdots \subset S_N$
- so the sensible choice is to let the components  $\vec{\delta}_k$  be an ordered basis,  $S_1 = \operatorname{span}(\vec{\delta}_1)$  $S_2 = \operatorname{span}(\vec{\delta}_1, \vec{\delta}_2) \dots$

```
X = countries[features].values
1
      pca = sklearn.decomposition.PCA()
2
      pca_result = pca.fit_transform(X)
3
4
5
      \mu = pca.mean_
      pred = \mu + np.zeros_like(pca_result)
6
      for k in range(L): # L = number of PCA components to use
7
          \lambda k = pca_result[:,k]
8
          \delta k = pca.components[k]
9
          pred = pred + \lambda k.reshape((-1,1)) * \delta k.reshape((1,-1))
10
```

Run PCA, then plot the first two components  $(\lambda_{1,i}, \lambda_{2,i})$  to get a nice visualization.



### Nearby points in the PCA diagram should represent records with similar features.



# 8. Self-supervised learning / embedding What if the data isn't linear? 1d PCA better 1d projection?

To understand this, we'll first look at a different interpretation of PCA.

### Self-supervised learning



- In the training phase, we train  $enc(\cdot | \mu, \delta)$  and  $dec(\cdot | \mu, \delta)$
- After training, we have enc and dec which can be applied to new data

Self-supervised learning is "learning a coordinate system from the data"

- Don't think of PCA as "an algorithm that lossily compresses my dataset"
- Think of it as "learning a coordinate system, based on my training data"

 We can ask, for example: if a datapoint x' has one of its features varied, how does enc(x') vary?



### Probabilistic self-supervised learning



- Training requires an objective function
- In standard probabilistic supervised machine learning,
  - let x be the predictor and y the label
  - we decide on a probability distribution  $Y | x, \theta$
  - treating each label y<sub>i</sub> as a sample from Y | x<sub>i</sub>, θ
     we optimize the parameters θ to maximize the log likelihood
- Self-supervised learning is like this, except that we treat (X, Y) as random, and let it be predicted by (x, y), via the encoded form  $\lambda = \text{enc}(x, y)$

PCA, seen as probabilistic self-supervised learning



$$(X,Y) \sim N(\mu + \lambda\delta, \sigma^2 I)$$
  
$$\log \Pr_{X,Y}(x,y) = -\frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|(x,y) - (\mu + \lambda\delta)\|^2$$



### tSNE is another probabilistic self-supervised learning method



the data (two dimensions)

latent space (using one dimension)

encoding

### tSNE embedding



### encoding

latent space (using one dimension)

decoding

### PCA embedding



### The training mechanism for tSNE



the data (two dimensions)

latent space (using one dimension)

encoding

- 1. Each datapoint  $\vec{x}_i$  is to be encoded to a *k*-dimensional latent variable  $\lambda_i$
- 2. Each  $\vec{x}_i$  has a certain set of nearest-*P* neighbours in the data space. Likewise each  $\lambda_i$  has a certain set of nearest-*P* neighbours in the latent space.

We'd like these two sets of neighbours to be as similar as possible, across all datapoints.

(The exact method isn't quite this, but it's close.)

- 3. We find the optimal encoding, using gradient descent.
- 4. The hyperparameter *P* is the *perplexity.* It affects the fit.

### How to run tSNE



X = countries[features].values
# scale the columns, so they have the same variance
for k in range(len(features)):
 X[:,k] = X[:,k] / np.std(X[:,k])

# you have to declare up front how many
# dimensions you want to reduce to
tsne = sklearn.manifold.TSNE(n\_components=2)
tsne\_results = tsne.fit\_transform(X)

p1,p2 = tsne\_results[:,0], tsne\_results[:,1]
plt.scatter(p1, p2, alpha=.2)

### 9. Content scales

Nominal: no comparison is meaningful

Algeria

Argentina Bolivia Brazil Canada Chile CostaRica Ecuador Ethiopia France Gambia Germany Guinea Haiti Hungary Iraq Italy Jamaica Libya Malaysia Mali Pakistan Somalia Spain Sweden

> Turkey Yemen

Country

This is dumb.

How can I say "no comparison is meaningful" and at the same time render onto a y scale?

Actually, this scale is an embedding of countries into a 1d latent space, based on proximity in the data domain. Content scales

student j

- We've seen how to create a content scale, i.e. an embedding, for high-dimensional numerical data.
- When we have nominal or ordinal data, we should pick an embedding that's a useful reflection of the content we're interested in.





Students ordered alphabetically



Students ordered by clustering —reveals cliques of cheaters

### Similarity between student coursework submissions

high similarity between work by *i* and *j* low similarity

### Content scales



min and max February temperature at stations across the UK

◆ 1981◆ 2017

A good scale, if the content I'm interested in is the name of the station (for easy lookup)

A good scale, if the content I'm interested in is temperature increase from 1981 to 2017

Embedding an ordinal scale, such as Likert items

Here's a handy trick for getting useful numbers out of ordinal data. It's not deep and rigorous, but it is helpful.

The number of responses to your survey, across the whole sample, in each category



Map each ordinal answer to a number in the range [0,1], based on its quantile within the whole sample.

This trick lets you compute average responses, run linear regression, etc.

### Content versus metrical scales

- In a remarkable period from 1250–1350, we started to use metrical scales, systematically. This changed how we see the world. The measure of reality: quantification and western society, 1250–1600, by Alfred W. Crosby, 1997.
- Computer power is letting us go back to useful content scales / embeddings.



### We have studied the grammar of graphics.

Grammar doesn't tell you how to create great charts. But it does give you tools to think systematically about your charts. You also need • style • the skill to tell a story • good software libraries.



## Practical 4

- Practical 4 will be released tonight
- It's mainly about PCA and tSNE
- Practical session 26 November 3–4pm