#### Complexity Theory

Lecture 5

Anuj Dawar

http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/teaching/1920/Complexity

## SAT is NP-complete

Cook and Levin independently showed that the language SAT of satisfiable Boolean expressions is NP-complete.

To establish this, we need to show that for every language L in NP, there is a polynomial time reduction from L to SAT.

Since L is in NP, there is a nondeterministic Turing machine

 $M = (Q, \Sigma, s, \delta)$ 

and a bound k such that a string x of length n is in L if, and only if, it is accepted by M within  $n^k$  steps.

#### Boolean Formula

We need to give, for each  $x \in \Sigma^*$ , a Boolean expression f(x) which is satisfiable if, and only if, there is an accepting computation of M on input x.

f(x) has the following variables:

$$egin{aligned} S_{i,q} & ext{for each } i \leq n^k ext{ and } q \in Q \ T_{i,j,\sigma} & ext{for each } i,j \leq n^k ext{ and } \sigma \in \Sigma \ H_{i,j} & ext{for each } i,j \leq n^k \end{aligned}$$

Intuitively, these variables are intended to mean:

- $S_{i,q}$  the state of the machine at time *i* is *q*.
- $T_{i,j,\sigma}$  at time *i*, the symbol at position *j* of the tape is  $\sigma$ .
- $H_{i,j}$  at time *i*, the tape head is pointing at tape cell *j*.

We now have to see how to write the formula f(x), so that it enforces these meanings.

Initial state is s and the head is initially at the beginning of the tape.

 $S_{1,s} \wedge H_{1,1}$ 

The head is never in two places at once

$$\bigwedge_i \bigwedge_j (H_{i,j} o \bigwedge_{j' 
eq j} (
eg H_{i,j'}))$$

The machine is never in two states at once

$$\bigwedge_{q} \bigwedge_{i} (S_{i,q} o \bigwedge_{q' 
eq q} (\neg S_{i,q'}))$$

Each tape cell contains only one symbol

$$\bigwedge_i \bigwedge_j \bigwedge_\sigma ( au_{i,j,\sigma} o \bigwedge_{\sigma' 
eq \sigma} ( 
eg au_{i,j,\sigma'} ) )$$

The initial tape contents are x

$$\bigwedge_{j \leq n} T_{1,j,x_j} \land \bigwedge_{n < j} T_{1,j, \bot}$$

The tape does not change except under the head

$$\bigwedge_{i} \bigwedge_{j} \bigwedge_{j' \neq j} \bigwedge_{\sigma} (H_{i,j} \land T_{i,j',\sigma}) \to T_{i+1,j',\sigma}$$

Each step is according to  $\delta$ .

$$\bigwedge_{i} \bigwedge_{j} \bigwedge_{\sigma} \bigwedge_{q} (H_{i,j} \land S_{i,q} \land T_{i,j,\sigma}) \\ \rightarrow \bigvee_{\Delta} (H_{i+1,j'} \land S_{i+1,q'} \land T_{i+1,j,\sigma'})$$

where  $\Delta$  is the set of all triples  $(q', \sigma', D)$  such that  $((q, \sigma), (q', \sigma', D)) \in \delta$  and

$$j' = \begin{cases} j & \text{if } D = S\\ j-1 & \text{if } D = L\\ j+1 & \text{if } D = R \end{cases}$$

Finally, the accepting state is reached

$$\bigvee_{i} S_{i,\text{acc}}$$

A Boolean expression is in *conjunctive normal form* if it is the conjunction of a set of *clauses*, each of which is the disjunction of a set of *literals*, each of these being either a *variable* or the *negation* of a variable.

For any Boolean expression  $\phi,$  there is an equivalent expression  $\psi$  in conjunctive normal form.

 $\psi$  can be exponentially longer than  $\phi$ .

However, CNF-SAT, the collection of satisfiable CNF expressions, is NP-complete.



A Boolean expression is in 3CNF if it is in conjunctive normal form and each clause contains at most 3 literals.

**3SAT** is defined as the language consisting of those expressions in **3CNF** that are satisfiable.

3SAT is NP-complete, as there is a polynomial time reduction from CNF-SAT to 3SAT.

## Composing Reductions

Polynomial time reductions are clearly closed under composition. So, if  $L_1 \leq_P L_2$  and  $L_2 \leq_P L_3$ , then we also have  $L_1 \leq_P L_3$ .

If we show, for some problem A in NP that

 $\mathsf{SAT} \leq_P A$ 

or

 $3SAT \leq_P A$ 

it follows that A is also NP-complete.

## Independent Set

Given a graph G = (V, E), a subset  $X \subseteq V$  of the vertices is said to be an *independent set*, if there are no edges (u, v) for  $u, v \in X$ .

The natural algorithmic problem is, given a graph, find the largest independent set.

To turn this *optimisation problem* into a *decision problem*, we define IND as:

The set of pairs (G, K), where G is a graph, and K is an integer, such that G contains an independent set with K or more vertices.

IND is clearly in NP. We now show it is NP-complete.

# Reduction

We can construct a reduction from 3SAT to IND.

A Boolean expression  $\phi$  in 3CNF with *m* clauses is mapped by the reduction to the pair (*G*, *m*), where *G* is the graph obtained from  $\phi$  as follows:

*G* contains *m* triangles, one for each clause of  $\phi$ , with each node representing one of the literals in the clause. Additionally, there is an edge between two nodes in different triangles if they represent literals where one is the negation of the other.

## Example

 $(x_1 \lor x_2 \lor \neg x_3) \land (x_3 \lor \neg x_2 \lor \neg x_1)$ 

