Lecture 9: Eigenvalues and mixing time

John Sylvester Nicolás Rivera <u>Luca Zanetti</u> Thomas Sauerwald



Lent 2019

The topic of the next four lectures is "Probability meets Linear Algebra"

Linear algebra is the study of linear maps on finite-dimensional vector spaces (matrices)

Why:

- Discrete probability distributions can be represented by vectors and Markov chains by matrices
- Data has become large: we need to be able to think in higher dimension.
- Linear algebra primitives can be exploited to design fast algorithms

Our plan:

- Review (briefly) main concepts of linear algebra
- Borrow tools from linear algebra to analyse Markov chains
- Connect Markov chains to the problem of graph clustering



In previous lectures we have seen that:

- Any aperiodic and irreducible finite Markov Chain (e.g., lazy random walks) converges to a unique stationary distribution
- The mixing time captures how long it takes for convergence to happen
- Bounds on mixing using the coupling method

Q? Can we find a parameter, which is easy to compute, and from which we can derive good bounds on the mixing time?

Yes! It turns out the eigenvalues of the transition matrix govern convergence of the chain



A brief linear algebra review



Vectors and inner products

Ω: finite dimensional state space (|Ω| = n)

We will represent a vector $f \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as a function $f \colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$

Let $f, g: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\pi: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}_+$. We define an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\pi}$ as

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\pi} = \sum_{x \in \Omega} f(x) g(x) \pi(x)$$

For example, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$ is the usual inner-product in \mathbb{R}^n . If π is a probability measure, $\langle f, g \rangle_{\pi} = \mathsf{E}_{\pi}(fg)$.

After fixing π , we denote with $\ell_2(\Omega, \pi) = \{f \colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}\}$ the set of all functions from Ω to \mathbb{R} equipped with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\pi}$.

We say $f, g \in \ell_2(\Omega, \pi)$ are orthogonal $(f \perp g)$ if $\langle f, g \rangle_{\pi} = 0$



Let $f \in \ell_2(\Omega, \pi)$ and p > 0. The $\ell_p(\pi)$ norm of f is:

$$\|f\|_{\rho,\pi} = \left(\sum_{x\in\Omega} |f(x)|^{\rho} \pi(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}$$

In particular, $\|f\|_{2,\pi} = \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle_{\pi}}$.

We say that f_1, \ldots, f_k are orthonormal if, for any $i \neq j$, $||f_i||_{2,\pi} = 1$ and $f_i \perp f_j$.

Let $f, g \in \ell_2(\Omega, \pi)$. The $\ell_p(\pi)$ -distance between f and g is

$$\|f-g\|_{p,\pi} = \left(\sum_{x\in\Omega} |f(x)-g(x)|^p \pi(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Pythagorean theorem: $f \perp g \implies ||f + g||_{2,\pi}^2 = ||f||_{2,\pi}^2 + ||g||_{2,\pi}^2$



Matrices as linear operators

A $|\Omega| \times |\Omega|$ matrix *M* is just a representation of a linear operator $M: \ell_2(\Omega, \pi) \to \ell_2(\Omega, \pi).$

For any $f \in \ell_2(\Omega, \pi)$, $x \in \Omega$,

$$M(\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha M f + \beta M g$$

$$Mf(x) = \sum_{y \in \Omega} M(x, y) f(y)$$
$$fM(x) = \sum_{y \in \Omega} M(y, x) f(y)$$

Definition $M: \ell_2(\Omega, \pi) \rightarrow \ell_2(\Omega, \pi)$ is called self-adjoint if, for any $f, g \in \ell_2(\Omega, \pi)$, $\langle Mf, g \rangle_{\pi} = \langle f, Mg \rangle_{\pi}$.

Note: if $\pi = \mathbf{1}$, which implies $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\pi} = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, we recover the usual definition of symmetric matrix.



Definition

 $f \in \ell_2(\Omega, \pi)$ is a left (right) eigenvector with eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ for $M \colon \ell_2(\Omega, \pi) \to \ell_2(\Omega, \pi)$ if $f M = \lambda f$ $(Mf = \lambda f)$

Examples:

• Let *P* be the transition matrix of a finite Markov chain on Ω with stationary distribution π :

$$P\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$$
 $\pi P = \pi$

Suppose *f* is a left (right) eigenvector with eigenvalue *λ* for *M*. Then, for any *k* ≥ 0,

$$f M^k = \lambda^k f \qquad \left(M^k f = \lambda^k f \right)$$



The spectral theorem

Recall: $M: \ell_2(\Omega, \pi) \to \ell_2(\Omega, \pi)$ is self-adjoint if, for any $f, g \in \ell_2(\Omega, \pi)$, $\langle Mf, g \rangle_{\pi} = \langle f, Mg \rangle_{\pi}$.

The spectral theorem Let $\mathbf{M}: \ell_2(\Omega, \pi) \to \ell_2(\Omega, \pi)$ be self-adjoint and $|\Omega| = n$. Then, M has n real eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n$ and a corresponding set of n orthonormal right eigenvectors $\{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$.

Consequences:

- We can express any $g \in \ell_2(\Omega,\pi)$ as $g = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle g, f_i
 angle_\pi f_i.$
- *M* can be decomposed as: $\frac{M(x,\cdot)}{\pi} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i f_i(x) f_i$

Proof:
$$\frac{M(x,\cdot)}{\pi} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\langle \frac{M(x,\cdot)}{\pi}, f_i \right\rangle_{\pi} f_i$$

 $\left\langle \frac{M(x,\cdot)}{\pi}, f_i \right\rangle_{\pi} = \sum_{y} \frac{M(x,y)f_i(y)\pi(y)}{\pi(y)} = Mf_i(x) = \lambda_i f_i(x)$



Back to Markov chains



Reversible Markov chains

Definition

A Markov chain on Ω with transition matrix P and stationary distribution π is called reversible if, for any $x, y \in \Omega$,

$$\pi(x)P(x,y)=\pi(y)P(y,x)$$

- Any Markov chain can be described as random walk on a weighted directed graph.
- Reversible Markov chains are equivalent to random walks on weighted <u>undirected</u> graphs.

- Lemma -

A Markov chain with transition matrix P is reversible if and only if P is self-adjoint w.r.t. its stationary distribution π .

Proof:

$$(\implies) \quad \langle Pf,g \rangle_{\pi} = \sum_{x,y \in \Omega} P(x,y)f(y)g(x)\pi(x) \stackrel{\text{REV.}}{=} \sum_{x,y \in \Omega} P(y,x)f(y)g(x)\pi(y)$$
$$= \quad \langle f,Pg \rangle_{\pi}$$
$$(\iff) \quad \pi(y)P(y,x) = \langle P1_{x},1_{y} \rangle_{\pi} \stackrel{\text{S.A.}}{=} \langle 1_{x},P1_{y} \rangle_{\pi} = \pi(x)P(x,y). \qquad \Box$$

Let $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of the transition matrix *P* of a reversible Markov chain.

- λ₁ = 1
- $\lambda_2 < 1$ if and only if the chain is irreducible
- λ_n ≥ −1
- A_n > −1 if and only if the chain is aperiodic

This implies the fundamental theorem of finite Markov chains (i.e., convergence to stationarity) holds whenever

$$\lambda \triangleq \max_{i \neq 1} |\lambda_i| < 1.$$

You will be asked to prove these facts in the exercises.

