2. Naive Bayes Classification Machine Learning and Real-world Data (MLRD)

Paula Buttery (based on slides created by Simone Teufel)

Lent 2019

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Last session: we used a sentiment lexicon for sentiment classification

- Movie review sentiment classification was based on information in a sentiment lexicon.
- Possible problems with using a lexicon:
 - built using human intuition
 - required many hours of human labour to build
 - is limited to the words the humans decided to include
 - is static: *bad*, *sick* could have different meanings in different demographics

Today we will build a machine learning classifier for sentiment classification that makes decisions based on the data that it's been exposed to.

What is Machine Learning?

- a program that learns from data.
- a program that adapts after having been exposed to new data.
- a program that learns implicitly from data.
- the ability to learn from data without explicit programming.

A Machine Learning approach to sentiment classification

- The sentiment lexicon approach relied on a fixed set of words that we made explicit reference to during classification
- The words in the lexicon were decided independently from our data before the experiment
- Instead we want to learn which words (out of all words we encounter in our data) express sentiment
- That is, we want to implicitly learn how to classify from our data (i.e use a machine learning approach)

Classifications are made from observations

First some terminology:

- features are easily observable (and not necessarily obviously meaningful) properties of the data.
- In our case the features of a movie review will be the words they contain.
- classes are the meaningful labels associated with the data.
- In our case the classes are our sentiments: POS and NEG.
- Classification then is function that maps from features to a target class.
- For us, a function mapping from the words in a review to a sentiment.

Probabilistic classifiers provide a distribution over classes

- Given a set of input features a probabilistic classifier returns the probability of each class.
- That is, for a set of observed features *O* and classes $c_1...c_n \in C$ gives $P(c_i|O)$ for all $c_i \in C$
- For us *O* is the set all the words in a review $\{w_1, w_2, ..., w_n\}$ where w_i is the *i*th word in a review, $C = \{POS, NEG\}$
- We get: $P(POS|w_1, w_2, ..., w_n)$ and $P(NEG|w_1, w_2, ..., w_n)$
- We can decide on a single class by choosing the one with the highest probability given the features:

$$\hat{c} = \operatorname*{argmax}_{c \in C} P(c|O)$$

Today we will build a Naive Bayes Classifier

Naive Bayes classifiers are simple probabilistic classifiers based on applying Bayes' theorem.

Bayes Theorem:

$$P(c|O) = \frac{P(c)P(O|c)}{P(O)}$$

$$c_{NB} = \underset{c \in C}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(c|O) = \underset{c \in C}{\operatorname{argmax}} \frac{P(c)P(O|c)}{P(O)} = \underset{c \in C}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(c)P(O|c)$$

■ We can remove *P*(*O*) because it will be constant during a given classification and not affect the result of argmax

Naive Bayes classifiers assume feature independence

$$c_{NB} = \underset{c \in C}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(c|O) = \underset{c \in C}{\operatorname{argmax}} \frac{P(c)P(O|c)}{P(O)} = \underset{c \in C}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(c)P(O|c)$$

• For us
$$P(O|c) = P(w_1, w_2, ..., w_n|c)$$

Naive Bayes makes a strong (naive) independence assumption between the observed features.

 $P(O|c) = P(w_1, w_2, ..., w_n | c) \approx P(w_1|c) \times P(w_2|c) \times \cdots \times P(w_n|c)$ so then:

$$c_{NB} = \operatorname*{argmax}_{c \in C} P(c) \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(w_i|c)$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

The probabilities we need are derived during training

$$c_{NB} = \operatorname*{argmax}_{c \in C} P(c) \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(w_i|c)$$

- In the training phase, we collect whatever information is needed to calculate $P(w_i|c)$ and P(c).
- In the testing phase, we apply the above formula to derive c_{NB} , the classifier's decision.
- This is supervised ML because you use information about the classes during training.

Understand the distinction between testing and training

- A machine learning algorithm has two phases: training and testing.
- Training: the process of making observations about some known data set
- In supervised machine learning you use the classes that come with the data in the training phrase
- Testing: the process of applying the knowledge obtained in the training stage to some new, unseen data
- We never test on data that we trained a system on

Task 2: Step 0 – Split the dataset from Task 1

- From last time, you have 1800 reviews which you used for evaluation.
- We now perform a data split into 200 for this week's testing (actually development) and 1600 for training.
- There are a further 200 reviews that you will use for more formal testing and evaluation in a subsequent session.
- You will compare the performance of the NB classifier you build today with the sentiment lexicon classifier.
- i.e. the NB classifier and the sentiment lexicon classifier will be evaluated on the same 200 reviews.

Task 2: Step 1 – Parameter estimation

• Write code that estimates $P(w_i|c)$ and P(c) using the training data.

Maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) is a method of estimating the parameters of a statistical model given observations

$$\hat{P}(w_i|c) = \frac{count(w_i, c)}{\sum_{w \in V} count(w, c)}$$

where $count(w_i, c)$ is number of times w_i occurs with class c and V is vocabulary of all words.

$$\hat{P}(c) = \frac{N_c}{N_{rev}}$$

where N_c is number of reviews with class c and N_{rev} is total number of reviews

$$\hat{P}(w_i|c) \approx P(w_i|c) \text{ and } \hat{P}(c) \approx P(c)$$

In practice we use logs:

$$c_{NB} = \underset{c \in C}{\operatorname{argmax}} \ logP(c) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} logP(w_i|c)$$

Problems you will notice:

A certain word may not have occurred together with one of the classes in the training data, so the count is 0.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

- Understand why this is a problem
- Work out what you could do to deal with it

Add-one (Laplace) smoothing is the simplest form of smoothing:

$$\hat{P}(w_i|c) = \frac{count(w_i, c) + 1}{\sum_{w \in V} (count(w, c) + 1)} = \frac{count(w_i, c) + 1}{(\sum_{w \in V} count(w, c)) + |V|}$$

where V is vocabulary of all distinct words, no matter which class c a word w occurred with.

See handbook and further reading: https://web.stanford.edu/~jurafsky/slp3/4.pdf

Demonstrator Session today

- Get Task 1 ticked Sentiment Lexicon Classifier
- Use the white boards to form a ticking queue
- If you miss a deadline you'll need to ask your DoS to contact us