Introduction to Networking and Systems Measurements

Measurement Pitfalls



Dr Noa Zilberman

noa.zilberman@cl.cam.ac.uk

Introduction to Networking and Systems Measurements

Measurement Pitfalls

Everything that can go wrong will go wrong



Dr Noa Zilberman

noa.zilberman@cl.cam.ac.uk

Common Measurement Pitfalls

- What are the hidden assumptions?
- What did you not notice (in the system, setup,)?
- What can your tool do?
- Vantage points
- Latency pitfalls
- BW pitfalls
- Reading the results



Hidden Assumptions - Examples

- The path from A to B is the same (reverse) as the path from B to A
- There is no packet reordering
- Device throughput is the same for all packet sizes
- The effect of DNS lookup is negligible

Hidden Assumptions - Examples

- Test packets will experience the same effects as application's traffic
- The measurement tool has negligible overhead
- Previous work was correct

System and Setup Did you notices that....

- There are other jobs running on the same core
- Kernel version has been updated overnight
- CPU frequency scaling is enabled
- The CPU that you are using is not connected directly to the NIC
- ICMP traffic is throttled by the OS
- The 2x40G NIC uses PCIe Gen 3 x8 (~60Gbps)
- There is a new Errata...

What can your tool do? - Examples

SSD can write at 450MB/s

Don't try to write data captured at 10Gbps

 The latency for reading CPU timestamp is ~tens of cycles

Don't try to use it to measure cache access time

DAG resolution is 4ns

Don't try to measure the propagation delay through 1m fibre

OSNT can only capture at low rate

Don't try to measure latency of 10Gbps flows

Latency Pitfalls - Examples

- What is the definition of "latency"?
 - Propagation delay? Inter packet gap? Round trip time? Flow completion time?
- How was the latency measured?
 - Start of packet to start of packet? Start of packet to end of packet?
 - Single packet? Packet-pair? Packet-train?
- Where was the timestamp taken?
 - …and how did it affect the measurement?
- Resolution, precision and accuracy...

Bandwidth Pitfalls - Examples

- What is the definition of "bandwidth"?
 - Link capacity? Average throughput? Peak throughput?
- Controllability
 - Packet size? Protocol? QoS?
- What was the status of the network?
- Net neutrality?
- Did you pass through the bottlenecks?
- Resolution, precision and accuracy...

- Recall Lab 2, experiment 2.1 b
- Measuring the timestamp difference between 2 ports:



- 100,000 packets, 1024B
- Different Inter Packet Gaps (IPG)



- 100,000 packets, 64B
- Different Inter Packet Gaps (IPG)



- 100,000 packets, 64B, running 10 times
- Same Inter Packet Gap (IPG)



- The reported iperf result for a NetFPGA reference switch is 9.4Gbps
- User complaint: I see only 8.9Gbps and packet drop in the switch

Connecting to host 10.0.0.13, port 5201									
[4]	local 10.0.0.	12 po	rt 54764 conn	ected to 10.0.0.	13 por	t 5201		
[ID]	Interval		Transfer	Bandwidth	Retr	Cwnd		
[4]	0.00-1.00	sec	1.02 GBytes	8.76 Gbits/sec	74	313	KBytes	
[4]	1.00-2.00	sec	1.03 GBytes	8.86 Gbits/sec	34	198	KBytes	
[4]	2.00-3.00	sec	1.03 GBytes	8.87 Gbits/sec	34	281	KBytes	
[4]	3.00-4.00	sec	1.04 GBytes	8.92 Gbits/sec	34	238	KBytes	
[4]	4.00-5.00	sec	1.04 GBytes	8.93 Gbits/sec	32	208	KBytes	
[4]	5.00-6.00	sec	1.04 GBytes	8.92 Gbits/sec	29	187	KBytes	
[4]	6.00-7.00	sec	1.04 GBytes	8.95 Gbits/sec	27	365	KBytes	
[4]	7.00-8.00	sec	1.04 GBytes	8.94 Gbits/sec	28	233	KBytes	
[4]	8.00-9.00	sec	1.03 GBytes	8.88 Gbits/sec	30	420	KBytes	
[4]	9.00-10.00	sec	1.04 GBytes	8.96 Gbits/sec	33	423	KBytes	
-									
[ID]	Interval		Transfer	Bandwidth	Retr			
[4]	0.00-10.00	sec	10.4 GBytes	8.90 Gbits/sec	355		sender	
[4]	0.00-10.00	sec	10.4 GBytes	8.90 Gbits/sec			receiver	

- Debug: Have you tried changing rx-usec?
- User: no more packet drop in the switch!
- ...but bandwidth is down to 7.5Gbps...
- New insight: NIC used on reference setup (Solarflare) is different than the NIC used by user (Intel)
- (skipping a few steps forward)

Switch throughput over time (10ms sampling resolution)



Switch throughput over time (100µs sampling resolution)



What else is different?



Goals:

Evaluate the accuracy & precision of time-taking using CPU time stamp counter (TSC)

- Methodology:
 - Read TSC twice
 - Measure the time-gap between the two consecutive reads
- Results:

Min/Median/99.9%: 9ns/10ns/11ns

```
while (!done)
1
    {
2
        //Read TSC twice, one immedately after the other
3
        do_rdtscp(tsc, cpu);
4
        do_rdtscp(tsc2,cpu2);
5
        //If the gap between the two reads is above a
6
             certain threshold, save it
        if ((tsc2 - tsc > threshold) && (cpu == cpu2))
7
           buffer[samples++] = tsc2-tsc;
8
    }
9
```

What happens over time?



Source data:

X≤	User space Events
10	91428291492
11	404700
12	268521
22	268291
120	267465
1097	10768
10869	1

X≤	Kernel Events
9	11117819727
10	3973891503
49	287
53	201
98	90
1155	86
1184	85
1241	77
1982	1



Example: Topology Measurements

Goal:

- Build a map of network connectivity that assigns IP addresses to locations
- Method:
 - Simple option: name resolution
 - 4.69.166.1 \Rightarrow ae-119-3505.edge4.London1.Level3.net
 - But many times information is missing, not indicative or is inaccurate
 - Better option: use geolocation services
 - Most services claim to be over 99% accurate

Example: Topology Measurements

Building a map of the network:

- Measurements for connectivity
- Geolocation databases for location



Example: Topology Measurements What is your ground truth?

Gelolocation databases are over 99% accurate!



Verizon/MCI/UUNET (ASN 703) 10-nodes PoP



Heatmap – Median distance between databases (2011)

Validation

- Measurements need to be validated
- Don't make assertions!
- Use ground truth (where available)
- Compare different tools and methodologies
- Do the results make sense?

RTT can't be faster than traveling at the speed of light...

Have I mentioned validation?





Final Report - Recommendations

- Include all figures within the report
 - Use proper scale, adapt the template if need be
- Make sure that your environment does not affect the results
- Do not make assertions
 - Support your claims through experimentations
- Discuss your results in depth:
 - Compare and contrast results gained through different vantage points, using different tools, on different platforms etc
 - Provide side-by-side comparisons
 - Use the questions in the handouts as guiding examples
- Use the right terminology (accuracy, precision, resolution)
- Correct typos and grammar mistakes
- Make sure not to run out of budget
- Follow the instructions in the handout

Course Summary

- This course covered measurements tools and measurement techniques
- But also "why out most basic assumptions are wrong", "graphs lie", "what you don't know about your system", ...
- Remember:
 - Constant vigilance
 - Look at the data, best-practice, think.
- Applies to all types of measurements

