

L11: Algebraic Path Problems with applications to Internet Routing

Lecture 7

CAS Part III

Timothy G. Griffin

`timothy.griffin@cl.cam.ac.uk`
Computer Laboratory
University of Cambridge, UK

Michaelmas Term, 2018

Path Weight with functions on arcs?

For graph $G = (V, E)$, and arc path $p = (u_0, u_1)(u_1, u_2) \cdots (u_{k-1}, u_k)$.

Functions on arcs: two natural ways to do this...

Weight function $w : E \rightarrow (S \rightarrow S)$. Let $f_j = w(u_{j-1}, u_j)$.

$$w_a^L(p) = f_1(f_2(\cdots f_k(a)\cdots)) = (f_1 \circ f_2 \circ \cdots \circ f_k)(a)$$

$$w_a^R(p) = f_k(f_{k-1}(\cdots f_1(a)\cdots)) = (f_k \circ f_{k-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_1)(a)$$

How can we “make this work” for path problems?

Algebra of Monoid Endomorphisms (AME) (See Gondran and Minoux 2008)

Let $(S, \oplus, \bar{0})$ be a commutative monoid.

$(S, \oplus, F \subseteq S \rightarrow S, \bar{0})$ is an **algebra of monoid endomorphisms (AME)** if

- $\forall f \in F, f(\bar{0}) = \bar{0}$
- $\forall f \in F, \forall b, c \in S, f(b \oplus c) = f(b) \oplus f(c)$

I will declare these as optional

- $\forall f, g \in F, f \circ g \in F$ (closed)
- $\exists i \in F, \forall s \in S, i(s) = s$
- $\exists \omega \in F, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \omega(n) = \bar{0}$

Note: as with semirings, we may have to drop some of these axioms in order to model Internet routing ...

So why do we want AMEs?

Each (closed with ω and i) AME can be viewed as a semiring of functions. Suppose $(S, \oplus, F, \bar{0})$ is an algebra of monoid endomorphisms. We can turn it into a semiring

$$\mathbb{F} = (F, \hat{\oplus}, \circ, \omega, i)$$

where $(f \hat{\oplus} g)(a) = f(a) \oplus g(a)$ and $(f \circ g)(a) = f(g(a))$.

But functions are hard to work with....

- All algorithms need to check equality over elements of a semiring
- $f = g$ means $\forall a \in S, f(a) = g(a)$
- S can be very large, or infinite

How do we represent a set of functions $F \subseteq S \rightarrow S$?

Assume we a set Λ and a function

$$\triangleright \in \Lambda \rightarrow (S \rightarrow S).$$

We normally write $\lambda \triangleright s$ rather than $\triangleright(\lambda)(s)$. We think of $\lambda \in \Lambda$ as the index for a function $f_\lambda(s) = \lambda \triangleright s$. In this way $(\Lambda, \triangleright)$ can be used to represent the set of functions

$$F = \{f_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}.$$

Indexed Algebra of Monoid Endomorphisms (IAME)

Let $(\mathcal{S}, \oplus, \bar{0})$ be a commutative and idempotent monoid.

A (left) IAME $(\mathcal{S}, \oplus, (\Lambda, \triangleright), \bar{0})$

- $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda, \lambda \triangleright \bar{0} = \bar{0}$
- $\exists \lambda \in \Lambda, \forall \mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}, \lambda \triangleright \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{s}$
- $\exists \lambda \in \Lambda, \forall \mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}, \lambda \triangleright \mathbf{s} = \bar{0}$
- $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda, \forall n, m \in \mathcal{S}, \lambda \triangleright (n \oplus m) = (\lambda \triangleright n) \oplus (\lambda \triangleright m)$

When we need closure? If needed, it would be

$$\forall \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda, \exists \lambda_3 \in \Lambda, \forall \mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}, \lambda_3 \triangleright \mathbf{s} = \lambda_1 \triangleright (\lambda_2 \triangleright \mathbf{s})$$

But this does not appear to be very useful ...

IAME of Matrices

Given a left IAME $(S, \oplus, (L, \triangleright), \bar{0})$ define the left IAME of matrices

$$(\mathbb{M}_n(S), \oplus, (\mathbb{M}_n(L), \triangleright), \mathbf{J}).$$

For all i, j we have $\mathbf{J}(i, j) = \bar{0}$. For $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{M}_n(L)$ and $\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{M}_n(S)$ define

$$(\mathbf{B} \oplus \mathbf{C})(i, j) \equiv \mathbf{B}(i, j) \oplus \mathbf{C}(i, j)$$

$$(\mathbf{A} \triangleright \mathbf{B})(i, j) \equiv \bigoplus_{1 \leq q \leq n} \mathbf{A}(i, q) \triangleright \mathbf{B}(q, j)$$

Solving (some) equations. Left version here ...

We will be interested in solving for **L** equations of the form

$$\mathbf{L} = (\mathbf{A} \triangleright \mathbf{L}) \oplus \mathbf{B}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A} \triangleright^0 \mathbf{B} &= \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{A} \triangleright^{k+1} \mathbf{B} &= \mathbf{A} \triangleright (\mathbf{A} \triangleright^k \mathbf{B})\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbf{A} \triangleright^{(k)} \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A} \triangleright^0 \mathbf{B} \oplus \mathbf{A} \triangleright^1 \mathbf{B} \oplus \mathbf{A} \triangleright^2 \mathbf{B} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{A} \triangleright^k \mathbf{B}$$

$$\mathbf{A} \triangleright^* \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A} \triangleright^0 \mathbf{B} \oplus \mathbf{A} \triangleright^1 \mathbf{B} \oplus \mathbf{A} \triangleright^2 \mathbf{B} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{A} \triangleright^k \mathbf{B} \oplus \dots$$

Key result (again)

q stability

If there exists a q such that for all \mathbf{B} , $\mathbf{A} \triangleright^{(q)} \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A} \triangleright^{(q+1)} \mathbf{B}$, then \mathbf{A} is **q -stable**. Therefore, $\mathbf{A} \triangleright^* \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A} \triangleright^{(q)} \mathbf{B}$.

Theorem

If \mathbf{A} is q -stable, then $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{A} \triangleright^* (\mathbf{B})$ solves the equation

$$\mathbf{L} = (\mathbf{A} \triangleright \mathbf{L}) \oplus \mathbf{B}.$$

Example

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TwoLevels}((\mathcal{S}, \oplus_{\mathcal{S}}, (\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}, \triangleright_{\mathcal{S}})), (T, \oplus_T, (\Lambda_T, \triangleright_T))) \\ \equiv \\ (\mathcal{S} \uplus T, \oplus, (\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \times \Lambda_T, \triangleright)) \end{aligned}$$

where

$\oplus \equiv \oplus_{\mathcal{S}} + \oplus_T$ over $\mathcal{S} \uplus T$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{inl}(\mathbf{s}) \oplus \text{inl}(\mathbf{s}') &\equiv \text{inl}(\mathbf{s} \oplus_{\mathcal{S}} \mathbf{s}') \\ \text{inr}(\mathbf{t}) \oplus \text{inr}(\mathbf{t}') &\equiv \text{inr}(\mathbf{t} \oplus_T \mathbf{t}') \\ \text{inl}(\mathbf{s}) \oplus \text{inr}(\mathbf{t}) &\equiv \text{inl}(\mathbf{s}) \\ \text{inr}(\mathbf{t}) \oplus \text{inl}(\mathbf{s}) &\equiv \text{inl}(\mathbf{s}) \end{aligned}$$

$\triangleright \in (\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \times \Lambda_T) \rightarrow ((\mathcal{S} \uplus T) \rightarrow (\mathcal{S} \uplus T))$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_{\mathcal{S}}, \lambda_T) \triangleright \text{inl}(\mathbf{s}) &\equiv \text{inl}(\lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \triangleright_{\mathcal{S}} \mathbf{s}) \\ (\lambda_{\mathcal{S}}, \lambda_T) \triangleright \text{inr}(\mathbf{t}) &\equiv \text{inr}(\lambda_T \triangleright_T \mathbf{t}) \end{aligned}$$

Something familiar : Lexicographic product

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{S}, \oplus_{\mathcal{S}}, (\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}, \triangleright_{\mathcal{S}})) \vec{\times} (T, \oplus_T, (\Lambda_T, \triangleright_T)) \\ \equiv \\ (\mathcal{S} \times T, \oplus_{\mathcal{S}} \vec{\times} \oplus_T, (\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \times \Lambda_T, \triangleright_{\mathcal{S}} \times \triangleright_T))\end{aligned}$$

Theorem

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{D}((\mathcal{S}, \oplus_{\mathcal{S}}, (\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}, \triangleright_{\mathcal{S}})) \vec{\times} (T, \oplus_T, (\Lambda_T, \triangleright_T))) \\ \iff \\ \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{S}, \oplus_{\mathcal{S}}, (\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}, \triangleright_{\mathcal{S}})) \wedge \mathbb{D}(T, \oplus_T, (\Lambda_T, \triangleright_T)) \\ \wedge (\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{S}, (\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}, \triangleright_{\mathcal{S}})) \vee \mathbb{K}(T, (\Lambda_T, \triangleright_T)))\end{aligned}$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{S}, \oplus, (\Lambda, \triangleright)) &\equiv \forall a, b \in \mathcal{S}, \lambda \in \Lambda, \lambda \triangleright (a \oplus b) = (\lambda \triangleright a) \oplus (\lambda \triangleright b) \\ \mathbb{C}(\mathcal{S}, (\Lambda, \triangleright)) &\equiv \forall a, b \in \mathcal{S}, \lambda \in \Lambda, \lambda \triangleright a = \lambda \triangleright b \implies a = b \\ \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{S}, (\Lambda, \triangleright)) &\equiv \forall a, b \in \mathcal{S}, \lambda \in \Lambda, \lambda \triangleright a = \lambda \triangleright b\end{aligned}$$

Something new: Functional Union

$$(\mathcal{S}, \oplus, (\Lambda_1, \triangleright_1)) +_m (\mathcal{S}, \oplus, (\Lambda_2, \triangleright_2)) = (\mathcal{S}, \oplus, (\Lambda_1 \uplus \Lambda_2, \triangleright))$$

Where $\triangleright \equiv \triangleright_1 \uplus \triangleright_2$ is defined as

$$\text{inl}(\lambda_1) \triangleright \mathbf{s} = \lambda \triangleright_1 \mathbf{s}$$

$$\text{inr}(\lambda_2) \triangleright \mathbf{s} = \lambda \triangleright_2 \mathbf{s}$$

Fact

$$\mathbb{D}((\mathcal{S}, \oplus, (\Lambda_1, \triangleright_1)) +_m (\mathcal{S}, \oplus, (\Lambda_2, \triangleright_2)))$$

\iff

$$\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{S}, \oplus, (\Lambda_1, \triangleright_1)) \wedge \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{S}, \oplus, (\Lambda_2, \triangleright_2))$$

Left and Right

$$\text{right}(\mathcal{S}, \oplus) \equiv (\mathcal{S}, \oplus, (\{R\}, \text{right}))$$

$$R \text{ right } s = s$$

$$\text{left}(\mathcal{S}, \oplus) \equiv (\mathcal{S}, \oplus, (\mathcal{S}, \text{left}))$$

$$s_1 \text{ left } s_2 = s_1$$

The following are always hold.

$$\mathbb{D}(\text{right}(\mathcal{S}, \oplus))$$

$$\mathbb{IP}(\mathcal{S}, \oplus) \Rightarrow \mathbb{D}(\text{left}(\mathcal{S}, \oplus))$$

$$\mathbb{C}(\text{right}(\mathcal{S}, \oplus))$$

$$\mathbb{K}(\text{left}(\mathcal{S}, \oplus))$$

Theorem. If $\mathbb{I}\mathbb{P}(T, \oplus_T)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbb{D}((\mathbf{S}, \oplus_S, (\Lambda_S, \triangleright_S)) \Theta (T, \oplus_T, (\Lambda_T, \triangleright_T))) \\ & \quad \iff \\ & \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{S}, \oplus_S, (\Lambda_S, \triangleright_S)) \wedge \mathbb{D}(T, \oplus_T, (\Lambda_T, \triangleright_T)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{D}(((\mathbf{S}, \oplus_S, (\Lambda_S, \triangleright_S)) \vec{\times} \text{left}(T, \oplus_T)) \\ & \quad +_m (\text{right}(\mathbf{S}, \oplus_S) \vec{\times} (T, \oplus_T, (\Lambda_T, \triangleright_T)))) \\ \iff & \mathbb{D}((\mathbf{S}, \oplus_S, (\Lambda_S, \triangleright_S)) \vec{\times} \text{left}(T, \oplus_T)) \\ & \quad \wedge \mathbb{D}((\text{right}(\mathbf{S}, \oplus_S)) \vec{\times} (T, \oplus_T, (\Lambda_T, \triangleright_T))) \\ \iff & \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{S}, \oplus_S, (\Lambda_S, \triangleright_S)) \wedge \mathbb{D}(\text{left}(T, \oplus_T)) \\ & \quad \wedge (\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{S}, (\Lambda_S, \triangleright_S)) \vee \mathbb{K}(\text{left}(T, \oplus_T))) \\ & \quad \wedge \mathbb{D}(\text{right}(\mathbf{S}, \oplus_S)) \wedge \mathbb{D}(T, \oplus_T, (\Lambda_T, \triangleright_T)) \\ & \quad \wedge (\mathbb{C}(\text{right}(\mathbf{S}, \oplus_S)) \vee \mathbb{K}(T, (\Lambda_T, \triangleright_T))) \\ \iff & \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{S}, \oplus_S, (\Lambda_S, \triangleright_S)) \wedge \mathbb{D}(T, \oplus_T, (\Lambda_T, \triangleright_T)) \end{aligned}$$