

Why bisemigroups?

But wait! How could any semiring satisfy either of these properties?

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{LC}(X, \bullet) &\equiv \forall a, b, c \in X, c \bullet a = c \bullet b \Rightarrow a = b \\ \mathbb{LK}(X, \bullet) &\equiv \forall a, b, c \in X, c \bullet a = c \bullet b\end{aligned}$$

- For \mathbb{LC} , note that we always have $\bar{0} \otimes a = \bar{0} \otimes b$, so \mathbb{LC} could only hold when $S = \{\bar{0}\}$.
- For \mathbb{LK} , let $a = \bar{1}$ and $b = \bar{0}$ and \mathbb{LK} leads to the conclusion that every c is equal to $\bar{0}$ (again!).

Normally we will add a zero and/or a one as the last step(s) of constructing a semiring. Alternatively, we might want to complicate our properties so that things work for semirings. A design trade-off!

Proof of \Leftarrow for \mathbb{LD} (Very carefully ...)

Assume

- (1) $\mathbb{LD}(\mathbf{S}, \oplus_{\mathbf{S}}, \otimes_{\mathbf{S}})$
- (2) $\mathbb{LD}(\mathbf{T}, \oplus_{\mathbf{T}}, \otimes_{\mathbf{T}})$
- (3) $\mathbb{LC}(\mathbf{S}, \otimes_{\mathbf{S}}) \vee \mathbb{LK}(\mathbf{T}, \otimes_{\mathbf{T}})$
- (4) $\mathbb{IP}(\mathbf{S}, \oplus_{\mathbf{S}})$.

Let $\oplus \equiv \oplus_{\mathbf{S}} \vec{\times} \oplus_{\mathbf{T}}$ and $\otimes \equiv \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} \times \otimes_{\mathbf{T}}$. Suppose

$$(s_1, t_1), (s_2, t_2), (s_3, t_3) \in \mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{T}.$$

We want to show that

$$\begin{aligned}\text{lhs} &\equiv (s_1, t_1) \otimes ((s_2, t_2) \oplus (s_3, t_3)) \\ &= ((s_1, t_1) \otimes (s_2, t_2)) \oplus ((s_1, t_1) \otimes (s_3, t_3)) \\ &\equiv \text{rhs}\end{aligned}$$

Proof of \Leftarrow for LD

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{lhs} &\equiv (\mathbf{s}_1, t_1) \otimes ((\mathbf{s}_2, t_2) \oplus (\mathbf{s}_3, t_3)) \\
 &= (\mathbf{s}_1, t_1) \otimes (\mathbf{s}_2 \oplus_S \mathbf{s}_3, t_{\text{lhs}}) \\
 &= (\mathbf{s}_1 \otimes_S (\mathbf{s}_2 \oplus_S \mathbf{s}_3), t_1 \otimes_T t_{\text{lhs}}) \\
 \\
 \text{rhs} &\equiv ((\mathbf{s}_1, t_1) \otimes (\mathbf{s}_2, t_2)) \oplus ((\mathbf{s}_1, t_1) \otimes (\mathbf{s}_3, t_3)) \\
 &= (\mathbf{s}_1 \otimes_S \mathbf{s}_2, t_1 \otimes_T t_2) \oplus (\mathbf{s}_1 \otimes_S \mathbf{s}_3, t_1 \otimes_T t_3) \\
 &= ((\mathbf{s}_1 \otimes_S \mathbf{s}_2) \oplus_S (\mathbf{s}_1 \otimes_S \mathbf{s}_3), t_{\text{rhs}}) \\
 &\stackrel{(1)}{=} (\mathbf{s}_1 \otimes_S (\mathbf{s}_2 \oplus_S \mathbf{s}_3), t_{\text{rhs}})
 \end{aligned}$$

where t_{lhs} and t_{rhs} are determined by the appropriate case in the definition of \oplus . Finally, note that

$$\text{lhs} = \text{rhs} \Leftrightarrow t_{\text{rhs}} = t_1 \otimes_T t_{\text{lhs}}.$$

Proof by cases on $\mathbf{s}_2 \oplus_S \mathbf{s}_3$

Case 1 : $\mathbf{s}_2 = \mathbf{s}_2 \oplus_S \mathbf{s}_3 = \mathbf{s}_3$. Then $t_{\text{lhs}} = t_2 \oplus_T t_3$ and

$$t_1 \otimes_T t_{\text{lhs}} = t_1 \otimes_T (t_2 \oplus_T t_3) \stackrel{(2)}{=} (t_1 \otimes_T t_2) \oplus_T (t_1 \otimes_T t_3).$$

Since $\mathbf{s}_2 = \mathbf{s}_3$ we have $\mathbf{s}_1 \otimes_S \mathbf{s}_2 = \mathbf{s}_1 \otimes_S \mathbf{s}_3$ and

$$\mathbf{s}_1 \otimes_S \mathbf{s}_2 \stackrel{(4)}{=} (\mathbf{s}_1 \otimes_S \mathbf{s}_2) \oplus_S (\mathbf{s}_1 \otimes_S \mathbf{s}_3) \stackrel{(4)}{=} \mathbf{s}_1 \otimes_S \mathbf{s}_3.$$

Therefore,

$$t_{\text{rhs}} = (t_1 \otimes_T t_2) \oplus (t_1 \otimes_T t_3) = t_1 \otimes_T t_{\text{lhs}}.$$

Case 2 : $\mathbf{s}_2 = \mathbf{s}_2 \oplus_S \mathbf{s}_3 \neq \mathbf{s}_3$. Then $t_{\text{lhs}} = t_2$ and

$$t_1 \otimes_T t_{\text{lhs}} = t_1 \otimes_T t_2.$$

Since $\mathbf{s}_2 = \mathbf{s}_2 \oplus_S \mathbf{s}_3$ we have

$$\mathbf{s}_1 \otimes_S \mathbf{s}_2 = \mathbf{s}_1 \otimes_S (\mathbf{s}_2 \oplus_S \mathbf{s}_3) \stackrel{(1)}{=} (\mathbf{s}_1 \otimes_S \mathbf{s}_2) \oplus_S (\mathbf{s}_1 \otimes_S \mathbf{s}_3).$$

We need to select t_1, t_2, t_3 so that

$$t_{\text{lhs}} \equiv t_1 \otimes_T t_2 \neq t_1 \otimes_T (t_2 \oplus_T t_3) \equiv t_{\text{rhs}}.$$

Case 3.1.1: $z \otimes_T x = z \otimes_T (x \oplus_T y)$. Then letting $t_1 = z$, $t_2 = y$, and $t_3 = x$ we have

$$t_{\text{lhs}} = z \otimes_T y \neq z \otimes_T x = z \otimes_T (x \oplus_T y) = z \otimes_T (y \oplus_T x) = t_{\text{rhs}}.$$

Case 3.1.2: $z \otimes_T x \neq z \otimes_T (x \oplus_T y)$. Then letting $t_1 = z$, $t_2 = x$, and $t_3 = y$ we have

$$t_{\text{lhs}} = z \otimes_T x \neq z \otimes_T (x \oplus_T y) = t_{\text{rhs}}.$$

Case 3.2 : Assume $b = a \oplus_S b \neq a$.

Suppose that $t_1, t_2, t_3 \in T$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{lhs} &\equiv (c, t_1) \otimes ((a, t_2) \oplus (b, t_3)) \\ &= (c, t_1) \otimes (b, t_3) \\ &= (c \otimes_S b, t_1 \otimes_T t_3) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rhs} &\equiv ((c, t_1) \otimes (a, t_2)) \oplus ((c, t_1) \otimes (b, t_3)) \\ &= (c \otimes_S a, t_1 \otimes_T t_2) \oplus (c \otimes_S b, t_1 \otimes_T t_3) \\ &= (c \otimes_S b, (t_1 \otimes_T t_2) \oplus_T (t_1 \otimes_T t_3)) \\ &= (c \otimes_S b, t_1 \otimes_T (t_2 \oplus_T t_3)) \end{aligned}$$

We need to select t_1, t_2, t_3 so that

$$t_{\text{lhs}} \equiv t_1 \otimes_T t_3 \neq t_1 \otimes_T (t_2 \oplus_T t_3) \equiv t_{\text{rhs}}.$$

Case 3.2.1: $z \otimes_T x = z \otimes_T (x \oplus_T y)$. Then letting $t_1 = z$, $t_2 = x$, and $t_3 = y$ we have

$$t_{\text{lhs}} = z \otimes_T y \neq z \otimes_T x = z \otimes_T (x \oplus_T y) = t_{\text{rhs}}.$$

Case 3.2.2: $z \otimes_T x \neq z \otimes_T (x \oplus_T y)$. letting $t_1 = z$, $t_2 = y$, and $t_3 = x$ we have

$$t_{\text{lhs}} = z \otimes_T x \neq z \otimes_T (x \oplus_T y) = z \otimes_T (y \oplus_T x) = t_{\text{rhs}}.$$

□

Computing Counter Examples

Note that from (a, b, c) such $c \otimes_S a = c \otimes_S b \wedge a \neq b$ and (x, y, z) such that $z \otimes_T x \neq z \otimes_T y$ our proof computes a counter example to LD as

if $a = a \oplus_S b$
 then if $z \otimes_T x = (z \otimes_T x) \oplus_T (z \otimes_T y)$
 then $((a, z), (b, y), (c, x))$
 else $((a, z), (b, x), (c, y))$
 else if $z \otimes_T x = (z \otimes_T x) \oplus_T (z \otimes_T y)$
 then $((a, z), (b, x), (c, y))$
 else $((a, z), (b, y), (c, x))$

Another construction

Suppose that (S, \oplus_S) and (T, \oplus_T) are both commutative and idempotent semigroups. Recall that $S \uplus T$ represents the disjoint union of sets S and T . That is,

$$S \uplus T \equiv \{\text{inl}(s) \mid s \in S\} \cup \{\text{inr}(t) \mid t \in T\}.$$

Define the operation $\oplus \equiv \oplus_S + \oplus_T$ over $S \uplus T$ as

$$\text{inl}(s) \oplus \text{inl}(s') \equiv \text{inl}(s \oplus_S s')$$

$$\text{inr}(t) \oplus \text{inr}(t') \equiv \text{inr}(t \oplus_T t')$$

$$\text{inl}(s) \oplus \text{inr}(t) \equiv \text{inl}(s)$$

$$\text{inr}(t) \oplus \text{inl}(s) \equiv \text{inl}(s)$$

Homework 1. Due 31 October.

- 1 Show that \leq_{\oplus}^L is a partial order, where this is defined in the usual way as $x \leq_{\oplus}^L y \equiv x = x \oplus y$.
- 2 When is \leq_{\oplus}^L a total order?
- 3 Does \leq_{\oplus}^L have a least element? A greatest element?
- 4 Suppose now that we have two semirings, (S, \oplus_S, \otimes_S) and (T, \oplus_T, \otimes_T) . We want to define a combinator that will produce a semiring

$$(S \uplus T, \oplus, \otimes).$$

How would you define \otimes from \otimes_S and \otimes_T ?

- 5 Can you give an informal interpretation for the resulting semiring?