## Lecture 16

## <u>Yoneda functor</u> $y : C \rightarrow Set^{C^{op}}$

maps each  $X \in C$  to  $C(\_, X) : C^{op} \to Set$  and each  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$  in C to the natural transformation  $C(\_, X) \to C(\_, Y)$  whose component at each  $Z \in C$  is the function  $f_* : C(Z, X) \to C(Z, Y)$  mapping g to  $f \circ g$ .

<u>Yoneda functor</u>  $y : C \rightarrow Set^{C^{op}}$ 

**Yoneda Lemma:** there is a bijection  $\operatorname{Set}^{\operatorname{C^{op}}}(yX, F) \cong F(X)$  which is natural both in  $F \in \operatorname{Set}^{\operatorname{C^{op}}}$  and  $X \in \mathbb{C}$ .

In particular,  $\mathbf{y}$  is a <u>full and faithful</u> functor.

Hence  $\mathbf{y}X \cong \mathbf{y}Y \implies X \cong Y$ , i.e.

Given C-objects X, Y, to show  $X \cong Y$  in C, it suffices to give bijections  $C(Z, X) \cong C(Z, Y)$  in Set that are natural in  $Z \in obj C$ ,

<u>Yoneda functor</u>  $y : C \rightarrow Set^{C^{op}}$ 

**Yoneda Lemma:** there is a bijection  $\operatorname{Set}^{\operatorname{C^{op}}}(yX, F) \cong F(X)$  which is natural both in  $F \in \operatorname{Set}^{\operatorname{C^{op}}}$  and  $X \in \mathbb{C}$ .

In particular,  $\mathbf{y}$  is a <u>full and faithful</u> functor.

Hence  $\mathbf{y}X \cong \mathbf{y}Y \Rightarrow X \cong Y$ , i.e.

Given C-objects X, Y, to show  $X \cong Y$  in C, it suffices to give bijections  $C(Z, X) \cong C(Z, Y)$  in Set that are natural in  $Z \in obj C$ , or dually, bijections  $C(X, Z) \cong C(Y, Z)$  in Set that are natural in  $Z \in obj C$ .

E.g. in a ccc that has binary coproducts, one can use this to show  $(Y + Z) \times X \cong (Y \times X) + (Z \times X) \dots$ 

<u>Yoneda functor</u>  $y : C \rightarrow Set^{C^{op}}$ 

**Yoneda Lemma:** there is a bijection  $\operatorname{Set}^{\operatorname{C^{op}}}(yX, F) \cong F(X)$  which is natural both in  $F \in \operatorname{Set}^{\operatorname{C^{op}}}$  and  $X \in \mathbb{C}$ .

An application of the Yoneda Lemma:

**Theorem.** For each small category **C**, the category **Set**<sup>C°P</sup> of presheaves is cartesian closed.

#### Proof sketch.

Terminal object in  $\mathbf{Set}^{\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{op}}}$  is the functor  $1: \mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  given by

 $\begin{cases} 1(X) \triangleq \{0\} & \text{terminal object in Set} \\ 1(f) \triangleq id_{\{0\}} \end{cases}$ 

#### Proof sketch.

Product of  $F, G \in \mathbf{Set}^{\mathbf{C}^{op}}$  is the functor  $F \times G : \mathbf{C}^{op} \to \mathbf{Set}$  given by

 $\begin{cases} (F \times G)(X) \triangleq F(X) \times G(X) & \text{cartesian product of sets} \\ (F \times G)(f) \triangleq F(f) \times G(f) \end{cases}$ 

with projection morphisms  $F \xleftarrow{\pi_1}{\leftarrow} F \times G \xrightarrow{\pi_2}{\rightarrow} G$  given by the natural transformations whose components at  $X \in \mathbb{C}$  are the projection functions  $F(X) \xleftarrow{\pi_1}{\leftarrow} F(X) \times G(X) \xrightarrow{\pi_2}{\rightarrow} G(X)$ .

#### Proof sketch.

We can work out what the value of the exponential  $G^F \in \mathbf{Set}^{\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{op}}}$  at  $X \in \mathbf{C}$  has to be using the Yoneda Lemma:



#### Proof sketch.

We can work out what the value of the exponential  $G^F \in \mathbf{Set}^{\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{op}}}$  at  $X \in \mathbf{C}$  has to be using the Yoneda Lemma:

## $G^{F}(X) \cong \operatorname{Set}^{\mathsf{C}^{\operatorname{op}}}(\mathsf{y}X, G^{F}) \cong \operatorname{Set}^{\mathsf{C}^{\operatorname{op}}}(\mathsf{y}X \times F, G)$

We take the set  $\operatorname{Set}^{\operatorname{C^{op}}}(yX \times F, G)$  to be the definition of the value of  $G^F$  at X...

## **Exponential objects in Set**<sup>C°P</sup>:

$$G^F(X) \triangleq \mathsf{Set}^{\mathsf{C}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathbf{y}X \times F, G)$$

Given  $Y \xrightarrow{f} X$  in **C**, we have  $\mathbf{y}Y \xrightarrow{\mathbf{y}f} \mathbf{y}X$  in  $\mathbf{Set}^{\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{op}}}$  and hence  $G^{F}(Y) \triangleq \mathbf{Set}^{\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathbf{y}Y \times F, G) \xrightarrow{} \mathbf{Set}^{\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathbf{y}X \times F, G) \triangleq G^{F}(X)$  $\theta \mapsto \theta \circ (\mathbf{y}f \times \mathrm{id}_{F})$ 

We define

$$G^F(f) \triangleq (\mathrm{y} f \times \mathrm{id}_F)^*$$

Have to check that these definitions make  $G^F$  ino a functor  $C^{op} \rightarrow Set$ .

### **Application morphisms in Set**<sup>C°P</sup>:

Given  $F, G \in Set^{C^{op}}$ , the application morphism

 $app: G^F \times F \to G$ 

is the natural transformation whose component at  $X \in \mathbb{C}$  is the function

 $(G^F \times F)(X) \triangleq G^F(X) \times F(X) \triangleq \mathsf{Set}^{\mathsf{C}^{\mathsf{op}}}(yX \times F, G) \times F(X) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{app}_X} G(X)$ 

defined by

$$\mathtt{app}_X( heta,x) riangleq heta_X(\mathtt{id}_X,x)$$

Have to check that this is natural in X.

Currying operation in **Set**<sup>C°P</sup>:

$$\left(H \times F \xrightarrow{\theta} G\right) \mapsto \left(H \xrightarrow{\operatorname{cur} \theta} G^F\right)$$

Given  $H \times F \xrightarrow{\theta} G$  in  $\operatorname{Set}^{\operatorname{C^{op}}}$ , the component of  $\operatorname{cur} \theta$  at  $X \in \mathbb{C}$  $H(X) \xrightarrow{(\operatorname{cur} \theta)_X} G^F(X) \triangleq \operatorname{Set}^{\operatorname{C^{op}}}(yX \times F, G)$ 

is the function mapping each  $z \in H(X)$  to the natural transformation  $yX \times F \to G$  whose component at  $Y \in C$  is the function

$$(\mathbf{y}X \times F)(Y) \triangleq \mathsf{C}(Y,X) \times F(Y) \to G(Y)$$

defined by

$$((\operatorname{cur} \theta)_X(z))_Y(f,y) \triangleq \theta_Y(H(f)(z),y)$$

Currying operation in **Set**<sup>C<sup>op</sup></sup>:

$$\left(H \times F \xrightarrow{\theta} G\right) \mapsto \left(H \xrightarrow{\operatorname{cur} \theta} G^F\right)$$

$$((\operatorname{cur} \theta)_X(z))_Y(f,y) \triangleq \theta_Y(H(f)(z),y)$$

Have to check that this is natural in  $\mathbf{Y}$ ,

then that  $(\operatorname{cur} \theta)_X$  is natural in X,

then that  $\operatorname{cur} \theta$  is the unique morphism  $H \xrightarrow{\varphi} G^F$  in  $\operatorname{Set}^{C^{\operatorname{op}}}$ satisfying  $\operatorname{app} \circ (\varphi \times \operatorname{id}_F) = \theta$ .

So we can interpret simply typed lambda calculus in any presheaf category.

More than that, presheaf categories (usefully) model dependently-typed languages.

## Next steps in basic category theory

- equivalence of categories
- limits and colimits of diagrams in categories
- ► (co)monads and their (co)algebras

Some current themes involving category theory

semantics of effects & co-effects in programming languages

(monads and comonads)

homotopy type theory

(higher-dimensional category theory)

structural aspects of networks, quantum computation/protocols, ...

(string diagrams for monoidal categories)