Complexity Theory

Lecture 4

Anuj Dawar

http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/teaching/1819/Complexity

Verifiers

A verifier V for a language L is an algorithm such that

 $L = \{x \mid (x, c) \text{ is accepted by } V \text{ for some } c\}$

If V runs in time polynomial in the length of x, then we say that *L* is polynomially verifiable.

Many natural examples arise, whenever we have to construct a solution to some design constraints or specifications.

Nondeterminism

If, in the definition of a Turing machine, we relax the condition on δ being a function and instead allow an arbitrary relation, we obtain a *nondeterministic Turing machine*.

 $\delta \subseteq (Q \times \Sigma) \times (Q \cup \{\mathsf{acc}, \mathsf{rej}\} \times \Sigma \times \{R, L, S\}).$

The yields relation \rightarrow_M is also no longer functional.

We still define the language accepted by M by:

 $\{x \mid (s, \triangleright, x) \rightarrow^{\star}_{M} (\operatorname{acc}, w, u) \text{ for some } w \text{ and } u\}$

though, for some x, there may be computations leading to accepting as well as rejecting states.

Computation Trees

With a nondeterministic machine, each configuration gives rise to a tree of successive configurations.



Nondeterministic Complexity Classes

We have already defined TIME(f) and SPACE(f).

NTIME(f) is defined as the class of those languages L which are accepted by a *nondeterministic* Turing machine M, such that for every $x \in L$, there is an accepting computation of M on x of length O(f(n)), where n is the length of x.

 $\mathsf{NP} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathsf{NTIME}(n^k)$

Nondeterminism



For a language in NTIME(f), the height of the tree can be bounded by f(n) when the input is of length n.

Anuj Dawar

Complexity Theory

A language L is polynomially verifiable if, and only if, it is in NP.

To prove this, suppose L is a language, which has a verifier V, which runs in time p(n).

The following describes a *nondeterministic algorithm* that accepts *L*

- 1. input x of length n
- 2. nondeterministically guess c of length $\leq p(n)$
- 3. run V on (x, c)

In the other direction, suppose M is a nondeterministic machine that accepts a language L in time n^k .

We define the *deterministic algorithm V* which on input (x, c) simulates M on input x. At the *i*th nondeterministic choice point, V looks at the *i*th character in c to decide which branch to follow. If M accepts then V accepts, otherwise it rejects.

V is a polynomial verifier for L.

Generate and Test

We can think of nondeterministic algorithms in the generate-and test paradigm:



Where the *generate* component is nondeterministic and the *verify* component is deterministic.

Reductions

Given two languages $L_1 \subseteq \Sigma_1^{\star}$, and $L_2 \subseteq \Sigma_2^{\star}$,

A reduction of L_1 to L_2 is a computable function

 $f: \Sigma_1^\star o \Sigma_2^\star$

such that for every string $x \in \Sigma_1^{\star}$,

 $f(x) \in L_2$ if, and only if, $x \in L_1$

Resource Bounded Reductions

If f is computable by a polynomial time algorithm, we say that L_1 is polynomial time reducible to L_2 .

 $L_1 \leq_P L_2$

If f is also computable in SPACE(log n), we write

 $L_1 \leq_L L_2$

Reductions 2

If $L_1 \leq_P L_2$ we understand that L_1 is no more difficult to solve than L_2 , at least as far as polynomial time computation is concerned.

That is to say, $\textit{If } L_1 \leq_P L_2 \textit{ and } L_2 \in \mathsf{P}, \textit{ then } L_1 \in \mathsf{P}$

We can get an algorithm to decide L_1 by first computing f, and then using the polynomial time algorithm for L_2 .

Completeness

The usefulness of reductions is that they allow us to establish the *relative* complexity of problems, even when we cannot prove absolute lower bounds.

 $\operatorname{Cook}(1972)$ first showed that there are problems in NP that are maximally difficult.

A language *L* is said to be NP-*hard* if for every language $A \in NP$, $A \leq_P L$.

A language *L* is NP-complete if it is in NP and it is NP-hard.

SAT is NP-complete

Cook and Levin independently showed that the language SAT of satisfiable Boolean expressions is NP-complete.

To establish this, we need to show that for every language L in NP, there is a polynomial time reduction from L to SAT.

Since L is in NP, there is a nondeterministic Turing machine

 $M = (Q, \Sigma, s, \delta)$

and a bound k such that a string x of length n is in L if, and only if, it is accepted by M within n^k steps.