

Staging (part II)

March 2018

Last time: staging

.<e>.

This time: more staging

.<e>.

Generalizing **algebraic** optimisation

Staging and **effects**

Multi-stage programming adds support for building code values:

quoting .<e>. .~e **escaping**

Staging transforms simple functions like `pow` ...

```
let rec pow x n =
  if n = 0 then 1
  else x * pow x (n - 1)
```

... into **code generators**:

```
let rec pow x n =
  if n = 0 then .< 1 >.
  else .< .~x * .~(pow x (n - 1)) >.
```

Review: partially-static structures

A sharp **static/dynamic** distinction leads to sub-optimal code:

```
pow .< x >. 3
```

\rightsquigarrow

```
.< x * x * x * 1 >.
```

Partially-static structures improve matters using algebraic laws.

Partially-static structures evaluate to **canonical** representations:

```
(sta 2 <*> dyn .<x>.) <*> (sta 4 <*> dyn .<x>.)
```

\rightsquigarrow

```
(8, {x ↦ 2})
```

Review: partially-static monoid interface

Given a monoid M, define a partially-static monoid type:

```
type of partially-static values
module type PSmonoid = sig
  type ps
  module N: MONOID with type t = ps
    val dyn: M.t code → ps
    val sta: M.t → ps
    val eva: {O:MONOID} → (M.t code → O.t) → (M.t → O.t) →
      ps → O.t
end

ps is a MONOID
static/dynamic injections
elimination into other monoids
```

Plan: generalize PS_{monoid} to arbitrary algebras

Review: partially-static commutative monoids

Partially-static **commutative monoid** representation:

static value & bag of variables ($sx^n y^m \dots$)

For integers with multiplication:

```
module Pscmonoid = struct
  type ps = int * (int IVarMap.t)
  module N = struct type t = ps
    let unit = (1, IVarMap.empty)
    let (<*>) (sx, dx) (sy, dy) =
      (sx*sy, merge add dx dy)
    let sta x = (x, IVarMap.empty)
    let dyn x = (1, IVarMap.singleton x)
    let eva = ...
  end
```

(**Note:** extends easily to arbitrary commutative monoids)

Implementing partially-static non-commutative monoids

Monoids are very common. But not all monoids are commutative:

Strings with catenation form a **non-commutative** monoid

$$\text{"a"} \wedge \text{"b"} \not\equiv \text{"b"} \wedge \text{"a"}$$

Matrices with multiplication form a **non-commutative** monoid

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \not\equiv \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The `pscmonoid` representation is unsuitable for these monoids.

Generalizing the interface

For an algebraic signature S with an implementation M , define:

```
module type PSS = sig
  type ps
  module N: S with type t = ps
  val dyn: M.t code → ps
  val sta: M.t → ps
  val eva: {0:S} → (M.t code → 0.t) → (M.t → 0.t) →
    ps → 0.t
end
```

Examples for S :

monoids, rings, abelian groups, lattices, datatypes ...

Working out the representation

Finding a partially-static representation for an algebra.

Expressions are built using operations, constants and variables

$$(2 \oplus x) \oplus (4 \oplus y)$$

Next, consider **equivalence classes** of expressions under the laws.

For monoids, reassocated expressions are equivalent:

$$(2 \oplus x) \oplus (4 \oplus y)$$

$$((2 \oplus x) \oplus 4) \oplus y$$

$$2 \oplus ((x \oplus 4) \oplus y)$$

...

Finally, pick a **canonical representative** of the equivalence class.

Every monoid expression can be fully left- (or right-) associated

$$2 \oplus (x \oplus (4 \oplus y))$$

Working out the representation (continued)

Adjacent constants can be combined. For example, for strings:

$$\begin{aligned}x &\wedge ("a" \wedge ("b" \wedge y)) \\&\equiv \\x &\wedge ("ab" \wedge y)\end{aligned}$$

So, the partially-static representation for monoids:

a sequence with **no adjacent static elements**



For **commutative monoids** the order of elements is irrelevant.

Constants can be grouped together and reduced.

So, the partially-static representation for commutative monoids:

a pair of a **single static element** and a **bag of variables**

The (non-commutative) monoid representation

Sequence with no adjacent **static** elements.

```
type sta = Sta and dyn = Dyn and eseq = E: _ seq → eseq
and _ seq = (* sta seq begins with a static element *)
    Empty : _ seq
  | ConsS : string      * dyn seq → sta seq
  | ConsD : string code * _ seq → dyn seq

let consS : string → eseq → eseq =
  fun x xs → match xs with
    | E Empty → E (ConsS (x, Empty))
    | E (ConsS (y, ys)) → E (ConsS (x ^ y, ys))
    | E (ConsD (_, _) as r) → E (ConsS (x, r))

let rec (<*>) : eseq → eseq → eseq = ...
```



Example: typed format specifiers

Typed format strings (for printf/scanf) as a GADT:

```
type (_,_) fmt =
| Int : (int → 'a, 'a) fmt
| Lit : string → ('a, 'a) fmt
| Bool : (bool → 'a, 'a) fmt
| Cat : ('a,'b) fmt * ('b,'c) fmt → ('a,'c) fmt
let (%) x y = Cat (x, y)
```

Used in the standard library (over 6000 lines of formatting code!)

The **first parameter accumulates** printf/scanf argument types:

```
Lit "(" % Int % Lit "," % Int % Lit ")"
: (int → int → 'a, 'a)
```

Example: typed printf (unstaged)

Typed printf (in **continuation-passing style**):

```
let rec printk : type a r. (a, r) fmt → (string → r)
  fun fmt k → match fmt with
    | Int → fun i → k (string_of_int i)
    | Bool → fun b → k (string_of_bool b)
    | Lit s → k s
    | Cat (l, r) → printk l (fun x →
        printk r (fun y →
          k (x ^ y)))
```

A top-level function for **printing to strings**:

```
let sprintf : type a. (a, string) fmt → a =
  fun fmt → printk fmt (fun x → x)
```

sprintf in action:

```
sprintf (Bool % Lit "," % Lit " " % Bool %) true false
~~ "true , false"
```

Example: printf, naively staged

Format strings are static

printf arguments and accumulator are dynamic:

```
let rec printk2
  : type a r. (a,r) fmt → (string code → r code) → a code
= fun fmt k → match fmt with
  | Int → .< fun i → .~(k .<string_of_int i>.) >.
  | Bool → .< fun b → .~(k .<string_of_bool b>.) >.
  | Lit s → k .< s >. (* CSP *)
  | Cat (l, r) → printk2 l (fun x →
    printk2 r (fun y →
      k .< .~x ^ .~y >.))

let sprintf2 : type a. (a, string) fmt → a code =
  fun fmt → printk2 fmt (fun x → x)
```

Staged printf output

```
sprintf2 (Bool % Lit "," % Lit " " % Bool %)
~~~
.< fun b_1 b_2 →
  ((string_of_bool b_1 ^ ",") ^ " ") ^ string_of_bool b_2>.
```

Plan: reduce catenation count using partially-static monoids

Staged printf with partially-static monoids

Improve binding-times using `dyn` and `sta`:

```
let rec printk3
  : type a r . (a, r) fmt → (Ps_string.t → r code) → a code
  = fun fmt k → match fmt with
    | Int → .< fun i → .~(k (dyn .<string_of_int i>.)) >.
    | Bool → .< fun b → .~(k (dyn .<string_of_bool b>.)) >.
    | Lit s → k (sta s) (* better! *)
    | Cat (l, r) → printk3 l (fun x →
        printk3 r (fun y →
          k (x <*> y)))
  
```



```
let sprintf3 : type a. (a, string) fmt → a code =
  fun fmt → printk3 fmt Ps_string.cd
```

Staged printf with partially-static monoids: output

```
sprintf3 (Bool % Lit ", " % Lit " " % Bool %)
~~~
.< fun b_1 b_2 →
  string_of_bool b_1 ^ (", " ^ string_of_bool b_2)>.
```

Static strings catenated **during generation**, improving the output.

Plan: reduce catenations further using effects.

Example: inner product

Specification:

```
dot n [|x1; x2; ...; xn|] [|y1; y2; ...; yn|]  
~~~ (x1 × y1) + (x2 × y2) + ... + (xn × yn)
```

Implementation:

```
let dot  
: int → float array → float array → float  
= fun n l r →  
    let rec loop i =  
        if i = n then 0.  
        else l.(i) *. r.(i)  
            +. loop (i + 1)  
    in loop 0
```

Classify variables into **dynamic** ('a code) / **static** ('a)

```
let dot
  : int → float array code → float array code → float code
  = fun n l r →
```

dynamic: l, r

static: n

Classify expressions into static (no dynamic variables) / dynamic

```
if i = n then 0
else l.(i) *. r.(i)
```

dynamic: l.(i) *. r.(i)

static: i = n

Goal: reduce static expressions during code generation.

Inner product, loop unrolling

Length-specialized dot:

```
let dot'
: int → float array code → float array code → float code
= fun n l r →
  let rec loop i =
    if i = n then .< 0. >.
    else .< ((.~l).(i) *. (.~r).(i))
          +. .~(loop (i + 1)) >.
  in loop 0
```

As with pow, making the loop variable static unrolls the loop:

```
# .< fun l r → .~(dot' 3 .< l >. .< r >.) >.;;
- : (float array → float array → float) code =
.< fun l r →
  (l.(0) *. r.(0)) +
  ((l.(1) *. r.(1)) +
   ((l.(2) *. r.(2)) +. 0.)) >.
```

Inner product, argument specialization

Vector-specialized dot:

```
let dot"
: int → float array → float array code → float code
= fun n l r →
  let rec loop i =
    if i = n then .< 0. >.
    else let li = l.(i) in
      .< (li *. (.~r).(i)) +. .~(loop (i + 1)) >.
  in loop 0
```

Generated code:

```
.< fun a → .~(dot" 3 [| 0.0; 1.0; 2.0|] .<a>.)>.
~~~
.< fun a → (0. *. a.(0)) +
      (1. *. a.(1)) +
      (2. *. a.(2)) +. 0.))>.
```

Partially-static algebra for inner product

Float addition and multiplication form a **commutative semiring**.

The partially-static representation is a **polynomial**:

$$s_1x^{i_1}y^{j_1} + s_2x^{i_2}y^{j_2} + \dots + s_mx^{i_m}y^{j_m}$$

(As usual we can define a **generic** commutative semiring structure)

Inner product, carefully staged

The “staged” code now needs **no staging annotations**:

```
let dot {R:RING}
  : int → R.t array → R.t array → R.t
= fun n l r →
  let rec loop i =
    if i = n then R.zero
    else l.(i) * r.(i) + loop (i + 1)
  in loop 0
```

Algebraic simplification improves the output:

```
.< fun a →
  .~(dot 3 [|sta 0.0; sta 1.0; sta 2.0|]
      [| dyn .<a.(0)>.;
         dyn .<a.(1)>.;
         dyn .<a.(2)>. |])>.

~~~

.< fun a → a.(1) +. (2. *. a.(2)).))>.
```

Metaprogramming and effects

Generative metaprogramming and compositionality

Naive generative metaprogramming is **compositional**.

The code generated by an expression is the **composition** of the code generated by subexpressions

```
f .<x>.~~ .< ... x ... >.
```

Generativity & compositionality has useful **safety properties**:

- no way to violate scoping in generate code

However, it is difficult to generate **optimal code** this way.

Generative metaprogramming, compositionality and effects

Consequence of compositionality:

generated code structure follows generator structure

Benefit: no arbitrary reordering of code

Drawback: need to write generator in awkward style (e.g. CPS)

let insertion: interface

Plan: add operations for inserting `let` at a **higher level** but at an outer level

Interface:

```
(* Mark the place where let can be inserted *)
val let_locus : (unit -> 'a code) -> 'a code

(* Insert a let binding where let_locus was called;
   return the variable *)
val genlet : 'a code -> 'a code
```

Example:

```
let_locus (fun () -> .< 1 + .~(genlet .<f y>.) >.
~~~
.< let x = f y in 1 + x >.
```

let insertion: a simple implementation

Implementation of `let` insertion:

```
effect GenLet : 'a code -> 'a code
let genlet v = perform (GenLet v)

let let_locus : (unit → 'a code) → 'a code =
  fun f → match f () with
  | x → x
  | effect (GenLet e) k →
    .< let x = .~e in .~(continue k .< x >.)>.
```

if insertion

if-insertion is also useful. (Need to run the continuation **twice**).

```
effect Split : bool code → bool
let split b = perform (Split b)

let if_locus f = match f () with
| x → x
| effect (Split b) k →
    .< if .~b then .~(continue k true)
        else .~(continue (Obj.clone k) false) >.
```

Now we can turn a dynamic bool into two static bools

```
.< fun b → .~(if_locus @@ fun () →
                  cd (sta "b:" <*>
                      string_of_bool (split b))) >.
~~~
.< fun b → if b then "b:true"
            else "b:false" >.
```

Improving printf with let & if insertion

```
let rec printk4
  : type a r. (a, r) fmt → (Ps_string.t → r code) → a code =
fun fmt k → match fmt with
| Int → genlet
    .< fun i → .~(k (dyn .<string_of_int i>.)) >.
| Bool → genlet
    .< fun b →
        .~(if_locus (fun () →
            k (sta (string_of_bool (split .<b>.)))) >.
| Lit s → k (sta s)
| Cat (l, r) → printk4 l (fun x →
    printk4 r (fun y →
        k (x <*> y)))
```

Note: b is dynamic, but string_of_bool builds a **static value!**

Improving printf with let insertion: output

```
sprintf4 (Bool % Lit ", " % Lit " " % Bool %)  
~~~  
. < let f b = if b then "(false,true)"  
           else "(false,false)" in  
let g b = if b then "(true,true)"  
           else "(true,false)" in  
let h b = if b then g else f in h >.
```

No catenations!

One more effect: genlet2 inserts **pair bindings**.

```
effect Genlet2 : ('a * 'b) code → 'a code * 'b code
let genlet2 p = perform (Genlet2 p)
```

A **handler** for genlet2:

```
let let2_locus f =
  match f () with
  | x → x
  | effect (Genlet2 p) k →
    .< let (x,y) = .~p in .~(continue k (.<x>,.<y>))>.
```

genlet2 in action:

```
.< fun p → .~(let2_locus @@ fun () →
  let (a, b) = genlet2 .<p>. in
  (.< ((.~a, .~b), .~a) >.) ) >.
~~~
.< fun p → let (x, y) = p in ((x, y), x) >.
```

Final example: unstaged scanf

The sscanf function reads from a string according to a format:

```
let rec sscanfk
  : type a r. (a, r) fmt → string → a → r * string =
  fun fmt s k → match fmt with
    | Int →          let i, s = read_int s in
                     (k i, s)
    | Lit l →        let (), s = read_exact l s in
                     (k, s)
    | Bool →         let i, s = read_bool s in
                     (k i, s)
    | Cat (l, r) →  let k1, s = sscanfk l s k in
                     let k2, s = sscanfk r s k1 in
                     (k2, s)

let sscanf : 'a 'b. ('a, 'b) fmt → string → 'a → 'b =
  fun f s k → fst (sscanfk f s k)
```

sscanf in action

```
sscanf (Bool % Lit ", "% Bool) "true, false" (fun x y -> (x,y))
~~ (true, false)
```

Naively-staged scanf

Staged sscankf2 after naive staging:

```
let rec sscankf2
  : type a r. (a, r) fmt → string code → a code
    → (r * string) code =
  fun fmt s k → match fmt with
    | Int →      .< let i, s = read_int .~s in
                (.~k i, s) >.
    | Lit l →    .< let (), s = read_exact l .~s in
                (.~k, s) >.
    | Bool →     .< let i, s = read_bool .~s in
                (.~k i, s) >.
    | Cat (l, r) → .< let k1, s = .~(sscanfk2 l s k) in
                         let k2, s = .~(sscanfk2 r .<s>. .<k1>.) in
                           (k2, s) >.

let sscanff2 : 'a 'b. ('a, 'b) fmt → (string → 'a → 'b) code =
  fun f → .< fun s k → fst .~(sscanfk2 f .<s>. .<k>.) >.
```

Naively-staged scanf: output

```
sscanf2 (Bool % Lit ", " % Bool)
~~~
.< fun s1 k1 ->
  fst (let (k2,s2) =
    let (k3,s3) =
      let (i1,s4) = read_bool s1 in (k1 i1, s4) in
      let (k4,s5) =
        let (((),s5) = read_exact ", " s3 in (k3, s5) in
          (k4, s5) in
        let (k5,s6) =
          let (i2,s7) = read_bool s2 in (k2 i2, s7) in
          (k5, s6))>.
```

Plan: remove administrative bindings using genlet2

Staged scanf with genlet2

```
let rec sscanfk3
  : type a r. (a, r) fmt → string code → a code → r code * string
fun fmt s k → match fmt with
  | Int → let i, s = genlet2 .<read_int .~s>. in
           (.<.^k .~i>., s)
  | Lit l → let (), s = genlet2 .<read_exact l .~s>. in
             (k, s)
  | Bool → let i, s = genlet2 .<read_bool .~s>. in
            (.<.^k .~i>., s)
  | Cat (l, r) → let k1, s = .~(sscanfk3 l s k) in
                  let k3, s = .~(sscanfk3 r s k1) in
                  (k3, s)

let sscanf3 : 'a 'b. ('a, 'b) fmt → (string → 'a → 'b) code =
  fun f → .< fun s k → .~(let_locus (fun () →
                                         fst (sscanfk3 f .<s>. .<k>.))) >.
```

Staged scanf with genlet2: output

```
sscanf3 (Bool % Lit ", " % Bool)
~~~
. < fun s k ->
  let (x1 ,s1) = read_bool s
  let (x2 ,s2) = read_exact "," s1 in
  let (x3 ,s3) = read_bool s2
  k x 2 >
```

No administrative bindings!

Partially-static structures for algebraic **simplification**

$(2 + x + 4)$

Algebraic **effects** for code **motion**

`(genlet .<e>.)`

Aim: move work from **generated** code to **generator**

Next time: recursion

$\mu x. e$